User Guide
Volume 11 - LFS TWO-QUARTER AND FIVE-QUARTER LONGITUDINAL DATASETS
Contents

Introduction .................................................................................................................................................. 2
Datasets ......................................................................................................................................................... 2
Coverage ....................................................................................................................................................... 4
Linking procedure .................................................................................................................................... 4
Variables ...................................................................................................................................................... 4
Sample size .................................................................................................................................................. 6
Weighting .................................................................................................................................................... 6
Some points on longitudinal analysis, including the implications of response error bias ......................... 8
Contact details ............................................................................................................................................. 8
Reference ..................................................................................................................................................... 8
Introduction

The Labour Force Survey (LFS) is a household survey, gathering information on a wide range of labour force characteristics and related topics. Since 1992 it has been conducted on a quarterly basis, with each sample household retained for five consecutive quarters, and a fifth of the sample replaced each quarter see volume 1 of the LFS user guide (http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/method-quality/specific/labour-market/labour-market-statistics/index.html) for more information. The survey was designed to produce cross-sectional data, but in recent years it has been recognised that linking together data on each individual across quarters would produce a rich source of longitudinal data.

There are however methodological problems which could distort the data resulting from this linking. They fall into two main groups: biases arising from non-response and the sample attrition arising from it; and biases arising from response errors, particularly their effects in producing spurious flows between economic activity states. ONS undertook a joint research project with Southampton University to address these methodological issues, which produced a satisfactory methodology for compensating for the biasing effects of non-response, and a procedure has been developed for applying it in longitudinal datasets linking two or five adjacent quarters.

This guide describes the two-quarter and five-quarter longitudinal LFS datasets and how to use them. It describes briefly how they are produced, but does not give details of the methodological development - this is covered in detail in paper 17 of the GSS Methods and Quality series, entitled “Methodological Issues in the Production and Analysis of Longitudinal Data from the Labour Force Survey” by Paul Clarke and Pam Tate.

Datasets

The quarterly LFS started in spring 1992, but the rotational pattern of the sample was not established until winter 92/93, therefore this is the first quarter available for longitudinal linking. From May 2006, the LFS moved from seasonal quarters (e.g Spring: March to May) to calendar quarters (April-June: Q2), the LFS user guide volume 1 provides more details about this.

Two-quarter longitudinal datasets have been produced for all pairs of adjacent quarters from winter 1992/93 onwards - for example, the winter 1992/93 dataset was linked with the spring 1993 dataset. This is illustrated in Figure 1.1.
### LFS two quarter longitudinal dataset (April-June 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LFS cohort 1</th>
<th>AJ14</th>
<th>JS14</th>
<th>OD14</th>
<th>JM15</th>
<th>AJ15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ13)</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JS13)</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled OD13)</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JM14)</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ14)</td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JS14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled OD14)</td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JM15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.1: Structure of the two quarter longitudinal dataset.**

The highlighted waves form part of the LFS 2Q longitudinal dataset.

Five-quarter longitudinal datasets have also been produced for the same periods, for example linking spring 1993 with spring 1994 and containing data from all five waves of the survey. This is shown in Figure 1.2

<p>| LFS five quarter longitudinal dataset (April-June 2015) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AJ14</th>
<th>JS14</th>
<th>OD14</th>
<th>JM15</th>
<th>AJ15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 1</td>
<td>AJ14</td>
<td>JS14</td>
<td>OD14</td>
<td>JM15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ13)</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JS13)</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled OD13)</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JM14)</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
<td>Wave 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ14)</td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td>Wave 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JS14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled OD14)</td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td>Wave 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled JM15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wave 1</td>
<td>Wave 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFS cohort 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(first sampled AJ15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.2: Structure of the five quarter longitudinal dataset**

The highlighted waves form part of the LFS 5Q longitudinal dataset.
Coverage

The focus of analyses of these datasets is on the population of working age. The dataset is restricted to those aged 15-69 in the first quarter. Before the 2010 reweighting, the working age was 15-59 for women and 15-64 for men (which will be the case for datasets before OD01).

The small proportion of people in the sample whose data, at any of the linked quarters, had been imputed by rolling forward from the previous interview, were excluded from the longitudinal datasets.

From spring 1996 onwards, with the introduction of the household matrix approach to gathering data on the people present in the household, a small proportion of people in the sample have no data available on economic activity. People with no data on economic activity at one or more of the linked quarters have been excluded from the longitudinal datasets.

For the period from winter 1995/6, the datasets cover the UK. The Northern Ireland survey did not change from an annual to a quarterly survey until winter 1994/95, and the rotation pattern of the sample was not fully established until winter 1995/96, therefore the longitudinal datasets which include quarters before winter 1995/96 cover just Great Britain.

Linking procedure

The regular quarterly individual-level LFS dataset for the first of the quarters to be linked is used to produce a reduced cross-sectional dataset confined to the age range and variables to be used for the longitudinal dataset. A unique identification variable (PERSID) is created. A similar procedure is followed for the other quarters. The reduced cross-sectional datasets for the two or five quarters to be linked are matched by the unique identification variable and checked to ensure that the cases linked match also on sex and date of birth. All unmatched cases are dropped, as are all cases where the data were rolled forward, or where there are no data on economic activity, at any of the quarters.

Variables

Because of the resources involved in production and the size of the resultant datasets, the longitudinal datasets include only a subset of the full LFS variable set. This subset has been agreed in consultation with users and represents the most important and commonly used variables covering the main areas of the survey. A full variable list has been created which shows the content of each of the datasets.

When the linked datasets are created, all the variables relating to the first of the linked quarters are renamed, with a suffix of 1 added to the original variable name, and all the variables relating to the second of the linked quarters have a suffix of 2 added to the original variable name, and so on. For example, if we link together the JM14 and AJ14 quarters, then the variable TEN1 from the first quarter, JM14,
becomes TEN11 in the linked dataset, and TEN1 from the second quarter, AJ14, becomes TEN12, and so on until the AJ15 variable becomes TEN15. This is true for all the variables in all the datasets, except for the unique identification variable and the variables for sex and date of birth which are used for checking that the match between the quarters are correct. These must be identical for each of the quarters being linked and therefore have no suffix.

Some of the variables are not available for all quarterly interviews and are therefore not available in one or more of the quarters of some of the linked datasets. For example, the variable TRNLEN (Length of training course) is only available for JM and AJ quarters from 1997. Therefore on the AJ15 5 quarter longitudinal dataset it will be available for the first quarter (AJ14) as TRNLEN1, the fourth quarter (JM15) as TRNLEN4 and the fifth quarter (AJ15) as TRNLEN5, TRNLEN2 and TRLEN3 won’t be available as they represent the JS and OD quarters where the TRNLEN variable isn’t available.

As SPSS only allows variable names to be eight characters long, a few variable names which are already eight characters long have to be amended when the suffix is added. These are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Variable</th>
<th>1st Qtr</th>
<th>2nd Qtr (3rd, 4th, 5th)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOUTCOME</td>
<td>IOTCOME1</td>
<td>IOTCOME2/3/4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHFTWK99</td>
<td>SHTWK991</td>
<td>SHTWK992/3/4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIQUAL11</td>
<td>HIUAL111</td>
<td>HIUAL112/3/4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HITQUA11</td>
<td>HIQUA111</td>
<td>HIQUA112/3/4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A variable FLOW has been added to the datasets. It gives in a convenient form the categories relating to labour force gross flows, distinguishing between states in and outside working age. The codes and categories are as follows:

1. Aged 15 at both quarters
2. Entrant to working-age between first and final quarter
3. In employment at first quarter; in employment at final quarter (EE)
4. In employment at first quarter; unemployed at final quarter (EU)
5. In employment at first quarter; inactive at final quarter (EN)
6. Unemployed at first quarter; in employment at final quarter (UE)
7. Unemployed at first quarter; unemployed at final quarter (UU)
8. Unemployed at first quarter; inactive at final quarter (UN)
9. Inactive at first quarter; in employment at final quarter (NE)
10. Inactive at first quarter; unemployed at final quarter (NU)
11. Inactive at first quarter; inactive at final quarter (NN)
12. Reached retirement age by final quarter

For the two-quarter datasets this variable shows the flow over a 3 month period, while for the five-quarter datasets it shows the flow over a 12 month period.

In addition, a variable ANFLOW has been added to the five-quarter datasets. It gives categories relating to labour force gross flows across all five of the linked quarters. There are 243 possible sequences over five quarters, many of which will have very
small frequencies, particularly the ones involving 3 or 4 moves. For this reason a
simplified categorisation is presented which combines together those sequences
where only the timing differs - for example, all cases which start in employment and
end in unemployment (with no other transitions) are in category 4 below, regardless
of the wave in which they became unemployed. The codes and categories are as
follows:

1  In employment in all quarters (E)
2  Unemployed in all quarters (U)
3  Inactive in all quarters (N)
4  In employment at first quarter; unemployed at final quarter (EU)
5  In employment at first quarter; inactive at final quarter (EN)
6  Unemployed at first quarter; inactive at final quarter (UN)
7  Unemployed at first quarter; in employment at final quarter (EU)
8  Inactive at first quarter; in employment at final quarter (NE)
9  Inactive at first quarter; unemployed at final quarter (NU)
10 Employed at first; unemployed; in employment at final quarter (EUE)
11 Employed at first; inactive; in employment at final quarter (ENE)
12 Unemployed at first; inactive; unemployed at final quarter (UNU)
13 Unemployed at first; employed; unemployed at final quarter (UEU)
14 Inactive at first; employed; inactive at final quarter (NEU)
15 Inactive at first; unemployed; inactive at last quarter (NEN)
16 Employed at first; unemployed; inactive at final quarter (EN)
17 Employed at first; inactive; unemployed at final quarter (ENU)
18 Unemployed at first; employed; inactive at final quarter (UEN)
19 Unemployed at first; inactive; employed at final quarter (UNE)
20 Inactive at first; employed; unemployed at final quarter (UEN)
21 Inactive at first; unemployed; employed at final quarter (NEU)
22 3 or 4 moves between categories

Sample size

Because of sampling variability, the smaller the group being estimated the poorer the
precision of the estimate becomes, until eventually the estimate is not reliable
enough to be used. (See Volume 1, in particular section 8 of the LFS User Guide for
a detailed discussion.) For the two-quarter longitudinal datasets, the number of
sample cases available for linkage is around 35,000. For the five-quarter dataset it is
around 5,000, therefore the results are subject to greater variability due to higher
attrition.

Weighting

The weight (LGWT) for these datasets serve two purposes. They compensate for
non-response bias, and also produce estimates at the level of the population. The
calculation of the weights for the two-quarter datasets involves the following stages:

(i) Initial prior weights are calculated such that they reproduce the distribution of
the cross-sectional sample from the first quarter according to the tenure/landlord
categories: owned; rented from local authority/housing association; privately rented.

(ii) These prior weights are then multiplied by a single grossing factor, (except for Northern Ireland where this factor is again multiplied by an adjustment factor to compensate for the different sampling fraction), such that the weighted sample cases sum to the overall population control total (described below). This results in the prior weights used in the calculation of the final weights (described below).

(iii) A process of calibration weighting (also known as generalised raking) is then applied to the sample, using CALMAR software (see Elliot 1997). This process minimises the distance between the prior and final weights, while constraining the final weights simultaneously to several marginal distributions or control totals. Four sets of control totals are used:

   (a) the population estimates used for weighting the second quarter's cross-sectional LFS dataset, for the selected age range, classified by sex and age (in single years to 24, then five-year age groups) - this produces estimates as close as possible to the population available for sampling in both the linked quarters;

   (b) the population estimates used for weighting the second quarter's cross-sectional LFS dataset, for the selected age range, classified by region;

   (c) the weighted cross-sectional estimates from the second linked quarter for the selected age range classified by broad economic activity categories: in employment; unemployed; economically inactive;

   (d) the weighted cross-sectional estimates from the first linked quarter for the selected age range classified by broad economic activity categories: in employment; unemployed; economically inactive, adjusted to the same total as (a) to (c) by reducing the economically inactive category as necessary.

CALMAR is run using the logit method, with the ratio of the final to prior weights constrained to the range 0.1 to 2.4.

The elements (iii) (a) and (b) in this process produce population-level estimates, and also contribute to some extent to compensating for non-response bias; the other elements complete the compensation for non-response bias.

The extension of this method to create five-quarter datasets consists of constraining to the cross-sectional economic activity distribution at each of the five quarters. This involves repeating the constraint in (iii)d for the second, third and fourth quarters as well as the first, adjusting in the same way to achieve a total consistent with the fifth quarter. When running CALMAR to create five-quarter datasets, wider limits have to be set for the ratio of final to prior weights, typically 0.1 to 2.8.
Some points on longitudinal analysis, including the implications of response error bias

All analyses should be run weighted by LGWT, otherwise the results will be distorted by non-response bias, and possibly misleading.

Careful thought is needed about the precise coverage of any analysis – is it the population of working age at the first quarter, the second quarter, or both quarters? The variable FLOW can be used to select any of these groups: codes 3 to 12 give working age at the first quarter, 2 to 11 at the second quarter, and 3 to 11 at both quarters.

Most analyses of interest are likely to be cross-tabulations of a characteristic at the first quarter with a characteristic at the second or fifth quarter, often restricted to a subgroup. Some examples are: lone parents of working age at both quarters by sex and age of youngest child and by economic activity at both quarters; young people aged 18 to 24 unemployed at the first quarter by educational qualification and economic activity at the last quarter; people reaching retirement age by the last quarter by economic activity at both quarters and by reason for inactivity if inactive. Doing analyses of this kind, the numbers of cases in some cells can very quickly decrease.

Research so far on response error has been based on empirical analysis of differences in levels of transitions between different economic activity categories and of apparent internal inconsistencies. The initial investigations have provided evidence supporting the suggestion that response error is likely to affect the longitudinal datasets, probably in the direction of an upward bias in estimates of gross flows between different broad economic activity categories. It has also provided some tentative indications of transitions and subgroups particularly likely to be affected. These are transitions between unemployment and inactivity, transitions between part-time employment and either unemployment or inactivity, for women any transitions involving unemployment, and for students transitions between employment and unemployment. However, some of the apparent inconsistencies may be caused by genuine volatility (repeated movements back and forth between different economic activity states) rather than by response error.

Contact details

All enquiries about the longitudinal datasets should be directed to socialsurveys@ons.gov.uk.

Reference