Michael Wood, Legal Adviser

Iraq: Legal Basis for Use of Force

I write in response to your minute to my Private Secretary of 24 January.
I note your advice, but I do not accept it.

Let me first make a general point: in the Home Office the many legal
issues in which I was involved were principally matters of domestic law. This
is by its nature much more detailed and certain than almost any question of
international law. We have courts sitting daily to determine outstanding
questions. However, even on apparently open and shut issues the originators of
the advice offered to me accepted that there could be a different view, honestly
and reasonably held. And so it turned out to be time and again.

Such a tentative approach was even more pronounced when there was an
issue involving both domestic and international law, most notably in the
Pinochet cases. The received wisdom (on the basis of good authority) before
these cases was that Heads of State had immunity from prosecution and other
process for life, and not just whilst in post, in respect of official conduct whilst
a Head of State. This “wisdom” was affirmed by the initial Divisional Court
judgement (by Lord Bingham). But the Law Lords had come to a very
different range of views. They had to say “yes” or “no” to the lawfulness of
my decision, but what was particularly interesting was that there were almost as
many opinions as there were Law Lords. They accepted and acknowledged
that this was not so certain territory and the received wisdom disappeared.
I am as committed as anyone to international law and its obligations, but it is an uncertain field. There is no international court for resolving such questions in the manner of a domestic court. Moreover, in this case, the issue is an arguable one, capable of honestly and reasonably held differences of view. I hope (for political reasons) we can get a second Resolution. But there is a strong case to be made that UNSCR 687, and everything which has happened since (assuming that Iraq continues not to comply), provides a sufficient basis in international law to justify military action.

I have copied this to the Attorney and Sir David Manning as well as your copy recipients.
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