This statistical commentary should be considered alongside the 2015/16 Quarter 4 Health Visitor Service Delivery Metrics statistical release, which can be found at www.chimat.org.uk/transfer.

Please treat the figures contained in this report with caution.

Where totals are presented for England and PHE centres, these are aggregate totals of the areas that submitted information and passed initial validation (see the section ‘Validation rules’ within the publication for further details).

Any reference to previous quarters’ data relates to 'refreshed' figures provided in the latest reporting quarter, as local authorities have the opportunity to re-submit data for previous quarters.

Where no reference to a particular quarter is mentioned it should be assumed that the data refers to the most recent quarter’s data.
## Main findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Quarter 1 England value</th>
<th>Quarter 2 England value</th>
<th>Quarter 3 England value</th>
<th>Quarter 4 England value</th>
<th>2015/16 England value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2: New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed within 14 days %</td>
<td>85.6% (85.4 - 85.8)</td>
<td>86.8% (86.7 - 87.0)</td>
<td>87.7% (87.5 - 87.8)</td>
<td>87.8% (87.7 - 88.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3: New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed after 14 days %</td>
<td>11.8% (11.7 - 12.0)</td>
<td>10.3% (10.2 - 10.5)</td>
<td>10.0% (9.9 - 10.2)</td>
<td>9.5% (9.3 - 9.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8i: 6-8 week reviews completed %</td>
<td>79.3% (79.1 - 79.5)</td>
<td>78.8% (78.6 - 79.0)</td>
<td>80.1% (79.9 - 80.3)</td>
<td>82.7% (82.5 - 82.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4: 12 month reviews completed by the time the child turned 12 months %</td>
<td>72.5% (72.3 - 72.8)</td>
<td>72.9% (72.7 - 73.1)</td>
<td>73.2% (73.0 - 73.4)</td>
<td>73.6% (73.4 - 73.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5: 12 month reviews completed by the time the child turned 15 months %</td>
<td>79.8% (79.6 - 80.0)</td>
<td>80.0% (79.8 - 80.2)</td>
<td>80.9% (80.7 - 81.1)</td>
<td>82.5% (82.3 - 82.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6i: 2-2½ year reviews completed</td>
<td>71.2% (71.0 - 71.5)</td>
<td>73.0% (72.8 - 73.2)</td>
<td>74.2% (74.0 - 74.4)</td>
<td>74.7% (74.4 - 74.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6ii: 2-2½ year reviews completed using ASQ-3 %</td>
<td>71.4% (71.1 - 71.6)</td>
<td>78.0% (77.8 - 78.2)</td>
<td>86.3% (86.1 - 86.5)</td>
<td>88.2% (88.0 - 88.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages are based on local authorities that made submissions and passed initial validation. Confidence intervals are shown in brackets.
Background

In October 2015 the responsibility for commissioning children’s public health for the 0-5 years population transferred from NHS England to local authorities. There are a number of associated service delivery metrics which it is critical to monitor and report. In the longer term the Health and Social Care Information Centre will collect data on these metrics as part of the Maternity and Children’s Dataset (MCDS).

The MCDS infrastructure will support the flow of standardised information on children’s health from local IT systems to the HSCIC on a monthly basis. The Children and Young People’s Health Services data set infrastructure (which is part of the MCDS) was made available in October and data has just begun to flow, however it is expected to take some time for all providers of children’s and young people’s services to flow complete and accurate data.

Until such time as the MCDS has reached full coverage and maturity, possibly even until 2017, an interim reporting system is required.

The health visiting information within this publication has therefore been obtained via the new interim reporting system to collect health visiting activity at a local authority resident level. Quarter 1 of 2015/16 was the first reporting period and the information contained within this report has been submitted to Public Health England by local authorities on a voluntary basis. The full data publication can be found at www.chimat.org.uk/transfer.

The health visiting service leads on the delivery of the Healthy Child Programme (HCP), which was set up to improve the health and wellbeing of children aged 0-5 years. This is achieved through health and development reviews, health promotion, parenting support and screening and immunisation programmes.

The health visiting service consists of specialist community public health nurses and teams who provide expert information, assessments and interventions for babies, children and families including first time mothers and fathers with complex needs.
The health visitor service delivery metrics currently cover the antenatal check, new birth visit, the 6-8 week review, the 12 month assessment and the 2-2½ year assessment and report on the following indicators:

- C1: Number of mothers who received a first face to face antenatal contact with a Health Visitor at 28 weeks or above
- C2: Percentage of New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed within 14 days
- C3: Percentage of New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed after 14 days
- C8i: Percentage of 6-8 week reviews completed
- C4: Percentage of 12 month development reviews completed by the time the child turned 12 months
- C5: Percentage of 12 month development reviews completed by the time the child turned 15 months
- C6i: Percentage of 2-2½ year reviews completed
- C6ii: Percentage of 2-2½ year reviews completed using ASQ-3 (Ages and Stages Questionnaire)

These metrics are presented as management information and are reported by local authority, PHE centre and England level.

Data collection method

An interim reporting system is hosted on the Local Government Association (LGA) website to collect the data on health visiting indicators. The reporting window for Quarter 4 data (along with refreshed data for previous quarters) was 23 May to 23 June 2016.

To support local authorities to submit data, detailed full guidance was published to explain what analysts and commissioners in local authorities needed to do to submit health visiting indicators (this can be found at www.chimat.org.uk/transfer). A dedicated mailbox (interimreporting@phe.gov.uk) is also in place to respond to questions and comments.

Once the collection window closes the data received is centrally collated, validated and reported. This process will be repeated quarterly.

Validation rules applied may be subject to change in future quarters. There was a small change to the validation of the data published in quarter 4, which is explained in the section on Stage 2 validation.
Joint submissions

Following the publication of Quarter 2 2015-16 (January release) information was received to confirm that Hackney and City of London would be making a joint submission of the data. Also, any data that had been submitted in previous quarters for Hackney also included City of London residents.
As the data submitted for the Isles of Scilly showed very small numbers which in some cases would have needed to be suppressed, these have been combined with Cornwall, and they have been treated within the publication as a joint submission.

Data quality

The following data relates to Quarter 4 and refreshed data for Quarters 1, 2 and 3.

All 150 reporting local authorities submitted a return for the interim reporting collection for Quarter 4 2015/16. This includes three local authorities which were contacted near the end of the collection window, and helped to make a manual submission after the window had closed as there were delays in receiving and collating their data.

Validation rules

A set of validation rules was applied to each health visiting metric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validation stage</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>Indicator numerator and denominator are integers, and numerator &lt;= denominator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 for all indicators other than C6ii</td>
<td>Indicator denominator is within 20% of the resident population of the relevant age (0 years for new baby visits and 6 - 8 week reviews, 1 year for 12 month reviews, and 2 years for 2½ year reviews). The annual figures are divided by 4 to provide quarterly estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 for indicator C6ii</td>
<td>Indicator denominator is within 20% of the numerator for indicator C6i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each local authority has to pass both stages 1 and 2 in order for its values to be shown.

The values for areas that did not pass stage 1 validation are excluded from their respective PHE centre and the England aggregated calculations.

A comprehensive breakdown of the results following the application of the validation can be found in the publication at: www.chimat.org.uk/transfer.
Summary of Quarter 4 data

Indicator C1: Number of mothers who received a first face-to-face antenatal contact with a health visitor

- This is unable to be collected as a percentage due to the difficulties in defining an adequate denominator.
- One local authority was unable to provide a number for this metric (put ‘DK’ Don’t Know).
- Based on the 149 local authorities that did provide a value (including two that reported ‘0’ zero) there were 65,056 antenatal contacts nationally in Quarter 4 2015/16.
- This compares to 64,010 in Quarter 3 2015/16 (based on refreshed figures).

Indicators C2 and C3: Percentage of births that received a face-to-face new birth visit
- C2: within 14 days and
- C3: after 14 days

- New birth visits should ideally occur within 14 days, however it is accepted that in some circumstances this is not possible.
- The aggregate percentage of new birth visits within 14 days (indicator C2) for England for Quarter 4 is 87.8% (with confidence intervals of 87.7% – 88.0%). This is higher than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- The aggregate percentage of new birth visits after 14 days (indicator C3) for England for Quarter 4 is 9.5% (with confidence intervals of 9.3% – 9.6%). This is lower than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- The aggregate percentage of new birth visits within or after 14 days (indicator C2+C3) for England for Quarter 4 is 97.3% (with confidence intervals of 97.2% – 97.4%).
- Values for percentages of new birth visits within 14 days could be published for all PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). Values ranged from 80.5% to 92.9%.
- Values for percentages of new birth visits after 14 days could be published for all PHE centres. These ranged from 6.0% to 16.2%.
- The percentage of babies who received a new birth visit within 14 days could be published for 147 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 53.9% to 98.3%, with the majority (128) reporting between 80% and 100%.
- The percentage of babies who received a new birth visit after 14 days could be published for 147 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 1.0% to 38.0% and although a fairly even distribution, the majority (66) reported between 5% and 10%.

Indicator C8i: Percentage of children who received a 6 - 8 week review by eight weeks

- The aggregate percentage of infants receiving a 6 - 8 week review for England for Quarter 4 based on 148 local authorities passing initial validation is 82.7% (with confidence intervals of 82.5% – 82.9%). This is higher than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- Values for the percentage of children who received a 6 - 8 week review by eight weeks could be published for all PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). These ranged from 59.0% to 91.8%, with all but one of the centres achieving over 80%.
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Values for the percentage of children who received a 6-8 week review by eight weeks could be published for 145 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 0% to 100%, with the majority (105) reporting between 80% and 100%.

**Indicator C4: Percentage of children who received a 12 month review by 12 months**

- The aggregate percentage of children receiving a 12 month review by 12 months of age for England for Quarter 4 is 73.6% (with confidence intervals of 73.4% - 73.8%). This is higher than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- Values for completed reviews within 12 months could be published for all PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). These ranged from 42.9% to 86.2%, with six of the nine centres achieving over 80%.
- Values for the percentage of children who received a 12 month review by 12 months could be published for 147 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 2.5% to 99.8%, with the majority (80) reporting between 80% and 100%.

**Indicator C5: Percentage of children who received a 12 month review by 15 months**

- The aggregate percentage of children receiving a 12 month review by 15 months of age for England for Quarter 4 based on 149 local authorities passing initial validation is 82.5% (with confidence intervals of 82.3% - 82.6%). This is higher than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- Values for completed reviews within 15 months could be published for all PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). These ranged from 56.8% to 94.2%, with eight of the nine centres achieving over 80%.
- The percentage of children who received a 12 month review by the age of 15 months could be published for 143 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 3.3% to 99.6%, with the majority (101) reporting between 80% and 100%.
Indicator C6i: Percentage of children who received a 2-2½ year review by 2½ years

- The aggregate percentage of children receiving a 2-2½ year review by the age of 2½ for England for Quarter 4 is 74.7% (with confidence intervals of 74.4 – 74.9%).
- Values for the percentage of children who received a 2-2½ year review by 2½ years could be published for all PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). These ranged from 44.0% to 89.7%, with six of the nine centres achieving over 80%.
- The percentage of children who received a 2-2½ year review by 2½ years could be published for 144 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 9.2% to 99.4%, with the majority (83) reporting between 80% and 100%.

Indicator C6ii: Percentage of children who received a 2-2½ year review using Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ-3).

- The aggregate percentage of children receiving a 2-2½ year review which used ASQ-3 for England for Q3 based on 131 local authorities passing initial validation is 88.2% (with confidence intervals of 88.0% - 88.4%). This is higher than previous quarters for 2015/16, based on refreshed figures.
- Values for completed 2-2½ year reviews using ASQ-3 could be published for seven of the nine PHE centres for Quarter 4 (again these were aggregates of ‘valid’ local authorities in each centre). These ranged from 79.3% to 98.3%, with six achieving over 80%.
- The percentage of children who received a 2-2½ year review using ASQ-3 could be published for 107 local authorities who passed additional validation. Values ranged from 5.9% to 100%, with the majority (99) reporting between 80% and 100%.

Data quality notes

When making a submission local authorities have the opportunity to enter comments in a free text box to explain any issues with reporting. During the Quarter 4 submission comments were received from 62 local authorities, of collective interest:

- 7 local authorities reported they had knew or suspected that they had made an incomplete submission. This may include receiving confirmation of nil returns from neighbouring authorities.
- 7 local authorities reported that recent migration to a new Child Health Information System or problems with their existing system had impacted on their ability to submit, or on the short-term reliability of the figures.
- 13 local authorities noted specific difficulties in reporting ASQ data.
- Enfield reported specific difficulties in collating the data and therefore there are known data quality issues with their submission.