Monday, 26 March 2012 A. That's correct. 1 (10.00 am) 2 Q. The next witness will cover that matter in more detail. 2 3 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: A decision in relation to the Can I ask you, please, about paragraph 2 of your 4 statement, which is our page 58730, where you refer to 4 consideration of the evidence of Mr Tickner will be 5 an investigation involving covert techniques into leaks 5 published online today. 6 6 I would like to invite applications for core to the local media. Could you tell us something about 7 7 that, please? participant status for module 3 before close of play on 8 8 A. Yes. I was aware when I took up the post that there had Friday, 30 March. In the same context, I draw to the 9 9 been an investigation prior to me joining concerning attention of those who are presently core participants 10 10 a potential leak by an officer to a journalist, and that for all modules that they are perfectly entitled to 11 the course of that inquiry had involved analysis of 11 consider whether they wish to retain their core 12 12 telephone records and that because of that, the editors participant status for module 3 in the light of events 13 13 as the Inquiry has proceeded. Any such application to were -- adversely responded to it and that relationships 14 maybe weren't all they might have been, and as 14 withdraw from that status I'd also be grateful to 15 receive within the same timeframe. 15 a consequence I was keen to do all I could in kicking 16 I intend to give directions, having received 16 off on a positive note as the new Chief Constable. 17 17 Q. Thank you. As you explain subsequently in your submissions in relation to module 3, at or immediately 18 after 2 pm on 3 April. I say "immediately after"; it 18 statement, generally speaking, relations with the local 19 19 media are good; is that right? depends upon when we've concluded the evidence for that 20 day. At the same time, I will also provide a timetable 20 A. They're very good, yes. 21 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: When you say they reacted negatively, 21 for closing submissions for all modules. 22 22 were they objecting to your seeking to discover who the Thank you. 23 23 MR JAY: The first witness today is Mr Ash, please. leak was who was giving information? 24 A. Sir, it wasn't me that was -- it had been --24 MR SIMON ROGER ASH (sworn) 25 25 Questions by MR JAY LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I appreciate that. Page 1 Page 3 MR JAY: Your full name, please. A. The investigation had been done prior to me arriving. 1 1 2 A. I'm Simon Roger Ash and I'm the Chief Constable of LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand. 3 3 Suffolk Constabulary. A. I don't know, is the answer. I don't know quite what it 4 Q. Thank you. Kindly turn up your witness statement. It's 4 5 dated 27 January of this year, signed by you and there's 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right, all right. a standard statement of truth. Is this your formal 6 6 A. All I was aware of was that I was walking into evidence to the Inquiry? 7 7 a situation where I needed to build a relationship, 8 A. Yes, it is. 8 basically. 9 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. Q. First of all, if I summarise your career in the Police 10 10 Service. You joined Kent County Constabulary in 1982. MR JAY: You also explain in your statement that Operation You worked your way up the ranks. In 2001 you joined 11 11 Sumac, which involved the murders of five women in 12 Hertford Constabulary as Assistant Chief Constable, and 12 Ipswich in 2006, that was just before your time? 13 A. Yes. I arrived in June 2007. That occurred, as you then on 4 June 2007, you joined Suffolk Constabulary as 13 14 14 Chief Constable, and that, as you've told us, is your rightly say -- around about December 2006 was the peak 15 15 current position; is that right? of the activity, and I'd obviously watched that from 16 A. That's correct. 16 a distance and had been very impressed with the way the 17 Q. You also explain in your statement, but I ask you to set 17 force appeared to have handled the media through that. 18 this out in your own words, please, that Suffolk is one 18 Q. At the end of paragraph 2, you make a general statement. 19 of the smallest police forces in the country. So 19 You say you found officers and staff to be very cautious 20 20 approximately how many officers and staff are there? in their dealings with the media: 21 A. Yes, it's one of the smallest forces. It covers 21 "They tended to be protective of information, 22 22 a population of about 700,000 people, and the workforce unwilling to readily provide information in a timely 23 23 is about 2,200, comprises officers and staff. 24 Q. In terms of corporate communications, that department 24 Is that a criticism that you're making there, 25 25 Mr Ash, or just an observation? merged with Norfolk in July of last year; is that right?

Page 2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

close relationship?

way of describing it.

- A. It's an observation, and I stress it is a broad
- 2 generalisation, because this is the issue that tended
- 3 to -- or has tended -- when I've had issues with the
- 4 media -- to go to the heart of what has been the rubbing
- 5 point and the tension. So it's a very broad
- 6 generalisation rather than a criticism.
- 7 Q. Your reference to "rubbing point" and "tension" suggests
- 8 that overcaution is something which might tend to cause
- 9 that tension; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes. What I'm referring to is in relationship with
- 11 local editors, they very much would like information as
- 12 soon as there is anything available and would regularly
- 13 report to me occasions when they've seen police officers
- 14 maybe dealing with an incident, have phoned in to find
- 15 out about it, and information hasn't been readily
- 16 forthcoming, when clearly there is something going on.
- 17 Officers have been cautious until they've got sufficient
- 18 information about that incident to want to pass it to
- 19 the media, whereas the media would like to just know
- 20 that -- even if we're just dealing with a report of
- 21 whatever it is, at the earliest opportunity, and it's
- 22 that sort of timely provision of information that has
- 23 been at the core.
- 24 Q. From your perspective, though, the desire to put out
- 25 information which is accurate means that there may
 - Page 5

- 1 I'm not sure we have a date for this. Is it fairly
- 2

- 2 you agree with that?
- 3 A. That's correct.

1

11

12

4 Q. You explain in two subsequent pages of your statement,

sometimes be a delay before it can be imparted; would

- 5 pages 58731 and 58732, that the majority of the contact
- 6 you have is with local radios, giving interviews,
- 7 excellent relationship, and you deal with the sort of
- 8 campaigns which have been launched over the years: an
- 9 anti-knife campaign and -- I think it's a lifter and fly
- 10 tipping campaign.
 - Then under the rubric "press" you explain that your contact with the local press is not regular:
- 13 "The regular provision of quotes and information is
- 14 usually by agreed press releases. However, I do have
- 15 personal contact with what is a strong local press in
- 16
- 17 In terms of the local press -- we're going to hear
- 18 from an editor in due course -- can you explain what the
- 19 position is?
- 20 A. Yes. I meet the editor you're going to hear from, as
- 21 well as other editors in the county, on an ad hoc basis,
- 22 usually event-driven, often to resolve whatever the
- 23 current issues are of the day, and we have a healthy
- 24 relationship, but none of my editors are afraid to
- 25 challenge me when they think we haven't done something
 - Page 6

- recent?
- 3 A. I think it was last reviewed in 2010.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So this is Suffolk?
- 5 A. It is, sir.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Just on the question of the national

we should have done, and we work together on a lot of

professional relationship, and that's probably the best

campaigns for the good of our local community.

Q. So it's an appropriately professional, albeit not overly

Q. In terms of the national media, on page 58733 in the

middle of the page, you say you have no ongoing

relationship with anyone from the national media:

"All my dealings tend to be ad hoc and driven by

Is there any cultural or other similar difference

perspective or would you not wish to comment on that?

A. My dealings with the national press are very limited and

they're mainly due to my ACPO portfolio, which relates

to reward and recognition, which is pay and conditions,

through the ACPO press office, because I seek to try and

negotiations, and the two aren't always very compatible.

and I tend to deal with the national media primarily

distance myself from saying too much in the media

Q. You exhibit your media liaison procedure. It's exhibit

SA1, page 58699. You'll find it under tab 3, Mr Ash.

Page 7

because I act for ACPO in pay and conditions

between the national and local press from your

A. It's -- I would describe it as, yeah, a very

- 7 press, do you see it's a role or responsibility of ACPO
- 8 to engage with presumably the national media in order to
- 9 get your side of the debate across into the public
- 10 domain or do you feel that that's simply something that
- 11 need not be brought within the public domain?
- 12 A. Well, I think it's important to understand that ACPO is
- 13 really a collection of chief officers from across the
- 14 country, and it's structured into a number of business
- 15 areas, each with a chief constable that heads it, and
- 16 it's very often those chief constables that head
- 17 a business area that will, if you like, lead on any
- 18 national debate. So my portfolio is in the workforce
- 19
- development business area, and so when there are issues
- 20 around, say, for example, the recent release of the Tom
- 21 Windsor report, it would be the head of the business
- 22 area that would very often be the spokesperson for that
- 23 debate nationally.
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But that might be you.
- 25 A. Well, it could be me, but my portfolio actually sits Page 8

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1 under a business area head. 1 expect to release information that was in the public 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Oh. I see. 2 interest and, on occasions, that will cause discomfort 3 3 A. So it would normally be the head of the business area. for the organisation, and I think I've referred to an 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Oh, I see. 4 incident later in my statement where that was exactly 5 MR JAY: Yes, the policy was last reviewed in 2010. We can 5 the case. 6 see that from the final page. Can we just alight on 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: There is really a distinction, isn't 7 7 a number of points? On the first page of the narrative, there? Anything that's going to frustrate an 8 8 investigation -- I mean, there can't be a justification 58578, it's an open positive policy; that's clause 1.1. 9 9 to frustrate --Clause 1.5, basic tenet: 10 10 A. No. "Only withhold what you must. If information can't 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- a proper investigation. 11 be released for valid reasons, this should be explained 12 to the media." 12 13 Key guidelines on the next page. 2.1: 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But there may be a reason why 14 "Reasonable requests from the media for information, 14 something that is embarrassing is perfectly legitimately 15 comment and interviews should be dealt with by the 15 within the public domain. 16 appropriate person. This would normally be the member 16 A. Exactly. Yes, that's what I agree with. 17 of staff who knows most about the subject/incident and 17 MR JAY: There's a secondary question of who decides whether 18 can respond authoritatively." 18 it's in the interests of the public. Are you saying 19 19 And then another clear principle, 2.2: that it should be for your communications department to 20 "The general rule of thumb is that staff can release 20 make that decision rather than an individual officer? 21 21 the same information to the media as they would if they A. That's certainly where I would go for my guidance. 22 were responding to a direct question from a member of 22 Q. Thank you. Then more specific points, maybe 23 the public." 23 paragraph 8, please, page 58582, positive media 24 24 May I ask you to comment on 3.3: coverage. 8.2: 25 "Notwithstanding the above, anyone who intentionally 25 "As such, all staff are encouraged to use the media Page 9 Page 11 1 passes information to the media which is likely to 1 to proactively highlight positive stories and good 2 2 frustrate an investigation or to embarrass/undermine the working practice. This type of publicity is valuable in 3 3 credibility of the organisation could face disciplinary building public confidence in the police." 4 or misconduct action." 4 So there's almost a positive duty there to put your 5 5 So your definition really of "unauthorised force understandably in the best possible light, isn't 6 6 information" is information which would embarrass or 7 undermine the credibility of your organisation? Have 7 A. Yes, that's correct. I would take the view that bad 8 I correctly understood it? 8 news almost writes itself, and I think we have to work 9 9 hard sometimes to promote the good work that officers A. Yes, in the context of this policy, yes, that's 10 10 absolutely right. and staff do day in and day out. 11 Q. So one is looking at it from the perspective of the 11 Q. Then the last point, really -- we'll take the rest as 12 organisation, obviously, even though it may be in the 12 read -- 9.6, page 58583: 13 public interest widely that the information be 13 "Officers and police staff should give their full 14 disseminated to the media; would that be right? 14 name and rank or role when dealing with the media. The 15 15 A. Sorry, could you just rephrase that? anonymous title of 'police spokesperson' should not be 16 Q. The policy is looking at what is desirable from the 16 used." 17 perspective of your organisation --17 It may be almost self-evident, but what's the reason 18 A. Yes. 18 for that, do you think? 19 Q. You refer to the credibility of the organisation. But 19 A. Well, I think in relating to a community, it's far 20 20 better if -- in the case of a local officer, that it's on the other hand it might be said that information 21 could be disseminated to the media which would 21 attributed to a local officer. If it's written in 22 22 nonetheless be in the public interest, notwithstanding relation to a policy matter, normally it will be related 23 23 to a much more senior officer. I think from a public that it could undermine the credibility of your 24 organisation. Would you agree with that? 24 perspective that looks far more convincing and authentic

A. Well, my corporate communications department I would

Page 10

25

25

than something that sounds far more remote, as in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you would wish?

Q. Can you explain, please, the "poor" HMIC policing pledge

A. Under the previous administration, there was -- police

success was measured by a public confidence measure,

which was based on a question in the British Crime

Survey relating to how well people believed the police

issues. Our grading on that and associated inspection

headline, and as a result of that we developed an action

plan, a major plank of which was working very closely

opinion around the positive things we were doing, and

two forces, and that naturally attracted a negative

with local radio, Radio Suffolk, to influence public

over a period of time we changed that situation and

greatly improved our public confidence measure.

"Friction has arisen with the media owing to the

You give one specific example of that, and then you

"The next day, the East Anglian Daily Times ran

Page 15

Q. The next page, 58735, you take up the point there:

perception that there's been delay in the release of

and local councils were dealing with crime and disorder

from the HMI graded Suffolk as "poor", along with one or

grading in 2009? What was that about?

A. That's correct.

1 "spokesperson".

- 2 Q. So if it's information which should go into the public
- 3 domain, the messenger, as it were, should be prepared to
- 4 put his or her name to it; is that the philosophy?
- 5 A. Yes, that's a good description, and the more serious the 6 issue, the more senior, normally, the individual.
- 7 Q. I said it was the last point. In fact, it isn't.
- 8 Clause 19 I marked to raise with you. It's page 58603,
- 9 media attendance on police operations. First of all:
- 10 "Media attendance should be authorised through the
- 11 PHQ press office. Facilitating such requests often
- 12 results in very positive publicity. It also allows the
- 13 media greater insight into the workings of and problems
- 14 encountered by the police. However, media attendance on
- 15 operations needs to be carefully planned, as it should
- 16 be recognised that the presence of the media can create
- 17 practical difficulties for officers."
- 18 So pausing there, there is a very significant public
- 19 relations issue here. Very positive publicity may
- 20 result?
- 21 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. That's correct.

Mr Ash?

- 22 Q. Then you point out, quite rightly, under 19.3 --
- 23 "Article 6 rights" is the first bullet point, the rights
- 24 to a fair trial. Then rights to privacy is the second
- 25 bullet point and then there are further subsidiary
 - Page 13

points which maybe flow from the Article 8 rights. So

positive publicity which might ensue, all of this should

you're making it clear that notwithstanding the very

- 1 a story criticising the delay and informing the public
- 2 about the incident."

information."

say:

- 3 Then you say, on page 58736:
- 4 "On the few occasions when our response has fallen be balanced against the private rights of individuals?
 - 5 short of expectations, we have responded positively to
- 6 Q. Have any difficult issues arisen in practice regarding well-founded criticism to address the issues
 - 7 highlighted. In this particular case, we arranged media
 - 8 liaison training for all our force operations room
 - 9
- 10 Tell us more about the training which was given, Q. May I return, please, to your statement, page 58734. 11 please, Mr Ash.
- 11 We've looked at the procedure. You make it clear, level 12 with the upper hole punch: 13

allowing media on police operations in your area,

A. Not during my time as Chief Constable, no.

- "When it comes to policing matters affecting the whole county or comments on issues of constabulary policy, either I or another senior officer take the lead."
- Well, again, that's common sense. If there's an important issue of principle or policy, it's right that it should come from you. Then you've given some examples of that lead being taken.
- Can I ask you about negative headlines, which you refer to next just below the lower hole punch. You refer to a strong local media in Suffolk and they hold you rigorously to account, and so it follows that on occasions the headlines will not always be as good as

 - Page 14

- inspectors."
- 12 A. Yes. The training involved representatives from our
- 13 local media, and what we were trying to do was to bridge
- 14 this issue I referred to earlier, where the media wanted
- 15 early notification of issues, and this example I quoted
- 16 here was a perfectly justifiable request where we were
- 17 slow putting into the public domain an escape of people
- 18 that were in a secure hospital having committed violent
- 19 offences. And what we wanted to do was to ensure that
- 20 our force operations room inspectors understood both the
- 21 media perspective and my requirements as the
- 22 Chief Constable to put appropriate information into the
- 23 public domain at an appropriate time.
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So the problem is to spot what is the
- 25 story or what is the incident that is sufficiently

1 important to press the red button?

- 2 **A. Yes**
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And to provide information. In this
- 4 case, do I gather you believe that the calibration was
- 5 wrong, that actually it was of sufficient significance
- 6 that the press office should have been told earlier, so
- 7 that by the time people were getting up the following
- 8 morning, not just midday, they should have known the
- 9 story? Is that the point?
- 10 A. That is the point, and I'm sure editors will speak for
- 11 themselves. They would probably say even as soon as we
- 12 knew three violent people had escaped, we should have
- 13 been putting something out into the public domain in
- 14 terms of our responsibility to protect the public, and
- in this instance, you'll see a whole set of procedural
- 16 matters delayed it, which was clearly unacceptable.
- 17 MR JAY: The issue of hospitality next, Mr Ash, page 58737.
- In your case, it's been fairly sparse. Indeed, you cite
- only one example of hospitality. In January of last
- year, you watched a football match, and that was
- 21 recorded in the appropriate register, wasn't it?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And in a like vein, the hospitality you've given to the
- 24 media has been of an equivalent standard, if I can put
- 25 it in those terms.

Page 17

- $1 \qquad \text{ and then being able to access management information and} \\$
- 2 search the data as and when required.
- 3 Q. So if a police officer has contact with a journalist,
- 4 the police officer would be expected to inform the
- 5 corporate communications staff of that contact and they
- 6 would then do the logging onto the system?
- 7 A. That's correct, since we introduced this, yes.
- 8 Q. This is set out in recent guidance. It's under your
 - tab 12, our page 58607. There isn't a date on it, but
- 10 I think it must have been published last year, at the
- same time as Suffolk and Norfolk amalgamated for these
- 12 purposes; would that be correct?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct. The date for this was October
- 14 **2011.**

9

- 15 Q. Thank you. You can see in the middle of the page the
- third bullet point. That addresses the logging of
- information as well as hospitality.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. So is your policy merely to log the fact of contact or
- should one include more information?
- 21 A. Um...
- 22 O. Maybe it's the second bullet point which answers that.
- 23 A. Yeah. We've sought to introduce something that aims to
- 24 be a step beyond where we were, ie recording nothing in
- 25 a very formal way, but not creating something that is

Page 19

1 A. That's correct.

- 2 Q. Do you have a view about this? Is it just an accident
- 3 that it's happened in this way or is there a philosophy
- 4 behind it in terms of the level of hospitality which you
- 5 offer and have received?
- 6 A. I think the professional relationship that I enjoy with
- 7 the local media and the ability to get business done
- 8 usually means we meet, for the majority of the time, on
- 9 police premises or premises of the media, and at those
- 10 locations light refreshments are usually the order of
- the day, and with very few exceptions, that enables us
- 12 to maintain a relationship and get business done in
- a busy world in an acceptable way.
- 14 Q. Thank you. The next point is paragraph 11, Mr Ash. The
- 15 new software used by the corporate communications
- department -- it's called Spotlight, I believe, and it
- enables monitoring of contacts with the media to be
- undertaken, and requires that details of all contact
- 19 with the media be logged and recorded; have I correctly
- 20 understood it?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct, and this was a benefit from our
- $22 \qquad \hbox{collaborative work with colleagues in Norfolk that were} \\$
- $23 \qquad \hbox{already using this system. Our communications} \\$
- department have taken it on in the way you describe, and it's a very comprehensive method of recording contacts
 - Page 18

- bureaucratically prohibitive, and to try and strike that
- 2 middle ground, and we feel that the information as
- 3 referred in that bullet point, sort of date, time, place
- 4 and overall purpose of the meeting, seems to capture
- 5 that in terms of what we believe's required.
- 6 Q. For the purposes of this guidance, is there any
- 7 distinction between formal and informal contact with the
- 8 media?
- 9 A. We've not created that distinction, no.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The critical part of this guidance is
- not just in what the officer has to do; it's in the
- previous part, isn't it? The default position is that
- you tell the media what's going on and that you only
- withhold it if there's a valid operational reason for
- 15 withholding it?
- 16 **A. Yes.**
- 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's the first thing. The second
- thing is that the strategy is for you and your senior
- officers, but below strategy on operations, can it be
- any police officer from constable above?
- 21 A. Yes, it can, ves.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's to encourage openness,
- 23 merely to have a mechanism to know what's going in the
- 24 public domain?
- 25 A. Yes. And the role of the corporate communications

1 department is to provide support to those individuals, 1 timely information, which is the matter we've addressed, 2 and the corporate communications department has people 2 they obviously seek accurate and up-to-date information, 3 at headquarters as well as people distributed around the 3 because from their perspective -- although we'll hear 4 county, so most officers would know their local media 4 from them directly -- they do not want to put 5 liaison communication officers and they would be their 5 information end the public domain through their journals 6 first port of call for advice if they were going to be 6 which is inaccurate. That's obvious, isn't it? 7 7 contacted by the media. More commonly, it's the other A. Yes. 8 way around, that the media officer will actually be the 8 Q. Question 18. This deals with hospitality. It's under 9 interface that arranges the contact with the officer and 9 tab 11, the professional standards handbook. It starts 10 10 then provides support for the interview. at page 58712. This is the October 2005 edition. This 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: One of things that's been said to me 11 lays out a series of standards which applies generally, 12 by a number of reporters is: "Oh, if police officers 12 not just to contacts with the media; is that correct? 13 have to write down they've spoken to us, it will all dry 13 A. That is correct. 14 up because they'll be very concerned about promotion or 14 Q. I had marked one provision which is relevant. Just bear 15 anything like that." You've only obviously been doing 15 with me. I can't immediately find it, Mr Ash. Yes, 16 this for the last four months, but have you seen any 16 it's clause 10, page 58671. You're setting out here 17 difficulty along those lines? 17 a series of general principles, really, of ethics and 18 A. I've not, and I went and visited our team last week to 18 appropriate conduct, which would apply to hospitality 19 19 flick through a few records and have a look what was generally as well as the media in particular; would you 20 there. I guess my bigger concern is ensuring police 20 agree? 21 officers continue to notify the contacts. That's where 21 A. Yes, that's correct. 22 I think the weakest link in this process is, not so much 22 Q. The first principle: it must be capable of being 23 the content. In practical terms, it's a short email 23 justified on the public interest. It should be of 24 24 a scale appropriate to the occasion. Individuals must that can then be cut and pasted into the Solcara 25 Spotlight system. So it's -- my sense is that providing 25 consider carefully offers of hospitality where any Page 21 Page 23 1 the onus is not to create massive amounts of 1 suggestion of improper influence is possible. Then 2 2 10.4: information, the basis of the spirit of what we created 3 3 here seems to not be too onerous. "The extent and location of the hospitality should 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But you've not detected a reluctance 4 determine the degree to which an offer of hospitality is 5 on the part of your officers to have this sort of 5 acceptable. A practical test is whether the hospitality 6 6 contact with the press? offered could or would be reciprocated by the Suffolk 7 A. No, and I think there's an acceptance that -- the mere 7 Constabulary." 8 fact of this Inquiry, that may be things need to change, 8 Then there are three further principles on the next 9 and I think against that backdrop, our officers seem to 9 page. These are all very sensible and quite pithily 10 be working with it and following the spirit of what is 10 expressed rules of thumb which your officers can readily 11 11 understand and apply? 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we'll be able to hear later on 12 A. That's correct. 13 in the morning what your oppos think about that. Right. 13 Q. Thank you. It gives some hospitality registers. Is 14 MR JAY: Thank you. Back to your statement, please. I'm 14 that ever audited or monitored? 15 15 going to move forward to paragraph 17, page 58741. The A. There are two registers. There's one for chief 16 question was: what do the media seek from such contact 16 officers, which is maintained within our own office by 17 17 with your personnel? Your answer is based on this our own executive services, and then there is a second 18 premise, really: that the local media and the police are 18 one, which is for the rest of the force, which is 19 deeply embedded in the same community with the same 19 maintained by the professional standards department, who 20 20 concerns. maintain oversight of that. There's no significant 21 21 A. That's absolutely correct, yes. oversight of the chief officers' register, and that's 22 Q. So from that perspective you're following the same 22 a matter of discussion between myself and the Police 23 23 objectives, aren't you? Authority, as it's clearly a gap. 24 A. We share a lot of very similar objectives, yes. 24 Q. You refer in question 25, bottom of page 58744, to the 25 25 Q. The basic point is that apart from the provision of fact that current policies and procedures have been Page 22 Page 24

2

got to the bottom of any of these leaks in the sense of

being able to prove them; is that right?

3 page the HMIC report of December 2011, "Without fear or 3 A. That's correct. 4 favour", which we have looked at. What is the basic 4 Q. And some of them are more significant than others. The 5 point which you feel might need to be addressed in your 5 most recent one relates to budget cuts and compulsory 6 force, Mr Ash? 6 redundancies under regulation A19, and that, I think, 7 7 A. Well, the "Without fear or favour" report offered prompted a publication from you, which is our tab 16. Your message to the force, 7 September 2011 --8 8 a checklist in one of its appendices that we're using as 9 a reference to health check ourselves, but the sort of A. That's correct. 10 10 areas where, quite obviously, we need to make some Q. -- page 58644, which was really a warning to those under 11 judgments is -- the document you were referring to there 11 you that unauthorised disclosures were unacceptable? 12 was dated 2005, and we're in the process of updating 12 A. That's correct. 13 that. We're also looking to get our hospitality 13 Q. You were supported by the chair of the Suffolk Police 14 register onto an electronic register and ensure that 14 Federation and, I think, by Unison, weren't you? 15 that's properly promoted and that the oversight 15 A. Yes, that's correct. I invited them in, discussed the 16 arrangements are robust. 16 situation that is outlined as you indicated, and they 17 Q. Your deputy chief constable is chairing a working group, 17 were pleased to lend their support to this message, and 18 which is looking at the December 2011 report in general, 18 whilst leaking information in itself is unacceptable, 19 19 this was having a consequential knock-on to many of 20 A. That's correct. 20 their members, who were subject to the organisation LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is there a risk that different forces 21 21 change that was going through proper human resource 22 are going to be inventing the same wheel all around the 22 consultation processes, and therefore being adversely 23 country? 23 jeopardised by the leak of information. 24 24 A. There is a possibility, I think, and -- but I sort of Q. The final paragraph of your message on page 58645 25 sense, speaking to colleagues, there is a degree of --25 reminded everyone in your organisation of their duty to Page 25 Page 27 1 certainly there's been the Filkin report, there's been 1 report corrupt, dishonest or unethical behaviour by 2 the HMI, there is your Inquiry and I think there is 2 officers or staff as a matter of to professional 3 a sort of sense in the service that at some point that 3 standards. So it wasn't, as it were, stifling the 4 needs bringing together into something that's composite, 4 dissemination of all information, but you're making it 5 5 and I think the HMI accurately makes the point that clear it should be disseminated to the right body, 6 6 national consistency is an issue, and I think in a lot namely professional standards within the force, and not 7 of the matters we're talking about here today, I think 7 the media more widely; is that correct? 8 something that brought a greater degree of consistency 8 A. Yes, I think the point I'm making there is people need 9 nationally would be very beneficial. 9 to know that if it were proven, there would be 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I entirely agree, and I'm sure ACPO 10 a consequence. 11 will provide some input from across the forces, which 11 Q. The motive for these leaks, insofar as it's possible to 12 12 allows me to say something which then might help discern one -- certainly in terms of budget cuts within 13 nationally rather than requiring the effort and work of 13 your force, you suspect that the leaks were most likely 14 each deputy chief constable in each force around the 14 to have come from disgruntled officers and staff 15 15 country trying to navigate through the various rights affected by the proposals. So it's no question of money 16 and wrongs of these policies. 16 passing hands? 17 A. Yes, I completely agree with that, and the handbook 17 A. No, that's certainly my strong belief, as you described. 18 we've just referred to, it would be useful if there was 18 Q. Thank you. 19 a national framework that we were all operating to, and 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Presumably it's in the misguided 20 20 I think that would be a very helpful outcome. belief that it will help? 21 Q. Can I move on now to the issue of leaks, Mr Ash, 21 A. Yes. Yes, and along with all chief constables, we're

page 58747. Not a significant problem generally,

although you do identify five investigations into

suspected leaks over the last five years, and those are

listed under question 31, page 58748. I don't think you

Page 26

22

23

24

25

1

2

adequate for the constabulary to date but are definitely

capable of improvement. Then you bring in on the next

22

23

24

25

having to make some very tough decisions as the size of

our workforce is shrinking, and consequently people, as

you say, are misguidedly questioning some of the choices

we're having to make, and, as you say, hoping that it

will alter decisions.

1

- 2 MR JAY: Question 43 now, bottom of page 58753, and then
- 3 over to the next page. You were asked to provide your
- 4 current impression of the culture within your
- 5 constabulary in relation to its dealings with the press.
- 6 You refer to the merger of your department with the
- 7 corporate communications department of Norfolk to create
- 8 one composite department, and that, in your view, is
- 9 working satisfactorily; is that correct?
- 10 A. It is working satisfactorily, but it's a considerably
- smaller size than the combined department that
- 12 previously existed and pressures are evident in terms of
- 13 it's a considerably smaller department than the
- 14 composite of the previous two.
- 15 Q. Do you believe that the corporate communications
- department is putting out, as it were, a party line --
- in other words, an extremely positive gloss on
- everything you do -- or do you feel it sort of takes the
- rough with the smooth?
- 20 A. I think we are all of the view we take the rough with
- 21 the smooth, but my overriding objective is to create
- trust and confidence in the policing in Suffolk.
- Clearly, I want to promote all that is good, but as we
- 24 all know, occasionally things don't go according to plan
- 25 and we need to sometimes apologise, sometimes give an
 - Page 29

- one request every working day, when taken throughout the
- 2 year, and that some of these requests are not simply
- 3 responded to but require very careful thought and
- 4 research, and it was just to highlight, against the
- 5 backdrop we're dealing with: this is a growth area of
- 6 our work.
- 7 Q. There are two other points, Mr Ash. I believe you're in
- 8 a position to comment at least on some of the evidence
- 9 we heard last week from Mr Harrison, who was the ex-SOCA
- officer who was in Ipswich and its environs in
- November/December of 2006.

12 A. That's correct.

- 13 Q. What, if anything, have you ascertained which might
- 14 assist the Inquiry?
- 15 A. I think the first point to make is I'm probably not your
- 16 best witness, because obviously I wasn't Chief Constable
- 17 at the time, but having made enquiries over the last
- week, I've not been able to find any information to
- support the first assertion, namely that the News of the
- 20 World were deploying surveillance teams against police
- 21 surveillance teams who were following suspects.
- On the second assertion, that a newspaper picked up
- $23 \qquad \text{a suspect and took them to a hotel and interviewed them} \\$
- 24 whilst they were under police surveillance, I have been
- 25 able to find information to support that.

Page 31

- 1 explanation, and I think that's equally important that
- $2 \qquad \hbox{ the people of Suffolk see and hear that as well.} \\$
- 3 Q. In terms of moving forward -- this is question 44,
- 4 page 58755 -- you make it clear there's no room for
- 5 complacency:
- 6 "We must continue to create an environment in which
- 7 positive and appropriate relationships can flourish."
- 8 And you highlight six points, really, which will
 - continue to foster the good.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. These are a mixture of ethical and pragmatic points
- which one would hope to see in any police force; would
- 13 you agree?

9

- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Can I ask you please now: the Freedom of Information Act
- 16 issue, what was your concern? Why did you include it in
- 17 your statement?
- 18 A. The reason I included it is because at a time when there
- is a pressure from government to keep as many police
- 20 resources on the front line as possible, at the same
- 21 time as taking significant sums of money out of our
- 22 organisations, this is one area that is a growth area of
- business, and in terms of my own force, which, as you
- rightly said earlier, is a small force, requests from the media through freedom of information equates to ov
- the media through freedom of information equates to over Page 30

- $1\,$ $\,$ Q. In terms of the News of the World, which was the first
- 2 allegation, have you seen any evidence or information
- 3 which might contradict what Mr Harrison said?
- 4 A. No, I haven't.
- 5 Q. I'm asked to put to you this general question, Mr Ash:
- do you believe it can ever be in the public interest to
- 7 lie to a journalist, for example, when the truth might
- 8 frustrate an arrest or hinder a criminal investigation?
- 9 A. I wouldn't normally advocate lying. It doesn't strike
- me as usually the best course of action, so my sort of initial response to that is: no.
- 12 Q. The answer may be you wouldn't necessarily provide all
- relevant information but the information you would
- provide, although limited, would not be misleading; is
- that, broadly speaking, along the right lines?
- 16 A. That would be a far more eloquent way of putting it,
- 17 **yes.**
- 18 MR JAY: Okay. Mr Ash, thank you very much.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Just before you go, having heard what
- 20 Mr Desmond Browne had to say last week, I'd just like to
- 21 investigate a bit further what your enquiries have
- 22 revealed. Do I gather that they revealed that reporters
- 23 did collect somebody who was a suspect and who was under
- 24 police surveillance and take him somewhere to interview
- him? That's the position?

1	A. Yes.	1	A. That's correct.
2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You're not going so far as to say	2	Q. The second is dated and signed on 9 March. The first
3	they tried to throw off surveillance?	3	one isn't, but are both of these statements your formal
4	A. I'm not in a position to say that, no.	4	evidence to the Inquiry?
5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's just that was one of the things	5	A. Yes, they are.
6	that Mr Browne was keen to deal with, I think.	6	Q. I understand that you're moving on to another job
7	All right, Mr Ash, thank you very much indeed. You	7	shortly; is that right?
8	will appreciate, of course, that one of the reasons that	8	A. I am. This is my final week in post.
9	Suffolk was chosen as a force to come to the Inquiry as	9	Q. Thank you. Without prejudice to that, I can summarise
10	opposed to the others is that you've had the experience	10	your career to date. As I've said, you are currently
11	of being the subject of intense media focus because of	11	the head of corporate communications for Norfolk and
12	the particular offence, albeit committed before you took	12	Suffolk Constabularies. We've heard that the two
13	over, on your patch.	13	departments merged in July 2011 and before that, between
14	A. Yes.	14	2007 and July 2011, you were the director of
15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is there anything that you would like	15	communications and public affairs for Norfolk; is that,
16	to say about the differences between the local and the	16	broadly speaking, correct?
17	national media that you have learnt arising from that	17	A. That's correct.
18	experience? If not you, then we can ask your colleague	18	Q. Before then, you enjoyed a career in journalism and then
19	who is due to come, but I just want to give you the	19	in public relations and media strategy generally?
20	chance to say anything that you wanted to say about	20	A. That's correct.
21	that.	21	Q. Can I ask you, please, about APCOM. Since when have you
22	A. Well, I think the only thing I would say is it was quite	22	been the chair of APCOM?
23	obvious that, having spoken to people that were involved	23	A. I took over as chair in November 2009 and have been
24	with that, there was a need in terms of the volume of	24	chair since.
25	media that attended and the thirst for information, it	25	Q. For those who don't know and there will be many
	Page 33		Page 35
1	outstripped anything that we would normally deal with	1	what APCOM is and what it does could you assist us
1 2	outstripped anything that we would normally deal with,	1 2	what APCOM is and what it does, could you assist us,
2	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces	2	please?
2 3	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback	2 3	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators,
2 3 4	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more	2 3 4	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all
2 3 4 5	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that	2 3 4 5	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police
2 3 4	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the	2 3 4 5 6	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and	2 3 4 5 6 7	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements. The first is under our tab 59. That's given in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the London-based force is dealing with all the national
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements. The first is under our tab 59. That's given in your capacity as head of corporate communications for Norfolk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the London-based force is dealing with all the national issues. It has a unique place in not just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements. The first is under our tab 59. That's given in your capacity as head of corporate communications for Norfolk and Suffolk constabularies. Then the second is under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the London-based force is dealing with all the national issues. It has a unique place in not just the investigations but the issues it covers, because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements. The first is under our tab 59. That's given in your capacity as head of corporate communications for Norfolk and Suffolk constabularies. Then the second is under our tab 69, which is in your capacity as chair of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	please? A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the London-based force is dealing with all the national issues. It has a unique place in not just the investigations but the issues it covers, because of course it carries out investigations on behalf of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and consequently we drew on assistance from other forces and other agencies locally, and I think the feedback I've had generally and I'm able to say this more readily because obviously I wasn't the chief is that the force came out of it very well in terms of the procedures they adopted. I know you'll be hearing evidence from previous Detective Chief Superintendent Stewart Gull, who I think was at the heart of that and will be able to describe it far more in detail than I am, because he was there and living it, but I think he was at the hub of a very effective media operation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay, thank you very much indeed. MR JAY: The next witness, please, is Anne Campbell. MS ANNE ELIZABETH CAMPBELL (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. I'm Anne Elizabeth Campbell. Q. Ms Campbell, you've provided us with two statements. The first is under our tab 59. That's given in your capacity as head of corporate communications for Norfolk and Suffolk constabularies. Then the second is under our tab 69, which is in your capacity as chair of the Association of Police Communicators, which is known by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Okay. APCOM is the Association of Police Communicators, and is a representative body seeking to represent all those that hold communication roles for the Police Service, primarily forces but not exclusively so. A number of police organisations, their communications staff are also members. So currently we have getting on approaching 400 members. Q. Does that include, Ms Campbell, members from the DPA in the Metropolitan Police? A. Yes, it does. In fact, because of the numbers involved, there's a sizeable number of memberships from the Metropolitan Police. Q. In your position of oversight, as it were, do you see any broad differences between the regional forces on the one hand and the challenges they face, and the Metropolitan Police Service on the other hand? A. Yes, I suppose I do, because it's very clear that the London-based force is dealing with all the national issues. It has a unique place in not just the investigations but the issues it covers, because of course it carries out investigations on behalf of a number of the other forces as well, and I'm thinking

13

14

9

10

11

12

13

1 people have -- there's been cause to send people abroad 2 to investigate. That tends to be the remit of the Met. 3 So the Met's local media, if you like, are the 4 national media, whereas for most other forces it's very 5 much a local and regional media. So the Met is very 6 different for a number of reasons. 7 Q. In terms of the challenges the Met faces vis-a-vis the 8 challenges the regional forces face, are you able to

s challenges the regional forces face, are you able to
help with that? I mean, that would involve making,
I suppose, a generalised statement about what the
regional press do as compared with the national press?

A. Yes. I'm not best qualified to speak on that, becau

A. Yes. I'm not best qualified to speak on that, because of course I've not worked for the Met. I have worked alongside the Met on a number of special operations, but I've not been part of their team. My comments would only be as a result of what I've observed.

Q. Well, that's a tactful answer, but is there anything youmight want to say?

A. What I would say is my colleagues in the Met DPA, they certainly follow similar standards of corporate communications practice, so although they're dealing with certainly much more, shall we say -- often more newsworthy issues, and more sensitive, controversial issues, the methods that they're employing are very similar to the rest of us, and in fact -- you've already

level and to ensure that the advice is -- best advice is received. That would be why I would think I report direct to ACPO.

Q. Under questions 4 and 5 of your first statement, our
 pages 13747, you explain that culture within the
 constabulary is one of encouragement of officers and

7 staff to proactively use the news media to inform the

8 public. It's really the role of the press office,

9 though, in relation to that. Is the expectation that

10 officers should always contact the press office before

speaking to a journalist?

A. I think it very much depends what the issue is and what level enquiry is being made. I draw a distinction between the different roles of police officers. There

are many. In fact, I would say policing is a very complex situation to deal with from a communicat

16 complex situation to deal with from a communications
 17 point of view. So there is absolutely no doubt there

is, I think, one of encouragement of officers and staff
 at all levels to interact with the media, where it is

20 appropriate to do so. So, for instance, in our Safer

21 Neighbourhood teams, there's very much a drive for those

22 teams to get closer to the public, to the people that

23 they serve, by having street briefings, various

24 localised campaigns.

Now, in order for them to be effective, those Page 39

 $1 \qquad \hbox{previously asked Mr Ash about the Spotlight system. I'm} \\$

Page 37

2 aware that that system has been in the Met for some

3 time. So there are certainly similarities in the way

4 that we communicators go about our business.

5 Q. The Spotlight system, that's been scribed as Solcara.

6 Is it the same system?

13

14

15

16

7 A. It is the same system. It's had a number of titles. In
8 fact, I gather the company now has another name, Vuelio,

but Solcara/Spotlight, it's basically a piece of
 software that allows us to manage contacts, a

software that allows us to manage contacts, a bit like a customer relation management system, if that's not too

much jargon.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Q. No. Now, first of all, Ms Campbell, I'm going to ask you to deal with your evidence in your capacity as head of corporate communications for Norfolk and Suffolk -- primarily Suffolk, but it won't make much difference because principles doubtless are identical -- and then we'll look at APCOM in your second statement of claim.

19 In line with other colleagues in comparable 20 positions, you report directly to the deputy

21 Chief Constable; is that right?

22 A. That's correct, yes.

23 Q. Do you know what the reason for that is?

A. The reason is to have a direct line to the chief
 officers in order to advise at the highest strategic

Page 38

1 officers needs to be able to speak to the media and use

2 media channels. So you have, if you like, the very sort

3 of basic level, and then, of course, it escalates.

4 Where we have specialised campaigns, it would make sense

5 that the people who are driving and behind those

6 campaigns, be it a road traffic campaign, say, something
7 to -- not to use mobile phones in cars, then it makes

to -- not to use mobile phones in cars, then it makes
 sense for those officers who are dealing with that to

speak with the media.

Then, of course, you have very serious crimes. That brings into play a whole different set of situations, such as we're probably seeking the help of the public to try and find the perpetrators.

So I've just described some very different reasons why we would need to harness all of our officers and staff, because I believe very much so that we're all

17 ambassadors for the organisation, albeit operating at

different levels and within different contexts. So what

I would say is that if -- and my advice to the chief

20 officers would be -- that where peoples were

21 knowledgeable and felt comfortable in dealing with the

22 media on an issue that is well within their

23 understanding, then they should be able to do that with

24 minimal help from the corporate communications team, but

where there are much more complex investigations, then

Page 40

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Day 55 - AM 1 it would make sense, and often is the case, that they 2 work very closely with the coms team to work out the 3 lines to take and find the areas where we could actually 4 be proactive with the media. 5 I think, if I may say, most of the staff in 6 corporate communications are coming from a point of view 7 where they want to facilitate communication with the 8 media in order to help reach the public. 9 Q. Can I ask you, though, about the last sentence of 10 question 5. This is in the context of a broad question 11 which enquired whether the press office has a gatekeeper 12 function. You say: 13 "Rather than control the flow of information to the 14 media, I would say they [that's your office] edit the 15 flow of information to the media." 16 What's the difference, though, between controlling 17 the flow of information and editing the flow of 18 information? 19 A. I think the former has a negative context, whereas what 20 I'm saying -- what I'm suggesting there is that there is 21 many, many issues, crimes and areas to speak to the 22 media on, and actually our task is to refine down and 23 find the most newsworthy items that are going to be of 24 interest to the media. So I would see it very much as 25 a news editing role as opposed to a controlling role, Page 41

interview happen, but of course, with the shift system, it may -- there may be a number of people who would be equally appropriate to speak, so we would find the most available person.

I think the public always prefer to hear from a uniformed officer or a warranted officer, rather than a support member of staff.

Q. Can I ask you, please, about question 10, page 13749.

Am I right in saying that the message you're seeking to get across here is that ordinarily a police officer would be expected to speak to a member of the news team before engaging with the press, rather than going off on their own bat, as it were, and that's to ensure that the police officer doesn't start saying something which is off piste? Have I correctly understood it?

A. That's partly correct, but the reason why we would encourage officers to contact us first would be to ensure that they had the up-to-date lines to take and the latest information, so in other words, that the police officer was -- had in his or her armoury the most up-to-date information. It's not just about trying to put a particular slant about. In fact, because we're not keen to be overbearing, if an officer speaks to a member of the media first, I would then hope that he or she would then contact us afterwards to say what had Page 43

but of course if the journalists are doing their
 homework and want to explore some exclusive lines, then
 equally my team would be seeking to help them flesh out
 that information too.

So I do very much believe that it's very much a news editing role. It's not about controlling information; there's just far too much information swimming about the system, so we do have to exercise some judgments as to what is most appropriate, and it usually sorts itself out. What crimes have occurred, where we need help and assistance from potential witnesses, clearly we would be seeking to look after those issues first.

Then, of course, the more proactive side. I've mentioned campaigns where we're seeking to get a message across to the public, be it about drink drive, keeping valuables safe or whatever. There are many different types of story.

types of story.
Q. When a journalist wants to speak directly to a police
officer under the policy, of course, it's the
appropriate officer who should be the point of contact.
You presumably have a role in ascertaining who that
appropriate officer is; is that correct?
A. Yes, that would be correct, because we would know who -we're likely to know who's best able to speak on

a particular subject and then seek to make that
Page 42

1 occurred. The reason for that is that if something is

2 then put out into the media that the team are not aware

3 of, then it's likely to prompt other questions from

4 other different types of media, and then of course we're

5 unaware of what's been said, the context and cannot

6 help. That tends to be a frustration for everyone then.

7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's rather the point that has come

8 out over the last witnesses, that there is an anxiety to

9 be open and for the right officer to speak to whoever is

10 asking the question, but there can't be a free-for-all,

otherwise nobody knows what's going on. Is that the

12 point?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

A. I think in part it is, because I think if we look at corporate communication best practice, then actually it is about managing communications, which is why I would say that what we do is about managing information to the benefit of the organisation and the public, and I think Mr Ash already mentioned that a lot of the issues between certainly the local and the regional and, to a degree, the national press and ourselves, they're very

a degree, the national press and ourselves, they're very
 similar. We're both wanting to put out information in

22 the right way.

So it's actually about managing this massive beast of information. You know, there's 50,000 crimes a year, roughly. You can't possibly hope to give information on

Page 44

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

- 1 all of them. So this news editing aspect and making
- 2 sure that the organisation is then best able to deal
- 3 with all requests that may be prompted from a starter
- 4 for ten, if you like, then -- that actually is what
- 5 we're about.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But do you recognise that by using
- 7 the word "managing", some people might be suspicious
- 8 that "managing" has connotations of control in a way
- 9 that limits rather than empowers?
- 10 A. I do. I do understand that and I do appreciate it,
- 11 which is why I'm choosing my words carefully, but
- 12 actually we're managing a situation of a lot of demand
- 13 potentially from the media, and we either let officers,
- 14 without any assistance, deal with that and potentially
- 15 in an unhelpful way, which will also then take up a lot
- 16 of their time -- and if I go back as to why
- 17 communications staff exist, it is actually to free up
- 18 the officers so that they can spend the time on what the
- 19 public want them to be doing primarily, which is
- 20 investigating and keeping -- protecting people and
- 21 keeping them safe. We're helping them to manage the
- 22 communications.
- 23 MR JAY: In terms of managing, though, in question 13, you
- 24 do say quite frankly that managing reputation is part of
- 25 what you do, don't you?

Page 45

- 1 media contact me is because they hope I will be able to
- 2 unlock doors and help them obtain information that may
 - not be easily available or access to senior officers
- 4 that has previously been denied."
- 5 So the unlocking of the door will be putting them in 6 contact with a senior officer where appropriate; have
- 7 I understood correctly?
- 8 A. Yes, or if there's been some hiccup or block in the
- 9 system and they've not been able to get, say, a name of
- 10 someone who's been involved in an accident. I recall
- 11 a recent telephone conversation with an editor who was
- 12 concerned about -- I believe it was a coroner not
- 13 releasing a name. In other words, it will be an issue
- 14 of strategy or policy that I'm likely to get involved
- 15 in. It's unlikely to be day-to-day routine information,
- 16 because hopefully we will have the systems to put that
- 17

20

- 18 Q. And then hospitality. That is, again, fairly frugal.
- 19 Question 20, there's the occasional or very occasional
 - light lunch.
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And that's it. I think we have the receipt for that.
- Is it Delia's Canary catering? 23
- 24 A. Quite possibly.
- 25 Q. In August 2011. It was only £31.50. That gives us some Page 47

- A. Yes, that's correct. 1
- 2 Q. Is it implicit in that, if one looks at the previous
- 3 sentence, that if senior operational officers are
- 4 speaking to local journalists directly, there's a risk,
- 5 because they may go off piste or whatever, that the
- 6 reputation may be harmed?
- 7 A. I'm not so worried about them going off piste, because
- 8 they know their business and they generally know what
- 9 they want to put out there. What I would be mainly
- 10 concerned about is that all members of the media are
- 11 treated fairly and in a similar way. So if we have an
- 12 officer doing his or her own thing with a particular
- 13 section of the media, then that's likely to be
- 14 a problem, because I'm then likely to get calls from
- 15 other parts -- other types of media, saying, "Why
- 16 haven't you given us that information?"
- 17 So it's not actually about controlling necessarily
- 18 the content of what that officer may say, but actually 19
- making sure that that information then is fairly and
- 20 freely available to everyone, and that's where I think
- 21 the electronic means of communication, websites, have
- 22 assisted forces greatly to improve that.
- 23 Q. Move on, please, to question 16, page 13751, what the
- 24 media are seeking from you. You explain this:
- 25 "At my level, the reason most local and national
 - Page 46

- 1 idea. There was no alcohol, I can see, on the bill.
- 2 A. Definitely no alcohol, and what will I would say is that
- 3 we take it in turns. So if I'm picking up the bill on
- 4 one occasion, then the next occasion my opposite number
- 5 would pick up the bill. So it's done very fairly and
- 6 infrequently, as you've seen.
- 7 Q. Do you have a view about the ethics of hospitality or
- 8 not?
- 9 A. I do. I think there has to be caution, but I actually
- 10 think a lot of it falls into that area of common sense.
- 11 I mean, journalists from time immemorial -- and I used
- 12 to be a journalist -- are used to, I suppose, having
- 13 drinks in bars and that would be one way of chatting to
- 14 make relationships. It's probably moved on since then.
- 15 I don't actually believe it's acceptable to purchase
- 16 alcohol, but I think for low-level expenses or
- 17 refreshments, then those expenses are justifiable
- 18 because it is a part and parcel of the role. How else
- 19 would I be able to have fairly private conversations
 - with senior members of the media to discuss the massive
 - changes affecting the Police Service?
- 22 Q. You make it clear in question 27, Ms Campbell, that you
- 23 understand and your staff understands what appropriate
- 24 media contact is and is not. Do you feel that officers
- 25 always understand what "appropriate media contact"

Page 48

20

21

1 means?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A. I would hope that they do, because there are a number of
 occasions where officers will have some media training,
 and it's not just something that's there subject to when
 they join the service. There are various points
 throughout careers.

I would say that those officers whose roles bring them into more contact with the media have the better understanding, but generally I think most people would know where the lines in the sand were, and if they didn't, I would hope that they would know to come and seek advice from the corporate communications team.

- Q. Under question 29, you give a specific example, going back to the point we were discussing earlier about possible differences between the national media and the regional media and the different way the local press dealt with a story compared with one organ of the national press. Could you elaborate on that example for us, please?
- us, please?
 A. I think I'm going to have to generalise, but generally
 if the national media are interested in a story, it's
 usually because there's something -- the headlines are
 not going to be very clever or very good for us. They
 only tend to be interested in the things which are
 probably known as bad news. Whereas the locals and the

 $1\quad \ Q.\ \ Did\ you\ complain\ about\ it\ in\ this\ particular\ case\ or$

- 2 not?
- 3 A. No, I don't believe I did.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is that because there's really no
- 5 point --
- 6 A. (Nods head)
- 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- because you're very experienced to
- 8 what reaction you'll get or for some other reason?
- 9 A. In part it would be, because when we have sent follow-up
- 10 statements and pointed on out that our side was not
- 11 properly covered, then that rarely got any coverage
- because then they're on to something else. So there's
- also a balance, that if you decide to say something
- and a balance, that it you decide to say sometiming
- $14\,$ else, well, then that could potentially protract the
- 15 story and that could be unhelpful. So depending on the
- 16 exact circumstances -- and I can't recall what they were
- in this one -- we would go through quite a lengthy
- $18 \qquad \hbox{discussion with colleagues as to what would be the best} \\$
- 19 thing to do.
- 20 I'm not saying that the national media would ignore
- 21 everything we would say. I'm not saying that, but
- 22 sometimes you just need to understand when it's best to
- draw that line and then move on.
- 24 MR JAY: Yes. Leaks, now, Ms Campbell. We heard about the
- 25 five inquiries which occupied Suffolk in the last five

Page 51

- $1 \qquad \hbox{regional media, they're constantly covering the issues} \\$
- 2 and the stories from -- of the constabulary, so you
- 3 build up a daily relationship. They're more keen,
- 4 I would say, in my experience, to give a rounded view
- 5 I mean, what we would expect would be that journalists
- 6 would be fair and accurate, and I would add "balanced"
- 7 to that. That's what I think we tend to work with the
- 8 local media to see reflected. I think fair, balanced
 - and accurate stories are reflected, even if they're not
- so good news.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you're looking at the national media, they're not so worried about putting our side of the story; in other words, that balanced view. I think the example that I draw is something that we've put our hands up to and we've apologised, but the national media does not cover the statement from Suffolk Constabulary, whereas the local media does. They're both similar headlines. They're both not particularly -- the public may be slightly concerned, potentially, to read them, but at least the local version has the statement carried by the constabulary. That's what we can expect.

Some members of the public will say, "Well, didn't you give your statement to the national newspaper?" Well, we would have done but they chose not to use it. That's one of the differences.

Page 50

- 1 years, but from the perspective of your department,
- 2 leaks are presumably a problem at all? Is that, broadly
- 3 speaking, correct?
- 4 A. That is correct. It's not been an issue that's troubled
- 5 us much.
- 6 Q. Do you have a view about off-the-record briefings? Not
- 7 so much, again, from your department, because presumably
- 8 there won't be very many of those, but from officers
- 9 directly.
- 10 A. I prefer not to use the term "off the record". Again,
- 11 I think the connotation is unhelpful. I think there are
- occasions where it's useful to have what I would call
- 13 a background briefing, to give the context to help
- 14 a journalist understand more of the story in order to
- make a decision one way or the other. I think "off the
- 16 record", it's not a phrase that I personally use and
- it's not a phrase that you would hear in the department
- used by colleagues. As I say, you do occasionally do
- 19 a background briefing, but those background briefings
- 20 would also then be uploaded to our Spotlight system. So
- 21 basically there is a record of everything, and it will
- 22 be very clearly stated whether it's for publication or
- 23 **not for publication but for guidance.**
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: A background briefing that's for
- publication is just a media presentation?

1	A. It may be.	1	additional dynamic, whether it's going back to Cromwell
1 2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I mean, the example that we've had is	2	Street in Gloucestershire, a very small force, and much
3	where it's thought the press are going off on quite the	3	more recently, Avon and Somerset or Cumbria or
4	wrong tack, and whereas you don't want to say what the	4	Northumbria, and Suffolk as well. All comparatively
		5	•
5	right tack is, for understandable criminal justice		small forces, having to cope with that which may not
6	reasons, you certainly don't want them going off on the	6	otherwise have been within their experience.
7	wrong tack.	7	A. Yes, and I agree with you that in fact, one of the
8	A. No, no, and I think we have a duty to make sure they're	8	purposes of APCOM is to share sort of national learning,
9	given a steer in the right direction.	9	if you like, not just focused through our events we
10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But that would be a background	10	had a three-day learning event in November and a one-day
11	briefing that was in the reportable?	11	learning event last year but also to make sure that
12	A. That's correct, and that's how it would be recorded on	12	the very latest information, if you like, the best
13	the system. In other words, we'd be creating an audit	13	information is then shared, and if something was to
14	trail of everything that's coming from the office as far	14	happen in a force where we could call on that learning,
15	as is possible.	15	then that's where I would hope APCOM, working with ACPO,
16	MR JAY: Is that a convenient moment to break?	16	would also be able to bring some help to bear.
17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, certainly.	17	MR JAY: The other point you make, Ms Campbell this is
18	(11.29 am)	18	page 13761, and we'll probably hear more about this next
19	(A short break)	19	week when the SIO comes along to give evidence
20	(11.35 am)	20	concerns some of the reporting in the national tabloid
21	MR JAY: Ms Campbell, you were asked some questions about	21	newspapers following the arrest of Steve Wright and
22	Operation Sumac, which relates to the murders of five	22	a warning letter had to go out from the Chief Constable
23	women in Ipswich in December 2006, which was, of course,	23	to all newspaper editors. Do you have any direct
24	before your time, but you nonetheless assisted the	24	knowledge of that or you're just reporting there what
25	Inquiry and provided answers. You've included in the	25	others have told you?
	Page 53		Page 55
1	papers the communications strategy which was adopted.	1	A. I'm reporting what others have told me, so I'm aware of
1 2	papers the communications strategy which was adopted. It's more two general questions about any lessons	1 2	A. I'm reporting what others have told me, so I'm aware of it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't
2	It's more two general questions about any lessons	2	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't
2 3	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question	2 3	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised.
2 3 4	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing	2 3 4	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised.Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the
2 3	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question	2 3 4 5	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised.Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that
2 3 4 5	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct?	2 3 4	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised.Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised.Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards.
2 3 4 5 6	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned	2 3 4 5 6	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national role rather than the individual role, but it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service and not nationally, you are supportive of her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national role rather than the individual role, but it is interesting that forces have to reinvent the wheel each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service and not nationally, you are supportive of her conclusions. It follows from that that you don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national role rather than the individual role, but it is interesting that forces have to reinvent the wheel each time. When small forces get hit with enormous public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service and not nationally, you are supportive of her conclusions. It follows from that that you don't think that some of them are patronising or condescending, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national role rather than the individual role, but it is interesting that forces have to reinvent the wheel each time. When small forces get hit with enormous public interest stories, there must be room to ensure that each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service and not nationally, you are supportive of her conclusions. It follows from that that you don't think that some of them are patronising or condescending, the epithets we've heard in this Inquiry; would you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It's more two general questions about any lessons learnt from that experience. You cover this in question 48, I think. It's really the amount of time dealing with the media takes in an investigation of this nature. Is that correct? A. Yes. I think some general lessons learned was that the team did exceptionally well, and that's been mentioned previously, and that's because they kept a drip of information and provided some very credible spokes. That was the detective leading the inquiry, and I think an ACPO officer, Jacqui Cheer, as she was then I think she was Assistant Chief Constable and certainly I'm aware that the Guardian's witness also laid gave praise to the way that the media operation was handled. So I think that obviously is a benchmark of how well things can go, and my understanding was that there was no off-the-record guidance; it was all on the record and lots of it. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose this comes to your national role rather than the individual role, but it is interesting that forces have to reinvent the wheel each time. When small forces get hit with enormous public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it but not through any personal knowledge. I wasn't overly surprised. Q. You have an interesting observation to make about the HMIC report of December 2011, which is your belief that there should be nationally agreed standards rather than a series of maybe up to 43 or 44 individual standards. A. (Nods head) Q. Does that belief flow from your chairmanship of APCOM or is it an observation you've come to independently? A. I think a bit of both. I think it would be helpful to have what I would describe as some over-arching guidelines and parameters of which the local forces can have some flexibility to flex, to suit their own purposes, but within underneath the over-arching approach. I think that would be helpful. And then we're all, to a degree, being able to sing from the same hymn sheet. Q. In relation to the Elizabeth Filkin report, which, of course, was directed to the Metropolitan Police Service and not nationally, you are supportive of her conclusions. It follows from that that you don't think that some of them are patronising or condescending, the

14

17

1

9

15

16

20

1 report were very helpful. One thing that did come out 2 and certainly resonated with me was the belief that 3 there needs to be more effort put into communications 4 outside of those communications directed to the media, 5 and certainly I personally believe that forces do need 6 to harness the channels, such as social media. There 7 are many more opportunities now that are available to us 8 to help us get our message direct to the public and not 9 to be mediated, necessarily, by the media. 10

I don't think it's an either/or; I think it's a part of a revised strategy going forward. However much -we've got to make sure that our message is as independent, as objective, accurate and timely as possible, and I think there's a whole raft of ways we can do that. So that was one of the things that I picked up on Filkin.

I did think some of her comments are not best placed to make comment on. Whether I felt the national media were too close to the Met -- I certainly think it was a perception, and a perception is for many people a reality, so it could be problematical.

- Q. You make that very point on the last page of your statement at the top, don't you, about the perception of --
- 25 A. Mm-hm.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

7

14

15

Page 57

1 offer.

2 So I think the benefits are both organisationally 3 and individually.

- 4 Q. It's also a forum for the harmonisation of ideas
- 5 nationally, since APCOM works closely with the ACPO
 - communications advisory group. The idea is that you
- 7 share ideas and they then disappear off into the 43 or
- 8 44 regions, but on a reasonably harmonious basis. Is
- 9 that, broadly speaking, the philosophy?
- 10 A. Yes. APCOM has the practitioners, if you like, that can 11 then help shape and influence the policy.
- 12 Q. Following the events of the last seven or eight months,
- 13 what, if any, are the hot topics of discussion within
 - APCOM, relevant to this Inquiry, at least?
- 15 A. I think we've touched upon a number of them here.
- 16 Certainly there was some renewed guidance which went out
 - from Andy Trotter, who is chair of ACPO CAG,
- 18 Communications Advisory Group. We discussed that, in
- 19 fact, at a pre-meeting with the Crime Reporters
- 20 Association -- they had their thoughts as well -- and
- 21 also at a meeting -- I'm talking about now several
- 22 months ago. Anyway, that resulted in some interim
- 23 guidance, if you like, being issued by Andy Trotter,
- 24 which, for Norfolk and Suffolk, we then made a version,
- 25 a more user-friendly version, which was aimed at
 - Page 59

- O. -- News International vis-a-vis the Metropolitan Police Service.
- 3 I move on now to your second statement, wearing your
- 4 APCOM hat. Just a series of points, because you've
- 5 covered a lot of the ground already. What are the
- 6 benefits of APCOM, and particularly membership of APCOM?
 - A. I believe they're twofold. I think there are benefits
- 8 for the organisation because it enables the organisation 9
 - to have access to the most up-to-date information about
- 10 most recent handling of cases and sensitivity. Also,
- 11 it's certainly been an organisational benefit in the
- 12 planning of the police operations, communications-wise,
- 13 for the Olympics.
 - Then I think there are personal benefits of membership, which is around developing police staff.
- 16 There are scant opportunities -- I stand corrected on
- 17 that, but there are fewer opportunities for police staff
- 18 to be developed than police officers, and I very much
- 19 support and welcome any opportunity to do that, hence
- 20 I also think the link-up with the Chartered Institute of
- 21 Public Relations has been a positive step forward, 22
- because by allowing a cheaper membership for APCOM 23 members, then members can directly access a lot of the
- 24 benefits, the resources, the training and the knowledge
- 25 that the CIPR, and particularly their standards, can
 - Page 58

- inspector level and above.
- 2 I think the issues around what's required, one thing
- 3 which came out of the Filkin report which has been the
- 4 subject of discussion is around what we need to do, from
- 5 an internal coms perspective, not just to inform members
- 6 of corporate communications staff but also all officers
- 7 and staff in the force as to what their -- not
- 8 obligations but what is expected of them in helping to
 - forge relationships with the media. I think that's an
- 10 area which there is more work still to be done.
- 11 Q. You were asked to comment on your impression of the
- 12 culture within the MPS. This is question 23, page
- 13 13998. You make it clear in respect of the individuals
- 14 involved:
 - "I have the highest respect for their work and the way in which they continue to strive to achieve high
- 17 standards in all they do."
- 18 And that broad statement is intending to accommodate
- 19 the witnesses this Inquiry has heard from; is that,
 - broadly speaking, the point?
- 21 A. My comments there are directed at my opposite numbers,
- 22 if you like, working in the organisation. I'm aware
- 23 that they share the values of APCOM, they've been
- 24 enthusiastic members, so they've been -- we've worked
- 25 together. What I'm not aware of are the pressures that

15

1

12

- 1 they face and how they differ from a more regional 2 force. I can only guess at that, and obviously have
- 3 read the news headlines as well, so.
- 4 Q. Can I ask you, please, about questions 26 and 27. It's 5 just the breadth of the first sentence of question 27.
 - You say in your experience:

6

1

2

19

20

21

- 7 "... those who confidentially brief journalists 8 without the knowledge of those who are charged with 9 successfully managing media relationships are seeking to
- 10 damage the organisation in the eyes of the public."
- 11 I mean, that may be true in some cases, it may be 12 true in the majority of cases, but it's not true in all 13 cases, is it?
- 14 A. No, I'm speaking from my own experience, where we've
- 15 had -- where I've had to manage situations where
- 16 unhelpful information has been passed. And it's --
- 17 I describe it a bit like information through a key hole.
- 18 The individual passing on the information firmly
- 19 believes that he or she is correct and they probably
- 20 are, but they don't have the benefit of the wider
- 21 context and the other information that puts the
- 22 information they've passed into proper context. That's
- 23 what gives rise then to unhelpful stories, where there's
- 24 only a part of the picture. I actually don't think the
- 25 public interest is being best served there if they don't Page 61
 - have the opportunity to understand the wider context.
 - Q. Maybe the point is this: human nature being as it is, if
- 3 someone is prepared to speak to the press confidentially
- 4 or secretly, the tendency will be to put out the
- 5 negative and not put any of the positive by way of
- 6 balance to provide context to the story, but that is
- 7 your job, maybe not to accentuate the negative and
- 8 perhaps not to cover it up, but to give the whole story.
- 9 Do you see your role in those terms?
- 10 A. I actually see my role exactly in those terms, and 11 personally I've never been too concerned about people
- 12
- who leak information, as long as we're given the
- 13 opportunity to give a balanced picture. That's where
- 14 the relationship with the journalist and the media comes 15 into play and is really important, because I will have
- 16 confidence in the journalist or the editor or both to
- 17 properly reflect the accurate story. 18
 - So if there are a number of internal assassins, if you like, operating, I'm not going to be too concerned, as long as I'm able to give the balancing view and it's then portrayed appropriately.
- 22 Q. Do you see, though, that there's a risk in your role?
- 23 I'm sure you never succumb to it, but because it's your
- 24 task, to use your own words, successfully to manage
- 25 media relationships, the tendency will be to only put
 - Page 62

- 1 out the positive and to suppress the negative?
- 2 A. I think on any given day, we're dealing with so many
- 3 different issues. There are any number of official
- 4 reports that are saying things about the organisation.
- 5 We do our best to fairly and accurately reflect most of
 - those. Of course, if, as previously been said,
- 7 a previous administration was keen to improve the
- 8 confidence of the public, then that means that there is
- 9 quite a proactive drive in order to let the public know
- 10 what kind of services they can expect, what we're doing
- 11 on their behalf, but it's still only part of the
- 12 nicture.
- 13 I think in the forces that I've worked most 14 recently, certainly we've tried to respond to the
 - reports that have been said about us in an appropriate
- 16 way, and I think it would be for others then to say
- 17 whether that was -- we were working too positively, but
- 18 I would have to challenge that. I would say we were
- 19 being accurate.
- 20 MR JAY: Okay, thank you, Ms Campbell. Those were the
- 21 questions I had for you.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Can I ask one question? You
- 23 mentioned that Mr Trotter of ACPO introduced some
- 24 renewed or interim guidance, which you discussed with
- 25 the CRA. Now, the CRA, it has been explained to me, is Page 63
 - open to crime reporters who operate on a national basis.
- 2 You've made the point, forcibly, that the dynamics as
- 3 between the national reporters and the Met may be rather
- 4 different to the dynamics that exist between local
- 5 reporters and local forces. Is there any organisation
- 6 that represents regional newspapers which would permit
- 7 you to get a view from their perspective, which may
- 8 indeed be different from the perspective of the national
- 9 association?
- 10 A. I understand your point. I think the organisation could
- 11 possibly be the Society of Editors, which represents all
 - editors regardless of national, regional, local,
- 13 whatever.
- 14 Just to clarify the earlier statement, I didn't say
- 15 that we discussed the potential guidance with the CRA;
- 16 we discussed some of the issues that were emerging from
- 17 the reports in an attempt to work alongside, but the
- 18 guidance that was issued was not vetted in any way by
- 19 the CRA.
- 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I wasn't suggesting that -- even
- 21 by saying you discussed it, I wasn't suggesting that you
- 22 were giving them the pen to rewrite it, but I'm rather
- 23 interested in the extent to which you do take into
- 24 account or you can discuss what are much more likely to
- 25 be issues that will affect your forces and similar

1	forces than the issues that are likely to affect the	1	crime reporter of the East Anglian Daily Times; is that
2	national press.	2	right?
3	A. I absolutely agree, and I think that is an area where	3	A. And Ipswich Star. We have another paper as well.
4	there is a gap, and one of the things that I've set up	4	Q. Thank you. You returned to that role in January 2009,
5	in a previous actually, in Norfolk, was a media users	5	so presumably you're not a member of the Crime Reporters
6	group, which brought together a representative selection	6	Association because you're regional?
7	of the local and the regional media to work with us,	7	A. That's correct.
8	initially on areas of major civil incidents but also as	8	Q. Do you have any view about that?
9	we were then transitioning to a new model of working.	9	A. I don't have any specific view about that.
10	So I very much believe that we do need to work not just	10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But do you agree that actually the
11	with the media, but also with the public, and the media,	11	context within which you might want a discussion may be
12	to a degree, also represent the public. So I think we	12	different from the context that crime reporters on
13	do need to create those fora, and that's something that	13	national newspapers would want a discussion with police
14	I've found has worked in the past.	14	authorities or police officers?
15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You see, you point to the Society of	15	A. I think broadly that's probably correct, yes.
16	Editors, but of course that includes the editors of all	16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Do you feel that you're limited by
17	the national papers, which I don't for a moment suggest	17	reason of the fact that there isn't a voice for the
18	would drown out regional views, but would certainly	18	regional press in this area that's the equivalent of the
19	dramatically impact upon the unvarnished view that you	19	CRA or not? It may not matter.
20	might get from the regional press.	20	A. I've never felt limited or inhibited by anything like
21	A. True.	21	that, to be fair. I mean, we operate in Suffolk. It's
22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, all right. Thank you very much	22	a relatively small area, and in all honesty I'm the only
23	indeed.	23	dedicated crime reporter, as far as I'm aware, for the
24	A. Thank you.	24	media in that area and the police seem to be very fair
25	MR JAY: The next witness is Mr Adwent, please.	25	in terms of access and I've never needed or felt the
	Page 65		Page 67
1	LODD HIGHIGE LEVEGON TO 1	1	
1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you.	1	need to be involved with any particular organisation
2	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn)	2	or relating to that.
2 3	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY	2 3	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're
2 3 4	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please?	2 3 4	or relating to that.LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you
2 3 4 5	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent.	2 3 4 5	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about
2 3 4 5 6	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness	2 3 4 5 6	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and	2 3 4 5 6 7	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you started off in advertising. You then moved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release information about crime or other incidents very quickly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you started off in advertising. You then moved to journalism, qualified as a reporter in 1998, and since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release information about crime or other incidents very quickly when public awareness can be of maximum benefit"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you started off in advertising. You then moved to journalism, qualified as a reporter in 1998, and since then you've worked as a general reporter, a crime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release information about crime or other incidents very quickly when public awareness can be of maximum benefit" Is that a problem which you've experienced or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you started off in advertising. You then moved to journalism, qualified as a reporter in 1998, and since then you've worked as a general reporter, a crime reporter, a news editor, assistant editor and head of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release information about crime or other incidents very quickly when public awareness can be of maximum benefit" Is that a problem which you've experienced or not? A. I haven't particularly experienced that problem, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR COLIN ANTHONY ADWENT (sworn) Questions by MR JAY MR JAY: Your full name, please? A. Colin Anthony Adwent. Q. Thank you, Mr Adwent. You provided us with a witness statement on 3 February of this year. You've signed and dated it. Is this your formal evidence to this Inquiry? A. It is, although I would like to make one exception to it. I appear to have misunderstood question 5, in which it talks about personal mobile or home telephone numbers and answered it on the basis only of press officers. My additional to that or clarification to that is I have a number of police officers' mobile numbers, the vast majority of which, I'm assuming, are their work numbers, because they were given to me in a work context. Q. Thank you. A. I apologise for that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, thank you. MR JAY: In terms of your career and who you are, you started off in advertising. You then moved to journalism, qualified as a reporter in 1998, and since then you've worked as a general reporter, a crime	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or relating to that. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That might be the answer. If you're the only specialist, then if you have a problem, you just go and deal with it. You don't need to talk about it because there's no other specialist to involve in your discussion. A. Possibly the case. I've always if there have been any issues with Suffolk Constabulary, then I've always dealt directly with Suffolk Constabulary or through my editors with Suffolk Constabulary. MR JAY: In paragraph 26 your statement, you're clear that the relationship between you and the police is, generally speaking, a very good one? That's right, isn't it? A. I've always found that to be so, yes. Q. So you don't appear to share the frustration which your editor expresses in paragraph 2 of his statement, in these terms: "Suffolk police's reluctance or inability to release information about crime or other incidents very quickly when public awareness can be of maximum benefit" Is that a problem which you've experienced or not?

14

- 1 on his own behalf, but for me, I operate at a lower 2 level. My role, if you like, is in three particular
- 3 areas, I suppose. One relates to covering court cases
- 4 and ensuring we cover all the important cases. Another
- 5 one relates to live inquiries, so major investigations,
- 6
- that sort of thing, and the other aspect of that is
- 7 general matters on policing within Suffolk Constabulary,
- 8 such as organisational changes, et cetera.
- 9 Concerns over the release of information and those 10 type of things -- and I think this is one specific
- 11 example that Mr Ash quoted today, which came to light,
- 12 and -- I mean, specifically, I think that was what was 13 being mooted within that statement, although you would
- 14 have to ask that editor whether that is correct.
- 15 Generally, I don't feel that there is a particular
- 16 problem that affects me, having regard to that.
- 17 MR JAY: Were you involved at all with the reporting of the
- 18 Steve Wright case in December 2006?
- 19 A. I was involved in terms of I was on the news desk at
- 20 that time of the Ipswich Star. I wasn't one of the
- 21 reporters who was out on the streets, if you like, or
- 22 going to the press conferences. However, my role within
- 23 that is to work on the news desk and you send the
- 24 reporters out to various places, whether it be make sure
- 25 the press conferences are covered, make sure if there's
 - Page 69
 - anyone they need to speak to in terms of knocking on any
- 2 doors, that sort of thing. That was my role, if you
- 3 like, to oversee what the reporters were doing at that
- 4

1

- 5 Q. We've heard evidence from the last witness that the
- 6 national press, in particular the tabloid press, touched
- 7 the boundaries, as it were, of appropriate reporting, if
- 8 I can put it in those terms. Is that something which
- 9 you were concerned about at the time or observed and
- 10 noted?
- 11 A. I have to say I've -- it wasn't a concern of mine at the
- 12 time. It was not something that sort of touched our
- 13 world, if you like, within regards to maybe the way they 14
- went about doing their job. Certainly I was unaware of 15
- the evidence that was given last week until it was begin
- 16 by Mr Harrison, I believe it was, so I have to say that 17

although I saw their reporting and I may have a personal

- 18 view on the reporting, anything else would be
- 19 speculation on my part, your Lordship.
- 20 Q. There was the pre-arrest evidence, which was
- 21 Mr Harrison's evidence, and then the reporting after
- 22 Mr Wright's arrest, and the letter which went out from
- 23 the Chief Constable, I think. Was that something which
- 24 you were concerned about? Because your paper wasn't
- 25 targeted. It wasn't being suggested that there was any
 - Page 70

- inappropriate reporting from your paper; it was
- 2 a problem which was nationally generated.
- 3 A. Again, I was aware of the letter that went out because
- 4 my editors made us aware of the letter and stressed the
- 5 need to be careful and make sure we staved within the
- 6 legal boundaries, but we believe we had done so at that
- 7 point. As you say, we weren't being targeted and we
- 8 carried on in the way that we had been.
- 9 Q. Thank you. In paragraph 4 of your statement, you deal 10 with your practice. You ring all the area and corporate 11 press offices on a daily basis as part of your job:
- 12 "Sometimes press officers may take the initiative 13 and call me."
 - Is that only to put out positive news or not?
- 15 A. I wouldn't say it's only to put out positive news, in
- 16 fairness to them, but I mean, they may say, as a for
- 17 instance, that there is a court case today that we might
- 18 want to cover, for whatever reason, or that -- if
- 19 there's a court case of a particular profile that has
- 20 a certain importance within the community, to make sure
- 21 that we're aware of it. Whether you deem that to be
- 22 positive news, I don't know. On occasions, they may
- 23 well contact us to say they're doing some sort of launch
- 24 of an initiative, such as a burglary initiative,
- 25 et cetera, and they'll be inviting us to it. Those are
 - Page 71
 - the type of communications that they would generally
- 2 have when they come to us.
- 3 Q. You make it clear that you've been out with the police
 - on many raids throughout the years at their invitation.
- 5 In your own words, please, what are the advantages of
- 6 that?

1

4

9

- A. Well, from my perspective, the advantage obviously is 7
- 8 going along with the police and a photographer -- one of
 - our photographers -- and getting what we would consider
- 10 to be a good coverage of a story, being there at the
- 11 outset. It also helps me forge relationships with
- 12 officers. Many officers may well see me around and over
- 13 the years come to understand the way I work or, you
- 14
- know, the type of way we deal with things. It helps
- 15 break down barriers in that regard.
- 16 Obviously the advantage from the police perspective,
- 17 I would imagine, is the good publicity they get out of
- 18 it and the raising of awareness of whatever it is that
- 19 the raid is about.
- 20 Q. Is it your practice -- and I appreciate it will be an
- 21 editorial decision and not necessarily yours -- to
- 22 pixelate out the faces of the persons arrested or not?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Off-the-record briefings, you explain that you have been
- 25 offered these. The reasons vary. There's the obvious

- 1 case of hindering an investigation. That would be
- 2 something unwitting on your part, so you're warned off
- 3 it by the police.
- 4 A. (Nods head)
- 5 Q. Can I ask you to explain what you mean by this clause:
- 6 "... or perhaps because of a stance one of our
- 7 papers may be taking."
- 8 It's in question 14.
- 9 A. Yes. On occasions -- as a for instance, the example 10 used earlier on by Mr Ash relating to, say, the timely
- 11 nature of disclosure of certain information. The
- 12 example that was used there would be relating to
- 13 information which we felt was late in getting into the 14 public domain, where three prisoners from a secure
- 15 hospital had escaped custody.
- 16 Now, although I'm using this as an example, I'm not
- 17 suggesting this is the way we did or didn't cover it.
- 18 What I'm saying is on occasions we may take a view and
- 19 we may do a robust editorial about: "We should have 20 known sooner."
- 21 Now, there have been occasions where I have spoken
- 22 to a senior officer who has explained to me why that
- 23 information hasn't come out sooner, and therefore,
- 24 rather than go off and criticise the police, then that
- 25 gives us the opportunity to tailor our coverage to
 - Page 73

- 1
- 2 that doesn't criticise too deeply about what they've
- 3 done or the way they've done it. 4
 - Obviously we're not privy to the reasons why things

something that isn't perhaps sensationalist or something

- 5 are done in certain ways by Suffolk Constabulary, and on
- 6 occasions, as I say, we may take a view on certain
- 7 things, and it's only after a discussion prior to
- 8 publication that we have a more fuller understanding and
- 9 can get a more balanced report in order to put the
- 10 information out, if you like, into the public domain
- 11 without making undue criticism or unfair criticism.
- 12 Q. Have there been circumstances where you've been in
- 13 receipt of unauthorised information from the police?
- 14 A. Um ... yes, but I probably ought on explain. The 15 subject of leaks has come up this morning, and Mr Ash
- 16 has referred to five particular cases. Three of those
- 17 are cases that I've been involved in, so therefore
- 18 I must say yes. It rather depends on what the scale of
- 19 what you're talking about is. Perhaps if you would
- 20 clarify that, I might be able to answer it a little bit
- 21

1

- 22 Q. Well, taking it in stages, the first point is whether
- 23 you know at the time that the information is
- 24 unauthorised. Presumably that's a judgment you make
- 25 from the nature of the way in which the information is
 - Page 74

- 1 being imparted, because there may be an air of secrecy
- 2 about it --
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. -- and the substance of what you're told. Is that,
- 5 broadly speaking, correct?
- A. Yes. I mean, I would have to say yes is the answer to 6
- 7 your question, because obviously I have found things out
- 8 that haven't gone through the official channels and the
- 9 press office.
- 10 Q. But presumably, without going into individual cases,
- 11 your judgment is, in each instance: well, I might have
- 12 obtained this information outside the authorised
- 13 channels but it's nonetheless in the public interest to
- 14 publish it. Is that the thought process you undertake?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Have you found yourself at the wrong end of a leak
- 17 inquiry by the Suffolk police?
- 18 A. I haven't been questioned by the Suffolk police in any
- 19 formal context at all within the leak inquiry.
- 20 Q. Your response would be, if you were questioned: "Well,
- 21 I'm not going to tell you. Look at the Editors' Code;
- 22 my sources are confidential." Would that be your
- 23 position?
- 24 A. Yes, it would be. Obviously my work relies on trust.
- 25 I mean, if people trust me and I'm trusting them that
 - Page 75
 - the information is correct, then I cannot break that
- 2 trust, and although it's perhaps a bit glib or possibly
- 3 a cliche to hide behind sort of protecting your sources,
- 4 at the end of the day, I have to work to a level of
- 5 trust and people have to trust in me and once trust is
- 6 gone, that's it.
- 7 Q. This is the point you make under question 16, I believe,
- 8 isn't it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. "A reputation for fairness and trustworthiness ..."
- 11 And that covers everything you do, not just when
- 12 you're occasionally in receipt of confidential
- 13 information, which you've just talked about, but all
- 14 aspects of your role as a journalist?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. As a crime reporter.
- 17 A. I was taught -- when I trained, 15 years ago, I was
- 18 taught three things, and they were taught to us as ABC:
- 19 accuracy, balance and clarity. Beyond that, we were
- 20 also told that people have a trust in you, not only the
- 21 readers trusting that what you're saying and what you're

police officers and hopefully over that period of time

- 22 printing is accurate, but people you are dealing with 23
- 24 On and off, for 15 years, I've been dealing with 25

Page 76

believe you are trustworthy.

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1	I've gained a reputation as someone who can be trusted	1	Q. Okay. Do you have a view, Mr Adwent, about the issue of
2	and who does their job fairly.	2	logging contacts between police and journalists? It's
3	Q. How many times has it been I'm not going to ask you	3	been a bit of a recurring theme in this Inquiry and the
4	to give the precise number, because I'm sure you won't	4	chilling effect of that. Could you help us, please?
5	be able to recall where the police have come back to	5	A. I think that's entirely, really, a matter for the
6	you on a story and said, "Didn't like that one very	6	constabularies around the country. My personal view is
7	much; it was inaccurate in this respect or unfair in	7	I don't believe it's overly helpful. I've noticed
8	that respect"? Has that ever happened?	8	since September, I think it was, when Mr Ash said that
9	A. I can never honestly remember an occasion when that has	9	this new instruction had come in about logging contacts,
10	ever happened. There may be occasions when Suffolk	10	that one or two officers seem slightly more nervous
11	Constabulary don't like the story I'm doing. It's	11	about speaking to me, but then, as I say, that is
12	either I say embarrassing for them or there's	12	entirely a view for Suffolk Constabulary to take. If
13	information that will be going out into the public	13	they wish to take it, I don't know a way that I can
14	domain they wouldn't have wanted to get out there, but	14	dissuade them from doing. I just feel and again,
15	before every story is published, I would go to the press	15	this is a personal view that it may well inhibit
16	office and I would speak to the press officer involved	16	officers from talking is to the press in certain cases,
17	and just explain what the story is and give full right	17	and I think when you have officers in positions of
18	of reply.	18	either seniority or certainly positions where they're
19	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Can you see a distinction between	19	covering quite important matters, and in some cases
20	stories which the police believe will positively hinder	20	dealing with life or death situations, if the officers
21	an investigation and stories which the police don't say	21	are responsible enough to be put in those positions,
22	that about but would prefer either didn't emerge or	22	then surely they must have common sense enough to know
23	emerge with their full explanation?	23	what to speak to the press about, and how far, you know,
24	A. I see a distinction between the two, yes.	24	they can go with speaking to the press. That's just my
25	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: What would be your reaction if you	25	personal view.
	Page 77		Page 79
1	were told: "Well, that's very interacting, we're not	1	LODD HISTICE LEVESON: Would it be made easier if it was
1	were told: "Well, that's very interesting, we're not	1 2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Would it be made easier if it was
2	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an	2	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of
2 3	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or	2 3	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the
2 3 4	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"?	2 3 4	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is
2 3 4 5	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything.	2 3 4 5	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that
2 3 4 5 6	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you?	2 3 4 5 6	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there?
2 3 4 5 6 7	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer to, because it works both ways, doesn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters about the police, rather than about specific inquiries,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer to, because it works both ways, doesn't it? A. Well, again, as I've said, each of us has our own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters about the police, rather than about specific inquiries, if you understand my meaning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer to, because it works both ways, doesn't it? A. Well, again, as I've said, each of us has our own perspective and our own agenda on things, but at the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters about the police, rather than about specific inquiries, if you understand my meaning. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I understand that, but it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer to, because it works both ways, doesn't it? A. Well, again, as I've said, each of us has our own perspective and our own agenda on things, but at the end of the day, I have to remain I've no wish to be in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters about the police, rather than about specific inquiries, if you understand my meaning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	going to confirm or deny, but we are in the middle of an investigation and this really could undermine it or damage it"? A. Then we wouldn't publish anything. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has that happened to you? A. Again, I can't recall specific instances, but I believe I've been asked not to print something until, you know, the investigation has moved on to a point where it can be published. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. A. In fairness, what I always ask although I'm in no position to demand it, but I always ask is that bearing in mind I have come to them with information that they don't want to be put in the public domain, as soon as it can be published, I ask them to come back to me and tell me. In fairness, they always have done, as far as I can recall. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's entirely reasonable. MR JAY: Part of the fairness and trustworthiness you refer to, because it works both ways, doesn't it? A. Well, again, as I've said, each of us has our own perspective and our own agenda on things, but at the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recognised and perhaps rather more within the DNA of police officers that part of the job is to speak to the press, but the reason that we want to know about it is not because we're checking up on you, but simply so that we have a handle on what's out there? A. Mm. I think possibly so. Again, I'm coloured my view is coloured, if you like, by Suffolk Constabulary. I appreciate this Inquiry is about so much more than that. So, you know, I deal with things in a very sort of local, parochial context. I just think that, as I say, you know, officers, as I go back to saying, are people of integrity, the ones that I've come across certainly are, and I just feel that anything that would inhibit a flow of information is something that, you know, with respect, I wouldn't necessarily advocate, and I do question whether some of this, certainly within Suffolk, may well have come about through information that people don't want to get into the public, relating to maybe restructuring or policing general matters about the police, rather than about specific inquiries, if you understand my meaning. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I understand that, but it is interesting, isn't it, that everybody involved from

- 1 1 create the Wild West. federation, Superintendent Association and the union, 2 2 all felt this isn't very helpful, according to the A. I understand. Thank you. 3 3 letter that I've just read. You would say it may not be MR JAY: Thank you. Next, please, Mr Hunt. 4 MR TERRENCE DEAN HUNT (sworn) 4 helpful, but the public have the right to know? 5 A. Well, yes, I mean -- as I mentioned in part of my 5 Questions by MR JAY 6 evidence, you know, each of us, if you like, has 6 MR JAY: Thank. Your full name, please? 7 7 A. My name is Terrence Dean Hunt. a perspective or an agenda, however you want to call it, 8 8 Q. Thank you. You provided us with a statement. I'm not and there's been a fair bit said today within the 9 9 evidence you've heard from Suffolk Constabulary that sure of the exact date, but in February you've signed it 10 10 talks about reputation, it talks about news editing, it and this is your formal evidence to the Inquiry. 11 11 A. Yes, it is. I'd like to add a sentence to question 10. talks about management. 12 Over the last 15 years or so, it has been 12 13 noticeable -- for me, anyway -- how the information is 13 A. Which is that in my initial evidence I overlooked the 14 14 fact that I entertained Mr Ash at a football match continually getting funnelled into a narrower channel. 15 15 in January 2011. It is about reputation, it is about organisation, what 16 people feel they are comfortable with in the public 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. 17 17 MR JAY: Yes. It was Ipswich/Arsenal. knowing, and here I'm talking about organisational 18 changes, if you like, rather than inquiries. And people 18 A. It was. 19 protect -- I say their brand, but they protect their 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm sure you appreciate, Mr Hunt, 20 organisation and I fully understand that and every 20 that you're not here because there's any specific 21 21 concern about Suffolk, but because you provide a window organisation and company does nowadays. I just feel 22 that because a constabulary says that they're 22 on a very different type of relationship which it's 23 uncomfortable with that information being out in the 23 important that we take into account. You've also had 24 24 public domain, it doesn't mean to say it's not in the the advantage/disadvantage of having been at the centre 25 25 of an enormous story which did achieve public prominence public interest for it to be there. Page 81 Page 83 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You've put your finger on the tension 1 and which has involved discussion in the Inquiry. 1 2 that would inevitably exist between the press and indeed 2 3 any organisation. 3 MR JAY: I think you've spent your whole career in 4 A. But then, you know, within my role -- maybe it's because 4 journalism, is this right, but you are currently, and 5 I'm a little more experienced than possibly some of the 5 you have been since 1996, the editor of the East Anglian 6 6 younger reporters but I understand that, and within my Daily Times? 7 role, that's why I believe it's important for myself to 7 A. That's correct. 8 be able to talk to police officers of all ranks without 8 Q. At paragraph 2 of your statement -- this is our 9 fear or favour, if you like. I'm not looking to 9 page 00809 -- you say that the relationship with Suffolk 10 police is generally supportive but you have one area of
- 10 embarrass Suffolk Constabulary. I would hope that if
- 11 people look at my stories that I've written over the
- 12 years, they would see that there are very few of them
- 13 that are negative towards Suffolk Constabulary and
- 14 certainly as far as I'm concerned, the officers I've
- 15 come across through the years, as far as I'm concerned,
- 16 have acted with propriety, and I've never felt any of
- 17 those officers was doing anything other than what they
- 18
- believed to be the right thing.
- 19 MR JAY: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr Adwent.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. You of course will 20
- 21 appreciate, Mr Adwent, that as you yourself have said,
- 22 the relationships are very different in different
- 23 places. The trick is to try and find something that
- 24 works for everybody and encourages the maximum openness
- 25 and transparency, but doesn't go half a step too far and
- 24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

point?

- witnesses might come forward. 23 The second aspect is the matter of public safety,
- such as the incident where the three dangerous inmates 25 from a secure mental health unit were at large locally

frustration. May I invite you, please, to develop that

A. Yes, it's the same area which has been discussed by

previous witnesses this morning, which is the time

release of information from Suffolk police, which on

of some frustration, for two reasons, really: one, in

strongly held belief that the quicker the information

remember certain incidents and therefore more useful

that in the event of a very public incident, it's my

can get out there, the more likely people are to

occasions has, in my opinion, been too slow and a source

Page 84

Page 82

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

6

- 1 and that information didn't get into the public domain
- 2 for, I believe, 12 hours, which I thought was a matter
- 3 of significant public concern.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: On the first subject, I'm sure the
- 5 police would want to encourage as many witnesses as
- 6 possible to come forward, but on the second, is your
- 7 real point not that there's a systemic issue there, that
- 8 they're deliberately keeping information back, but
- 9 rather the wrong judgment was made in that case and the
- 10 likely reaction should have been appreciated rather more
- 11 quickly?
- 12 A. Yes. This was a Sunday morning, very early hours of
- 13 Sunday morning. So these three individuals escaped in 14
- the early hours of Sunday morning, and were at large in
- 15 Suffolk and were potentially dangerous, and I would have
- 16 hoped and expected that Suffolk police would have
- 17 decided to put some information on that into the public
- 18 domain as quickly as possible, so that when Suffolk
- 19 awoke that morning, it -- the individual -- the members
- 20 of public were forewarned that there was a possibility
- 21 of these three being in the local area and not to
- 22 approach them, or indeed, if they saw them, obviously to
- 23 contact the police. I felt it very unfortunate that
- 24 that information didn't reach us or any other media
- 25 until lunchtime that Sunday.

Page 85

- the national press. Were you monitoring, as it were,
- 2 what was being said about this investigation in the
- 3 national press?
- 4 A. Yes, we were very aware of what the national press were
- 5 reporting at the time. We obviously received all the
 - newspapers every day, and we felt it was our
- 7 responsibility, again, to keep this within context.
- 8 Obviously it was a very significant unprecedented story
- 9 for Suffolk, but it was part of our responsibility again
- 10 to put this into some kind of context, because there was
- 11 a great deal of concern within Ipswich especially and
- 12 wider Suffolk about what was going on in a very
- 13 fast-moving and, frankly, horrifying story. So we had
- 14 to keep very balanced and very contextual in terms of
- 15 our reporting.
- 16 I was aware of how the nationals were reporting it.
- 17 Six years on or almost six years on, I don't remember
- 18 much detail. The only real detail I remember is the
- 19 letter to which mention has been made today, from the
- 20 then Chief Constable to the media, reminding of the
- 21 danger of contempt and that, as I recall, was
- 22 precipitated by a picture that was used on the front
- 23 page of one of the national tabloids.
- 24 Q. When you refer to context and your aim to achieve
- 25 balance, what was the context here? Was it that Suffolk

Page 87

- MR JAY: Over the years, Mr Hunt, have you detected any 1
- 2 change in culture in the Suffolk police, and in your
- 3 relations with them?
- 4 A. No, not really. I mean, it's been -- despite various
- 5 changes in structure, I believe our relationship has
- 6 been, as I say here, generally supportive, generally
- 7 good. We share a number of aims in common in terms of
- 8 obviously the reporting of crime, keeping that in
- 9 context, the fear of crime. Suffolk is a very safe
- 10 county and it's our responsibility, the media's
- 11 responsibility, I believe, to keep that within context,
- 12 so that people don't have an undue level of fear of
- 13 crime.

14

15

16

18

The only frustrations I've ever had with Suffolk police are the matters that I've just mentioned to you, which is the release of information in timely fashion.

were, descended on Ipswich in view of Operation Sumac.

- 17 Q. Thank you. In December 2006, the national press, as it
- 19 What the then SIO, Mr Gull, says:
- 20 "There were, at times, somewhat, I considered to be 21 unhelpful, unjustified and unbalanced media reporting,
- 22 which at best was misleading and at worst caused further
- 23 anxiety and worry within the local community."
- 24 He's referring there not to reporting from your
- 25 newspaper or by your newspaper, but by some organs of

Page 86

- 1 is generally a safe place, that this is a one-off
- 2 extraordinary series of crimes? What was the balance
- 3 message you were trying --
- 4 A. It was absolutely that, yes, that Suffolk, generally
- 5 speaking, is a very safe county.
- 6 Q. In terms of some of the reporting in the national press,
- 7 obviously it's impossible now to remember the detail of
- 8 it, but is there any impression of it that you can share 9
- with us? Do you feel it generally achieved the same 10 sort of balance which you were aiming to achieve in your
- 11 publication or do you feel there were aspects of
- 12 concern?
- 13 A. I don't think it was as balanced because I feel that the
- 14 national press didn't have the same responsibilities as
- 15 the local media did in reporting those events. 16
- For example, one or possibly more of the nationals
- 17 would take a picture of the centre of Ipswich on
- 18 a Monday night and suggest that it was quiet because
- 19 everyone was frightened, which wasn't the case. It
- 20 wasn't the case. Obviously people were taking
- 21 additional precautions, but from my recollection, my
- 22 perception at the time was not that everyone was going 23
- 24 perception.
- 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You also had the benefit of knowing

home and locking their doors. It was just a different

3

17

23

1 what Ipswich does look like on a Monday night normally.

- 2 A. Yes, and a Monday night -- and remember this
- 3 was December -- it probably would have been quiet under
- 4 normal circumstances, so it wasn't anything exceptional.
- 5 MR JAY: Paragraph 4 of your statement, page 00810. I've
- 6 been asked to put this to you. This is the reporting of
- 7 a tragic road traffic accident and the death of
- 8 a teenage boy. Is the point you're making there that
- 9 the Suffolk police and the press officer were content
- that you could publish the boy's name or not?
- 11 A. Yes, they were. Perhaps -- should I explain how this
- 12 came about?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. This young man, Reece Lauren, was killed in rural
- 15 Suffolk at tea time, and by mid-evening it came to my
- 16 attention that various social media channels were
- publishing his name very widely, and it then came to my
- attention that he was the son of a very well-known and
- 19 prominent former world speedway champion, and I went to
- 20 the office to speak to Suffolk police to ascertain
- 21 whether it was appropriate and responsible for us to
- 22 publish the young man's name in the next day's paper,
- 23 whether all relatives had been informed. Because this
- 24 was an increasing issue for us, because people can put
- 25 things on social media sites without having that sense
- $1 \qquad \hbox{ of responsibility, if you understand what I mean. So it} \\$

Page 89

- 2 was being discussed quite widely by quite a large number
- 3 of people, and I wanted to know from Suffolk police
- 4 whether I would be able, responsibly and sensitively, to
- 5 publish his name in the following day's newspaper.
- 6 I spoke a number of times to one of the press
- $7 \qquad \hbox{officers, who was extremely helpful, who was talking to} \\$
- 8 the family liaison officer, who was in turn speaking to
- 9 the family, and at a given point that night, I was told
- 10 by the press officer that it was okay to publish the
- 11 name. The family were aware that the name would be in
- 12 the paper. They were aware that because of the
- prominence of the boy's father there was likely to be
- 14 significant media interest.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's showing responsibility at the
- 16 highest level. That's a comment of mine, not really
- 17 a question.
- 18 A. Yes, well, yes. The last thing I would want to do would
- 19 be to publish the name of any road accident victim if
- 20 important people to him or her weren't aware, and that
- was the assurance I gained from Suffolk police.
- 22 MR JAY: Thank you. Are there occasions when you've had to
- 23 make editorial decisions in circumstances where your
- crime reporter has come to you with a story, the basis
- of which is leaked or unauthorised information?

Page 90

- A. Yes, there have been occasions.
- Q. How do you weigh up the public interest in that sort of
 - case? In particular, do you take into account the fact
- 4 that the source of the information is unauthorised or do
- 5 you take the view: "No, I've got the information,
- 6 I ignore the circumstances in which it's come to me,
- 7 I assess the public interest on its narrower merits"?
- 8 A. Yes, I would look at -- I would want to know on what
- 9 basis we'd been given the story. I would want to know
- 10 not the name of the person who's given us the story but
- 11 the type of area from which it has come, and then
- 12 I would make a decision on whether this is a valid story
- 13 from the public interest perspective.
- Just to use an example, we had a story about --
- which was part of Suffolk police's response to the need
- to cut costs, which was a reduction in the traffic
 - policing unit, which came to us, and I took the judgment
- 18 that this was very strongly in the public interest
- 19 because of the ramifications of having fewer traffic
- 20 policemen on Suffolk's roads.
- 21 Q. You express a view in paragraph 22 of your statement,
- page 00813. You were asked to address the HMIC recent
 - report.
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Just pause a minute, Mr Jay.
- 25 I understand that the equipment has failed and I notice

Page 91

- 1 from the screen in the top left that it's gone off.
- 2 MR JAY: It will be done at lunchtime. It can't be done
- 3 before.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Mr Jay, I should have assumed you
- 5 knew all about it. Carry on.
- 6 MR JAY: A message was passed to me.
- 7 The HMIC report and the recommendation that contacts
- 8 between journalists and police officers be recorded --
- 9 you're not the first editor to express some degree of
- 10 disquiet about that but I just wanted to investigate
- 11 your reasons for that disquiet.
- 12 A. As the Inquiry's heard already today, there is, on the
- 13 part of some Suffolk police officers, a significant
- 14 caution or degree of caution about giving information to
- 15 the media, and my personal opinion is that this
- 16 recommendation will make some of those people who are
- 17 cautious now even more cautious about giving us what,
- 18 after all, is legitimate and hopefully mutually helpful
- 19 information.
- 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Do you think that will calm down? At
- the moment the atmosphere, I readily recognise, is
- somewhat heightened.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Not least because of the work of the
- 25 Inquiry, which has now been going on for some months,

1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: If he's voluntarily giving and somebody else says something else and then there's 1 2 2 another report, but if one premised the proper information that he knows to be unauthorised, he 3 3 relationship between the press and the police as an wouldn't. Therefore, if he's voluntarily giving 4 4 encouragement to be as open and transparent as possible, information that he knows fits in with the ethos that 5 to encourage police officers to speak to journalists 5 the police want to generate, why would be he concerned? 6 6 That's what I'm having difficulty grappling with. within the areas of their competence and to encourage 7 7 sharing rather than not sharing news, both good and bad, I quite understand where we are today, and I quite 8 8 do you think that once that had got out and became part understand -- and there has been a consistent line among 9 9 of the DNA, the reluctance of which you speak would very senior police officers to recognise the need for 10 10 actually naturally diminish, so that the fact that the openness and transparency in police dealing with the 11 11 public, and therefore, significantly, with the media. centre knows what's going on would not be seen as 12 12 a disincentive, or do you think I'm being rather So if that's one side of the equation, I'm struggling to 13 unrealistic? 13 see why somebody should be reluctant to the engage in 14 A. I think it is obviously the fact that there is 14 that philosophy if it's the philosophy of the police. 15 15 That's my problem. a heightened sensitivity at the moment, and that's 16 a fact. I think there have always been police 16 A. Well, I suppose here I'm certainly talking about 17 17 officers -- I can only speak for Suffolk. There have somebody within the police force who is talking to 18 always, in my 33 years, been police officers who have 18 a journalist without authorisation from the 19 been reluctant to share what I view as legitimate 19 organisation, and he or she is giving information which 20 information for their own reasons. You've heard that 20 is not authorised to be released by or on behalf of the 21 21 Chief Constable. there's been some level of training for control room 22 staff about appropriate relationships with the press, 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, but that assumes that the 23 23 Chief Constable is sitting there with a sort of book and I just think that this recommendation, when 24 24 enshrined, will be a step backwards for a number of saying, "Chapters 1 to 10, that's all right. Chapters 25 25 11 and 12, absolutely not. Chapters 13, 14, 15 -- well, people who are concerned that by talking to the press Page 93 Page 95 1 they might in some way get themselves into trouble. 1 needs must. We'll see." LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But even if the headline comment is: 2 2 I'm not sure it works like that. I'm sure you would 3 3 "We want to encourage it. It's part of your job to agree that you would want absolutely no information that 4 engage with the press. That's part of the important 4 prejudiced a police investigation. 5 responsibility of modern-day policing." 5 A. Absolutely right, yes. 6 A. Mm. 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And therefore that's quite clear. 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So even in that context, you think 7 A. Yes. 8 some people would be concerned? 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: If a police officer is saying 9 9 something to you and you appreciate: "Actually, this is A. I think so, yes. 10 MR JAY: Would the chilling effect, to use that term, be 10 going to cause enormous trouble for an investigation" --11 much greater, though, in relation to the flow of 11 it didn't take Mr Adwent a nanosecond to say, "That 12 12 unauthorised information as opposed to authorised won't be going in the newspaper." 13 information? 13 A. Yes. What would happen in those circumstances is if we 14 A. Sorry, I didn't understand that. 14 were given some information about an inquiry, maybe 15 15 Q. Would police officers be less likely to engage with the a reopened inquiry that's ongoing, then we would go to 16 press as regards the dissemination of unauthorised 16 the press office to say, "We've learned that you're 17 information as opposed to authorised information? 17 looking again at X, cold case, perhaps, and we're at the 18 A. I don't think it would have an impact on unauthorised 18 moment intending to perhaps publish something about 19 information, because that would be -- you wouldn't 19 that. What's your response to that?" And then if the 20 expect a police officer who is releasing unauthorised 20 response is: "Well, yes, we are looking again at this 21 information to then voluntarily put himself on the 21 case, but because it's live, because we don't want" --22 register, would you? I don't think that's realistic. 22 and it's often: "... we don't want to alert the suspect 23 23 MR JAY: No. Mr Hunt, those were all the questions I have or suspects, then please don't put anything in the paper 24 for you. 24 until a given point", which, again, is usually at the 25 25 A. Okay. point of arrest, then we would always abide by their

Page 94

Lord Justice Leveson: That example is a very, very good one, because the protecuting authorities will very frequently, if not inevitably, not want to alert a cold case, perhaps a reinvestigation following acquital and a mapfication to the Court of Appeal criminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got rolling. A. Yes. 1. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They are provided and the very quiet until the whole judicial process had got rolling. A. Yes. 1. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. A. Yes. 1. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and looks at information that perhaps skentifies a problem that doesn't portray the police in the very best light. 1. Life of the very depolice of the very best light. 2. Life of the very depolice of the very best light. 2. Life of the very depolice of the very	1			
so, because the prosecuting authorities will very frequently, if not inevitably, not want to alert a cold case, perhaps a reinvestigation following acquittal and an application to the Court of Appeal criminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got not line. A. Yes, A. Yes, I GORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one pust that to one side and that doesn't portray the police in the very best in the police officers are entitled to speak within their area of competence across the range—so neighbourhood policemen will speak about so or through Twitter or any of the other mechanism that are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if conditions are not of the chief Constands has said, This is a good idea to congage in this way," with there shoulds he had concern. Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between— Local Lord DUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice policement to talk about local is stress which they're dealing with an and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, with might be an organisation the consummity, but there's a distinction the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, with with the organisation of the chones to tell us about those kind of susue at a point when that information to be in the public domain. And that's—there's a distinction the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, and the weit and 1 in domain and the annial all -1 dom know it you've 1 A. Yes, I do. We would always, every wind induced into publishing. To substit the profine of the police of the season with the organisation of the confidence of the pro	. 1	wishes.	1	seen the letter said to officers
so, because the prosecuting authorities will very frequently, if not inevitably, not want to alert a cold case, perhaps a reinvestigation following acquittal and an application to the Court of Appeal criminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got not line. A. Yes, A. Yes, I GORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one pust that to one side and that doesn't portray the police in the very best in the police officers are entitled to speak within their area of competence across the range—so neighbourhood policemen will speak about so or through Twitter or any of the other mechanism that are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if conditions are not of the chief Constands has said, This is a good idea to congage in this way," with there shoulds he had concern. Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between— Local Lord DUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice policement to talk about local is stress which they're dealing with an and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, with might be an organisation the consummity, but there's a distinction the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, with with the organisation of the chones to tell us about those kind of susue at a point when that information to be in the public domain. And that's—there's a distinction the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffice plote, and the weit and 1 in domain and the annial all -1 dom know it you've 1 A. Yes, I do. We would always, every wind induced into publishing. To substit the profine of the police of the season with the organisation of the confidence of the pro			2	
foregroutly, if not inevitably, not want to alert a cold case, perhaps a reinvestigation following acquitted and an application to the Court of Appeal criminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quite until the whole judicial process had got olling. A. Yes. 11 LORD IUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose if you would always, every single time, say. "Were going to go to the policie to stand up to the story or to sak for their comment", then 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and 14 looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem 15 that doesn't portiny the policie in the very best light. 16 if the view is that police officers are entitled to 17 speak within their area of competence across the 18 range—so neighbourhood." 'Yes, there 29 were three hugafaries in that street, so everybody 21 should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you 22 or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just street, so everybody 24 should steep an eye out", whether they do it through you 25 engage in this way", why three should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, fon't there, between— 2 I can't speak for Mr. Ash, obviously. 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 3 A. — but this is my perception, in that there has been an 2 encouragement for local policemen to talk about local 3 issues which they're dealing with and tackling within 4 their community, but there's a distinction. 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 3 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 4 necessarily damaging to public-police relations in the 4 way in which they detect crime, but because they'r 4 having to cope with management issues which are 5 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example— 5 A. Yes. 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example. 5 the offices. 6 the offices. 7 the offic	3		3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: "We don't want this to be
s case, perhaps a reinvestigation following acquitted and an application to the Court of Appeal criminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got rolling. A. Yes. A. Yes. ILORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. A. Yes. ILORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and lonos at information to the protate protate protate and the doesn't portray the police in the very best light, if the view is that police officers are entitled to speak within their area of competence across the range—so neighbourhood policemen will speak about what's going on in their neighbourhood: Post, there are today available. This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between—I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously, which summers to foll policemen to talk about local same someone within the raganisation who chooses to tell a matter, you know, restructure, redundancies—who chooses to tell as more took when the granisation who chooses to tell as matter to the surface and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell as matter the took whole follows in the propalisation who chooses to tell as more took to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information to the organisation who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when the matter, you know, restructure, redundancies—who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information to the to the public domain. And that's – there's a distinction to the public domain. And that's – there's a distinction to the public domain. And that's – there's a distinction to the public domain. And that's – there's a distinction in the way in which they detect crime, but because it's necessarily damaging to public police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're some processarily damaging to public police relations in the association	4		4	discussed because it is sensitive." Well, that went to
an application to the Court of Appeal eriminal division to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep that very quide toutil the whole judicial process had got that very quide toutil the whole judicial process had got of the politics. The providing the providin	5		5	
to permit a further prosecution. They'd want to keep to that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got rolling. 10 A. Yes. 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. 12 A. Yes. 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem that doesn't porting the police in the very best light, if the view is that police offices are entitled to fif the view is that police offices are entitled to what's going on in their neighbourhood; Post, there were three burglaties in that street, so everybody who what's going on in their neighbourhood; Post, there were three burglaties in that street, so everybody should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you or or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that engage in this way", why there should be that concern. 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between engage in this way", why there should be that concern. 2 I cont's speak for Mr Ash, obviously, engage in this way", why there should be that concern. 2 I cont's speak for Mr Ash, obviously, engage in this way", why there should be that concern. 3 I CORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local is successful, whether it he us or whoever, about something which Surfolk police - it might be an organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it he us or whoever, about something when that information to no e in the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction. 14 CORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction in the went of the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction in the way in which they detect crime, but because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because it's necessarily damaging to public/police re	6		6	
that very quiet until the whole judicial process had got proling. A. Yes. In ICORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. A. Yes. It LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and the looks at information to the newspaper without providing the context, that might itself create judicate in the doesn't portray the police in the very best light, the looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem that doesn't portray the police in the very best light, the first winting that the providing the context, that might itself create judicate in that doesn't portray the police in the very best light, the first very would be upgalaries in that street, so everybody and the pulgalaries in that street, so everybody what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Vest, there there do it through you are three burglaries in that street, so everybody available. This just struggling to see why, if the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between— 1 can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. J. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A. — but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within the and and someone within the organisation doesn't want that information to not or the organisation doesn't want that information to not be upoliced. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's many the hard way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are assisting and open with the federation, the superintendent the public and many in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are assisting and open with the federation, the superintendent assistation and the union all –1 don't know if you've tassistation and the union all –1 don't know if you've tas			7	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I suppose if you would always, every
or rolling. 10 A. Yes. 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a very good example. 12 A. Yes. 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and 14 looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem 15 that doesn't portray the police in the very best light, 16 if the view is that police officers are entitled to 17 speak within their area of competence across the 18 range - so neighbourhood policemen will speak about 18 what's going on in their enighbourhood; Pyes, there 20 were three burglaries in that street, so everybody 21 should keep an eye out'. whether they do it through you 22 or through Twitter or any of the other enchanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to 24 the Chief Constable has said, whether it be us or whoever, about something 31 which Suffolk police - it might be an organisation al matter, you know, restructure, redundances - who 12 chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information to be in the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction. 11 which Suffolk police - it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundances - who 12 chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information to too be in the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction. 12 Cord JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 5 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 4 having to cope with management issues which are 24 existinction, the ceasing which and the view of balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 5 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 5 comment that information to management issues which have a distinction. 14 domain. And that's - there's a distinction. 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 5 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 17 something that is not being authorised, not be		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	
10 A. Yes. 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
12 A. Yes. 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem if that doesn't portray the police in the very best light, if the view is that police officers are entitled to space within their area of competence across the range — so neighbourhood policemene will speak about what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there were three burglaries in that street, so everybody or or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if the Clostable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 1 A. Think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 1 A. Think there's a distinction, isn't there, between — Page 97 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A. — but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policement to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation all odoesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's — there's a distinction. 1 CORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're phaving to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example — Parity make the public domain. And that's — there's a distinction. 1 CORD JUSTICE LEVESON: — where a combination of the expensation and the union all — I don't know if you've 25				•
13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and to look at information that perhaps identifies a problem if the view is that police officers are entitled to speak within their area of competence across the range - so neighbourhood policemen will speak about what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there work three burglaries in that street, so everyhody as a retoday available, I'm just struggling to see why, if the Chief Constable has said," This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between - I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police - it might be an organisation to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public doors' t want that information to be in the public of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're assiciation and the union all – I don't know if you've 25 to the following the context, that might itself crease would the good so Suffolk police or say, "We've been told this; is this the case?" And we may Hunt It's a sightly stancted twe of				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But if one puts that to one side and looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem that that the conserved profess of the problem is the very best light, if the view is that police officers are entitled to graph as with the police in the very best light, if the view is that police officers are entitled to graph as what so going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if energy in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 2 I Can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within the organisation who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point which Suffolk police - it might be an organisation and the uniformation to be in the public domain. And that's - there's a distinction in the way in which they detect rime, but because it's necessarily damaging to public-police relations in the way in which they detect rime, but because they're a having to cope with management issues which dure a solication and the union all - I don't know if you've the problem of your preciate, is that if everybody took that view Page 99 5 John Land Babout those kind of issues at a point which they detect rime, but because it's necessarily damaging to public-police relations in the way in which they detect rime, but because they're a point which they detect rime, but because they're a something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public-police relations in the ensitive and complex, and we say in which they detect rime				
14 looks at information that perhaps identifies a problem 14 wouldn't dream of publishing. Do you see the point?				
that doesn't portray the police in the very best light, if the view is that police officers are entitled to speech within their area of competence across the range so neighbourhood policemen will speak about what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there a should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that a retoday available, I'm just struggling to see why, if the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between				
if the view is that police officers are entitled to speak within their area of competence across the rapeak about the rapeak within their area of competence across the rapeak about the read of the				
17 speak within their area of competence across the range—so neighbourhood policemen will speak about range—so neighbourhood policemen will speak about sower three burglaries in that street, so everybody were three burglaries in that street, so everybody should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1				-
18 range so neighbourhood policemen will speak about 19 what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there 20 what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there 21 should keep an eye our", whether they do it through you 21 should keep an eye our", whether they do it through you 22 or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable, has said, "This is a good iden to 25 cragage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97		•		_
19 what's going on in their neighbourhood: "Yes, there 20 were three burglaries in that street, so everybody 21 should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you 21 should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you 22 or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idae to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 4 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 2 we may not be where we are today. A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you which Suffolk police it might be an organisation doesn't want that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 15 CNRD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're sensitive and complex, and we saw that example sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 CRD JUSTICE LEVESON: That is quite a good example of sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 CRD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 23 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 24 CRD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 25 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example				
were three burglaries in that street, so everybody should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they red ealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're sensitive and complex, and we saw that example sensitive and complex is a sensitive and complex is a sensitive and complex is a				
should keep an eye out", whether they do it through you or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 22 or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to 25 engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 1 can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within 7 their community, but there's a distinction between that an anomeno within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisation doesn't want that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 15 chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public phase in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The problem, of course, as I'm information to the public domain. And that's there's a distinction to we may not be where we are today. A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. 17 something that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 18 necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're phase in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 18 necessarily damaging to public/police relations in th				
22 or through Twitter or any of the other mechanisms that 23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to 25 engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an 5 encouragement for local policemen to talk about local 6 issues which they're dealing with and tackling within 7 their community, but there's a distinction between that 8 and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell 9 the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something 10 which Suffolk police it might be an organisation 11 matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who 11 chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point 13 when that information to be in the public 14 doesn't want that information to be in the public 15 domain. And that's there's a distinction. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 17 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 18 necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the 19 way in which they detect crime, but because they're 20 having to cope with management issues which are 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The roblem, of course, as I'm 23 information to the public, information to the engage in this way to present that information to the public, in that concern. Page 99 1 1 A. I think there's a distinction, in the there has been an encouragement is a good idea to every men you appreciate, is that if everybody took that view 2 balanced information is that view of balance and sensible reportage, 2 we may not be where we are today. 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's all about trost of the trost of the public domain. And that's there's a distinction between that a point where we are today. 3 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the mo		-		
23 are today available, I'm just struggling to see why, if 24 the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to 25 engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Tes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. 17 something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've				
the Chief Constable has said, "This is a good idea to engage in this way", why there should be that concern. Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 24. A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. The problem, of course, as I'm sure you appreciate, is that if everybody took that view Page 99 sure you appreciate, is that if everybody took that view Page 99 of life and that view of balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balance dinformation to my readers and that's all about trust. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. 11. 12. 58 pm) 12. 12. 58 pm) 13. 4. Cord JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's pay in the public place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. 14. 15. 5 public pl		-		
25 sure you appreciate, is that if everybody took that view Page 99 1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 2 A. Yes. 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 2 sure you appreciate, is that if everybody took that view of balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 3 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 4 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 4 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balance and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A. Thank you. 11 cont indeed for co				-
Page 97 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 1 Can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 2 A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the effectation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've estates a first matter and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very overy much indeed for coming. A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of a particular and sensible reportage, we may not be where we are today. A. Wes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of a particular and the union all I don't know if you've Jordan A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of a particular and sensible reportage. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The federation, the super				
1 A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between 2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 4 A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 3 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're playing to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 constable, the federation, the superintendent 26 constable, the federation, the superintendent 26 constable, the federation of the 27 constable, the federation of the 27 constable a	23		23	
2 I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously. 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. 3 A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate, balanced information to my readers and that's all about trust. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. 12 Spm)		1 450 77		T age //
LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within the organisation who chooses to tell and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 1	1	A. I think there's a distinction, isn't there, between	1	of life and that view of balance and sensible reportage,
LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No. A but this is my perception, in that there has been an encouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within the organisation who chooses to tell and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 1	2	I can't speak for Mr Ash, obviously.	2	we may not be where we are today.
sencouragement for local policemen to talk about local issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	3		3	A. Well, I mean, my job is to get the most accurate,
issues which they're dealing with and tackling within their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've it their community, but there's a distinction between that convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) 11 (12.58 pm) 12 A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) 13 14 15 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 15 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 16 17 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 17 necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the 18 48 40 41 41 42 42 43 44 44 45 46 47 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 49 49 40 41 41 41 41 41 42 41 42 42 43 44 44 44 44 45 46 47 47 48 48 49 49 40 40 41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	4	A but this is my perception, in that there has been an	4	balanced information to my readers and that's all about
their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	5	encouragement for local policemen to talk about local	_	4
and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public bundani. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've should be very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) (12.58 pm) (12.58 pm) 13 4	6)	trust.
the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've A. Thank you. (12.58 pm) (12.58 pm) 13 (12.58 pm)	U	issues which they're dealing with and tackling within	-	
which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who 11 chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation 13 doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're 19 having to cope with management issues which are 20 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 23 chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 24 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25			6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very
matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public todomain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7	their community, but there's a distinction between that	6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you
chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public todomain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 8	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell	6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming.
when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public todomain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 8 9	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something	6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
14doesn't want that information to be in the public1415domain. And that's there's a distinction.1516LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of1617something that is not being authorised, not because it's1718necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the1819way in which they detect crime, but because they're1920having to cope with management issues which are2021sensitive and complex, and we saw that example2122A. Yes.2223LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the2324Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent2425association and the union all I don't know if you've25	7 8 9 10	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational	6 7 8 9 10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
15 domain. And that's there's a distinction. 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of 17 something that is not being authorised, not because it's 18 necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the 19 way in which they detect crime, but because they're 20 having to cope with management issues which are 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 26 16 27 16 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	7 8 9 10 11	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who	6 7 8 9 10 11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're 19 having to cope with management issues which are 20 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 24 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7 8 9 10 11 12	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent Association and the union all I don't know if you've 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 20 20	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 21 22 A. Yes. 22 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 24 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
21 sensitive and complex, and we saw that example 22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 26 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
22 A. Yes. 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 26 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the 24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
24 Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent 25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
25 association and the union all I don't know if you've 25	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
Page 98 Page 100	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you.
	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their community, but there's a distinction between that and someone within the organisation who chooses to tell the media, whether it be us or whoever, about something which Suffolk police it might be an organisational matter, you know, restructure, redundancies who chooses to tell us about those kind of issues at a point when that information is not or the organisation doesn't want that information to be in the public domain. And that's there's a distinction. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's quite a good example of something that is not being authorised, not because it's necessarily damaging to public/police relations in the way in which they detect crime, but because they're having to cope with management issues which are sensitive and complex, and we saw that example A. Yes. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: where a combination of the Chief Constable, the federation, the superintendent association and the union all I don't know if you've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that's a very convenient place at which to stop. Mr Hunt, thank you very much indeed for coming. A. Thank you. (12.58 pm)

	1		l	1	1	1
A	administration	Anne 34:14,15	48:10 60:10	assuming 66:15	balancing 62:20	body 28:5 36:4
ABC 76:18	15:5 63:7	34:18	65:3 67:18,22	assurance 90:21	barriers 72:15	book 95:23
abide 96:25	adopted 34:7 54:1	anonymous 12:15	67:24 71:10	atmosphere 92:21	bars 48:13	bottom 24:24 27:1 29:2
ability 18:7	advantage 72:7	answer 4:3 22:17	84:10,13 85:21 91:11 97:17	attempt 64:17	based 15:7 22:17 basic 9:9 22:25	boundaries 70:7
able 19:1 22:12	72:16	32:12 37:17	areas 8:15 25:10	attendance 13:9	25:4 40:3	71:6
27:2 31:18,25	advantages 72:5	68:3 74:20	41:3,21 65:8	13:10,14	basically 4:8	boy 89:8
34:4,10 37:8	advantage/disa	75:6	69:3 93:6	attended 33:25	38:9 52:21	boy's 89:10
40:1,23 42:24 45:2 47:1,9	83:24	answered 66:12	arisen 14:6 15:20	attention 1:9	basis 6:21 22:2	90:13
48:19 55:16	adversely 3:13	answers 19:22	arising 33:17	89:16,18	59:8 64:1	brand 81:19
56:17 62:20	27:22	53:25	armoury 43:20	attracted 15:12	66:12 71:11	breadth 61:5
74:20 77:5	advertising	Anthony 66:2,5	arranged 16:7	attributed 12:21	90:24 91:9	break 53:16,19
82:8 90:4	66:21	anti-knife 6:9	arrangements	audit 53:13	bat 43:13	72:15 76:1
abroad 37:1	advice 21:6 39:1	anxiety 44:8	25:16	audited 24:14	bear 23:14 55:16	bridge 16:13
absolutely 10:10	39:1 40:19	86:23	arranges 21:9	August 47:25	bearing 78:14	brief 61:7
22:21 39:17	49:12	anyway 59:22	arrest 32:8 55:21	authentic 12:24	beast 44:23	briefing 52:13,19
65:3 88:4	advise 38:25	81:13	70:22 96:25	authorisation	behalf 36:23	52:24 53:11
95:25 96:3,5	advisory 59:6,18 advocate 32:9	apart 22:25 APCOM 34:25	arrested 72:22 arrived 4:13	95:18 authorised 13:10	63:11 69:1 95:20	briefings 39:23 52:6,19 72:24
accentuate 62:7	80:16	35:21,22 36:1	arriving 4:1	75:12 94:12,17	behaviour 28:1	bring 25:2 49:7
acceptable 18:13	Adwent 65:25	36:3 38:18	Article 13:23	95:20 98:17	belief 28:17,20	55:16
24:5 48:15	66:2,5,6 79:1	55:8,15 56:9	14:1	authoritatively	56:5,9 57:2	bringing 26:4
acceptance 22:7 access 19:1 47:3	82:19,21 96:11	58:4,6,6,22	ascertain 89:20	9:18	84:19	brings 40:11
58:9,23 67:25	99:15	59:5,10,14	ascertained	authorities 67:14	believe 17:4	British 15:7
accident 18:2	affairs 35:15	60:23	31:13	97:3	18:16 29:15	broad 5:1,5
47:10 89:7	affect 64:25 65:1	apologise 29:25	ascertaining	Authority 24:23	31:7 32:6	36:16 41:10
90:19	afraid 6:24	66:18	42:21	available 5:12	40:16 42:5	60:18
accommodate	agencies 34:3	apologised 50:15	Ash 1:23,24 2:2	43:4 46:20	47:12 48:15	broadbrush
60:18	agenda 78:23	Appeal 97:6	4:25 7:25 14:8	47:3 57:7	51:3 57:5 58:7	56:25
account 14:24	81:7	appear 66:10 68:17	16:11 17:17	97:23	65:10 70:16 71:6 76:7,23	broadly 32:15 35:16 52:2
64:24 83:23	ago 59:22 76:17 agree 6:2 10:24	appeared 4:17	18:14 23:15 25:6 26:21	Avon 55:3 aware 3:8 4:6	77:20 78:7	59:9 60:20
91:3	11:16 23:20	appeared 4.17 appendices 25:8	31:7 32:5,18	38:2 44:2	79:7 82:7 85:2	67:15 75:5
accuracy 76:19	26:10,17 30:13	application 1:13	33:7 38:1	54:14 56:1	86:5,11	brought 8:11
accurate 5:25	55:7 56:24	97:6	44:18 69:11	60:22,25 67:23	believed 15:8	26:8 65:6
23:2 50:6,9 57:13 62:17	65:3 67:10	applications 1:6	73:10 74:15	71:3,4,21 87:4	82:18	Browne 32:20
63:19 76:22	96:3	applies 23:11	79:8 83:14	87:16 90:11,12	believes 61:19	33:6
100:3	agreed 6:14 56:6	apply 23:18	98:2	90:20	believe's 20:5	budget 27:5
accurately 26:5	aim 87:24	24:11	asked 29:3 32:5	awareness 68:22	benchmark	28:12
63:5	aimed 59:25	appreciate 3:25	38:1 53:21	72:18	54:16	build 4:7 50:3
achieve 60:16	aiming 88:10	33:8 45:10	60:11 78:8	awoke 85:19	beneficial 26:9	building 12:3
83:25 87:24	aims 19:23 86:7	72:20 80:9	89:6 91:22	A19 27:6	benefit 18:21	bullet 13:23,25
88:10	air 75:1	82:21 83:19 96:9 99:25	asking 44:10	B	44:17 58:11 61:20 68:22	19:16,22 20:3 bureaucratically
achieved 88:9	albeit 7:3 33:12 40:17	appreciated	aspect 45:1 69:6 84:23		88:25	20:1
ACPO 7:17,20	alcohol 48:1,2,16	85:10	aspects 76:14	back 22:14 45:16 49:14 55:1	benefits 58:6,7	burglaries 97:20
7:22 8:7,12	alert 96:22 97:4	approach 56:16	88:11	77:5 78:16	58:14,24 59:2	burglary 71:24
26:10 39:3 54:12 55:15	alight 9:6	85:22	assassins 62:18	80:12 85:8	best 7:6 12:5	business 8:14,17
59:5,17 63:23	allegation 32:2	approaching	assertion 31:19	backdrop 22:9	31:16 32:10	8:19,21 9:1,3
acquittal 97:5	allowing 14:7	36:9	31:22	31:5	37:12 39:1	18:7,12 30:23
acronym 34:25	58:22	appropriate 9:16	assess 91:7	background	42:24 44:14	38:4 46:8
act 7:22 30:15	allows 13:12	16:22,23 17:21	assist 31:14 36:1	52:13,19,19,24	45:2 51:18,22	busy 18:13
acted 82:16	26:12 38:10	23:18,24 30:7	assistance 34:2	53:10	55:12 57:17	button 17:1
action 10:4 15:13	alongside 37:14	39:20 42:9,20	42:11 45:14	backwards	61:25 63:5	
32:10	64:17	42:22 43:3	assistant 2:12	93:24	86:22 97:15	<u>C</u>
activity 4:15	alter 29:1 amalgamated	47:6 48:23,25 63:15 70:7	54:13 66:24 assisted 46:22	bad 12:7 49:25	better 12:20 49:8 74:21	CAG 59:17
ad 6:21 7:11	amaigamated 19:11	89:21 93:22	53:24	93:7 balance 51:13	beyond 19:24	calibration 17:4 call 21:6 52:12
add 50:6 83:11	ambassadors	appropriately	associated 15:10	62:6 76:19	76:19	55:14 71:13
additional 55:1	40:17	7:3 62:21	association	87:25 88:2,10	bigger 21:20	81:7
66:13 88:21 address 16:6	amount 54:4	approximately	34:24 36:3	100:1	bill 48:1,3,5	called 18:16
91:22	amounts 22:1	2:20	59:20 64:9	balanced 14:4	bit 32:21 38:10	calls 46:14
addressed 23:1	analysis 3:11	April 1:18	67:6 81:1	50:6,8,13	56:11 61:17	calm 92:20
25:5	Andy 59:17,23	area 8:17,19,22	98:25	62:13 74:9	74:20 76:2	campaign 6:9,10
addresses 19:16	Anglian 15:25	9:1,3 14:7	assumed 92:4	87:14 88:13	79:3 81:8	40:6
adequate 25:1	67:1 84:5	30:22,22 31:5	assumes 95:22	99:22 100:4	block 47:8	campaigns 6:8
	<u>l</u>	<u></u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>

						Page 102
ī	i		I	I	Ī	_
	chairing 25:17	48:22 60:13	2:24 10:25	conduct 23:18	49:8 71:23	52:3,4 53:12
	chairmanship	68:12 72:3	11:19 18:15,23	conferences	85:23	54:6 61:19
Campbell 34:14	56:9	96:6	19:5 20:25	69:22,25	contacted 21:7	67:7,15 69:14
	challenge 6:25	clearly 5:16	21:2 29:7,15	confidence 12:3	contacts 18:17	75:5 76:1 84:7
36:10 38:13	63:18	17:16 24:23	34:21 35:11,15	15:6,18 29:22	18:25 21:21	corrected 58:16
	challenges 36:17	29:23 42:11	36:7 37:21	62:16 63:8	23:12 38:10	correctly 10:8
53:21 55:17 63:20	37:7,8 champion 89:19	52:22 clever 49:23	38:15 39:16 40:24 41:6	confidential 75:22 76:12	79:2,9 92:7	18:19 43:15 47:7
	champion 89:19 chance 33:20	cliche 76:3	44:15 45:17,22	confidentially	contempt 87:21 content 21:23	corrupt 28:1
•	change 22:8	close 1:7 7:4	49:12 54:1	61:7 62:3	46:18 89:9	costs 91:16
25:2	27:21 86:2	57:19	57:3,4 59:6,18	confirm 78:2	context 1:8 10:9	councils 15:9
- 1	changed 15:17	closely 15:14	60:6 72:1	connotation	41:10,19 44:5	country 2:19
1 "	changes 48:21	41:2 59:5	communicatio	52:11	52:13 61:21,22	8:14 25:23
capture 20:4	69:8 81:18	closer 39:22	58:12	connotations	62:1,6 66:16	26:15 79:6
career 2:9 35:10	86:5	closing 1:21	communicators	45:8	67:11,12 75:19	county 2:10 6:21
35:18 66:20	channel 81:14	Code 75:21	34:24 36:3	consequence	80:11 86:9,11	14:14 21:4
84:3	channels 40:2	cold 96:17 97:4	38:4	3:15 28:10	87:7,10,24,25	86:10 88:5
careers 49:6	57:6 75:8,13	Colin 66:2,5	community 7:2	consequential	94:7 99:12	course 3:11 6:18
careful 31:3 71:5	89:16	collaborative	12:19 22:19	27:19	contexts 40:18	32:10 33:8
	Chapters 95:24	18:22	71:20 86:23	consequently	contextual 87:14	36:23 37:13
23:25 45:11	95:24,25	colleague 33:18	98:7	28:23 34:2	continually	40:3,10 42:1
	charged 61:8	colleagues 18:22 25:25 37:19	company 38:8	consider 1:11 23:25 72:9	81:14	42:13,19 43:1
	Chartered 58:20 chatting 48:13	25:25 37:19 38:19 51:18	81:21 comparable	considerably	continue 21:21 30:6,9 60:16	44:4 53:23 56:20 63:6
	cheaper 58:22	52:18	38:19	29:10,13	contradict 32:3	65:16 82:20
	check 25:9	collect 32:23	comparatively	consideration	control 41:13	99:24
	checking 80:5	collection 8:13	55:4	1:4	45:8 93:21	court 69:3 71:17
	checklist 25:8	coloured 80:7.8	compared 37:11	considered 86:20	controlling	71:19 97:6
	Cheer 54:12	combination	49:17	consistency 26:6	41:16,25 42:6	cover 3:2 50:15
	chief 2:2,12,14	98:23	compatible 7:23	26:8	46:17	54:3 62:8 69:4
73:1 85:9	3:16 8:13,15	combined 29:11	competence 93:6	consistent 95:8	controversial	71:18 73:17
88:19,20 91:3	8:16 14:9	come 14:19	97:17	constable 2:2,12	37:23	coverage 11:24
96:17,21 97:5	16:22 24:15,21	28:14 33:9,19	complacency	2:14 3:16 8:15	convenient 53:16	51:11 72:10
99:18	25:17 26:14	44:7 49:11	30:5	14:9 16:22	100:7	73:25
cases 58:10	28:21 31:16	56:10 57:1	complain 51:1	20:20 25:17	conversation	covered 51:11
61:11,12,13	34:5,8 38:21	72:2,13 73:23	completely 26:17	26:14 31:16	47:11 99:19	58:5 69:25
69:3,4 74:16 74:17 75:10	38:24 40:19	74:15 77:5	complex 39:16	38:21 54:13	conversations	covering 50:1 69:3 79:19
79:16,19	54:13 55:22 70:23 80:25	78:14,16 79:9 80:13,18 82:15	40:25 98:21 composite 26:4	55:22 70:23 80:25 87:20	48:19 convincing 12:24	covers 2:21
catering 47:23	87:20 95:21,23	84:22 85:6	29:8.14	95:21,23 97:24	cope 55:5 98:20	36:22 76:11
cause 5:8 11:2	97:24 98:24	90:24 91:6,11	comprehensive	98:24	core 1:6,9,11	covert 3:5
	chilling 79:4	comes 14:13	18:25	constables 8:16	5:23	CRA 63:25,25
caused 86:22	94:10	54:20 55:19	comprises 2:23	28:21	coroner 47:12	64:15,19 67:19
	choices 28:24	62:14	compulsory 27:5	constabularies	corporate 2:24	create 13:16 22:1
	chooses 98:8,12	comfortable	coms 41:2 60:5	34:22 35:12	10:25 18:15	29:7,21 30:6
cautious 4:19	choosing 45:11	40:21 81:16	concern 21:20	79:6	19:5 20:25	65:13 83:1
	chose 50:24	coming 41:6	30:16 70:11	constabulary 2:3	21:2 29:7,15	99:12
	chosen 33:9	53:14 100:8	83:21 85:3	2:10,12,13	34:21 35:11	created 20:9
	CIPR 58:25	comment 7:15	87:11 88:12	14:14 24:7	37:20 38:15	22:2
	circumstances	9:15,24 31:8	97:25	25:1 29:5 39:6	40:24 41:6	creating 19:25
73:11 74:5,6	51:16 74:12	57:18 60:11	concerned 21:14	50:2,16,21	44:14 49:12	53:13
79:16 84:21	89:4 90:23 91:6 96:13	90:16 94:2 99:9	46:10 47:12 50:19 62:11,19	68:9,10,11 69:7 74:5	60:6 71:10 correct 2:16 3:1	credibility 10:3 10:7,19,23
certainly 11:21 26:1 28:12,17	cite 17:18	comments 14:14	70:9,24 82:14	77:11 79:12	6:3 12:7 14:5	credible 54:10
	civil 65:8	37:15 57:17	82:15 93:25	80:8 81:9,22	15:2 17:22	crime 15:7,9
	claim 38:18	60:21	94:8 95:5	82:10,13	18:1,21 19:7	59:19 64:1
	clarification	committed 16:18	concerning 3:9	constantly 50:1	19:12,13,18	66:23 67:1,5
57:19 58:11	66:13	33:12	concerns 22:20	consultation	22:21 23:12,13	67:12,23 68:21
	clarify 64:14	common 14:17	55:20 69:9	27:22	23:21 24:12	76:16 86:8,9
65:18 70:14	74:20	48:10 79:22	concluded 1:19	contact 6:5,12,15	25:20 27:3,9	86:13 90:24
	clarity 76:19	86:7	conclusions	18:18 19:3,5	27:12,15 28:7	98:19
	clause 9:8,9 13:8	commonly 21:7	56:22	19:19 20:7	29:9 30:10,14	crimes 40:10
cetera 69:8 71:25	23:16 73:5	communication	condescending	21:9 22:6,16	31:12 35:1,16	41:21 42:10
	clear 9:19 14:2	21:5 36:5 41:7	56:23	39:10 42:20	35:17,20 38:22	44:24 88:2
34:23 35:22,23	14:11 28:5	44:14 46:21	conditions 7:18	43:17,25 47:1	42:22,23 43:16	criminal 32:8
35:24 59:17	30:4 36:19	communications	7:22	47:6 48:24,25	46:1 47:21	53:5 97:6
		ı	·	1	I	

						14.50 100
	l	l	1	l		
critical 20:10	debate 8:9,18,23	detected 22:4	93:12	early 16:15	97:25	example 8:20
criticise 73:24	December 4:14	86:1	disorder 15:9	85:12,14	engaging 43:12	15:23 16:15
74:2	25:3,18 53:23	detective 34:8	disquiet 92:10,11	easier 80:1	enjoy 18:6	17:19 32:7
criticising 16:1	56:5 69:18	54:11	disseminated	easily 47:3	enjoyed 35:18	49:13,18 50:13
criticism 4:24	86:17 89:3	determine 24:4	10:14,21 28:5	East 15:25 67:1	enormous 54:23	53:2 69:11
5:6 16:6 74:11	decide 51:13		dissemination	84:5	83:25 96:10	
		develop 84:11				73:9,12,16
74:11	decided 85:17	developed 15:13	28:4 94:16	edit 41:14	enquired 41:11	88:16 91:14
Cromwell 55:1	decides 11:17	58:18	dissuade 79:14	editing 41:17,25	enquiries 31:17	97:2,11 98:16
cultural 7:13	decision 1:3	developing 58:15	distance 4:16	42:6 45:1	32:21	98:21
culture 29:4 39:5	11:20 52:15	development	7:21	81:10	enquiry 39:13	examples 14:20
60:12 86:2	72:21 91:12	8:19	distinction 11:6	edition 23:10	enshrined 93:24	excellent 6:7
Cumbria 55:3	decisions 28:22	differ 61:1	20:7,9 39:13	editor 6:18,20	ensue 14:3	exception 66:9
current 2:15	29:1 90:23	difference 7:13	77:19,24 98:1	47:11 62:16	ensure 16:19	exceptional 89:4
6:23 24:25	dedicated 67:23	38:16 41:16	98:7,15	66:24,24 68:18	25:14 39:1	exceptionally
29:4	deem 71:21	differences	distributed 21:3	68:25 69:14	43:13,18 54:24	54:8
currently 35:10	deeply 22:19	33:16 36:16	division 97:6	84:5 92:9	ensuring 21:20	exceptions 18:11
36:8 66:25	74:2	49:15 50:25	DNA 80:2 93:9	editorial 72:21	69:4	exclusive 42:2
84:4	default 20:12	different 25:21	document 25:11	73:19 90:23	entertained	exclusively 36:6
custody 73:15	definitely 25:1	37:6 39:14	doing 15:16	editors 3:12 5:11	83:14	executive 24:17
customer 38:11	48:2	40:11,14,18,18	21:15 42:1	6:21,24 17:10	enthusiastic	exercise 42:8
cut 21:24 91:16	definition 10:5	42:16 44:4	45:19 46:12	55:23 64:11,12	60:24	exhibit 7:24,24
cuts 27:5 28:12	degree 24:4	49:16 63:3	63:10 70:3,14	65:16,16 68:11	entirely 26:10	exist 45:17 64:4
	25:25 26:8	64:4,8 67:12	71:23 77:11	71:4 75:21	78:19 79:5,12	82:2
D	44:20 56:17	82:22,22 83:22	79:14 82:17	effect 79:4 94:10	entitled 1:10	existed 29:12
daily 15:25 50:3	65:12 92:9,14	88:23	domain 8:10,11	effective 34:12	97:16	expect 11:1 50:5
67:1 71:11	delay 6:1 15:21	difficult 14:6	11:15 13:3	39:25	environment	50:21 63:10
84:6	16:1	difficulties 13:17	16:17,23 17:13	effort 26:13 57:3	30:6	94:20
damage 61:10	delayed 17:16	difficulty 21:17	20:24 23:5	eight 59:12	environs 31:10	expectation 39:9
78:4 99:13	Delia's 47:23	95:6	73:14 74:10	either 14:15	epithets 56:24	expectations
damaging 98:18	deliberately 85:8	diminish 93:10	77:14 78:15	45:13 77:12,22	equally 30:1 42:3	16:5
danger 87:21	demand 45:12	direct 9:22 38:24	81:24 85:1,18	79:18	43:3	expected 19:4
dangerous 84:24	78:13	39:3 55:23	98:15	either/or 57:10	equates 30:25	43:11 60:8
85:15	denied 47:4	57:8	door 47:5	elaborate 49:18	equation 95:12	85:16
data 19:2	deny 78:2	directed 56:20	doors 47:2 70:2	electronic 25:14	equipment 91:25	expenses 48:16
	department 2:24	57:4 60:21	88:23	46:21	equivalent 17:24	48:17
date 8:1 19:9,13	10:25 11:19	direction 53:9	doubt 39:17	Elizabeth 34:15	67:18	experience 33:10
20:3 25:1						
35:10 83:9	18:16,24 21:1	directions 1:16	doubtless 38:17	34:18 56:19	escalates 40:3	33:18 50:4
dated 2:5 25:12	21:2 24:19	directly 23:4	DPA 36:10 37:19	eloquent 32:16	escape 16:17	54:3 55:6 61:6
35:2 66:8	29:6,7,8,11,13	38:20 42:18	dramatically	email 21:23	escaped 17:12	61:14
day 1:20 6:23	29:16 52:1,7	46:4 52:9	65:19	embarrass 10:6	73:15 85:13	experienced 51:7
12:10,10 15:25	52:17	58:23 68:10	draw 1:8 39:13	82:10	especially 87:11	68:23,24 82:5
18:11 31:1	departments	director 35:14	50:14 51:23	embarrassing	et 69:8 71:25	explain 2:17 3:17
63:2 76:4	35:13	disappear 59:7	dream 99:14	11:14 77:12	ethical 30:11	4:10 6:4,11,18
78:24 87:6	depending 51:15	discern 28:12	drew 34:2	embarrass/un	ethics 23:17 48:7	15:3 39:5
day's 89:22 90:5	depends 1:19	disciplinary 10:3	drink 42:15	10:2	ethos 95:4	46:24 72:24
day-to-day 47:15	39:12 74:18	disclosure 73:11	drinks 48:13	embedded 22:19	event 55:10,11	73:5 74:14
deal 6:7 7:19	deploying 31:20	disclosures	drip 54:9	emerge 77:22,23	84:18	77:17 89:11
	deputy 25:17	27:11	drive 39:21	emerging 64:16	events 1:12 7:12	explained 9:11
33:6 34:1	26:14 38:20	discomfort 11:2	42:15 63:9	employing 37:24	55:9 59:12	63:25 73:22
38:14 39:16	descended 86:18	discover 3:22	driven 7:11	employing 37.24 empowers 45:9	88:15	explanation 30:1
45:2,14 68:5						_
71:9 72:14	describe 7:5	discuss 48:20	driving 40:5	enables 18:11,17	event-driven	77:23
80:10 87:11	18:24 34:10	64:24	drown 65:18	58:8	6:22	explore 42:2
dealing 5:14,20	56:12 61:17	discussed 27:15	dry 21:13	encountered	everybody 80:24	express 91:21
12:14 15:9	described 28:17	59:18 63:24	due 6:18 7:17	13:14	82:24 97:20	92:9
31:5 36:20	40:14	64:15,16,21	33:19	encourage 20:22	99:25	expressed 24:10
37:21 40:8,21	describing 7:7	84:13 90:2	duty 12:4 27:25	43:17 85:5	evidence 1:4,19	expresses 68:18
54:4 63:2	description 13:5	99:4	53:8	93:5,6 94:3	2:7 31:8 32:2	extent 24:3 64:23
76:22,24 79:20	desirable 10:16	discussing 49:14	dynamic 55:1	encouraged	34:8 35:4	extraordinary
95:10 98:6	desire 5:24	discussion 24:22	dynamics 64:2,4	11:25	38:14 55:19	88:2
dealings 4:20	desk 69:19,23	51:18 59:13		encouragement	66:8 70:5,15	extremely 29:17
7:11,16 29:5	Desmond 32:20	60:4 67:11,13	E	39:6,18 93:4	70:20,21 81:6	90:7
deals 23:8	despite 86:4	68:7 74:7 84:1	earlier 16:14	98:5	81:9 83:10,13	ex-SOCA 31:9
dealt 9:15 49:17	detail 3:2 34:10	disgruntled	17:6 30:24	encourages	evident 29:12	eye 97:21
68:10	87:18,18 88:7	28:14	49:14 64:14	82:24	exact 51:16 83:9	eyes 61:10
Dean 83:4,7	details 18:18	dishonest 28:1	73:10	engage 8:8 94:4	exactly 11:4,16	-,-0001110
death 79:20 89:7	detect 98:19	disincentive	earliest 5:21	94:15 95:13	62:10	F
17.20 07.7			Juliost J.21	, , 5 5	02.10	
	•	•	•	•	•	•

						Page 104
	I	Ī	I	Ī	Ī	_
face 10:3 36:17	40:13 41:3,23	former 41:19	23:11,19 26:22	72:10,17 86:7	14:25 49:22	82:10
37:8 61:1	43:3 82:23	89:19	34:4 35:19	93:7 97:2,11	50:17 61:3	hoped 85:16
faces 37:7 72:22	findings 56:25	forthcoming	46:8 49:9,20	97:24 98:16	headquarters	hopefully 47:16
facilitate 41:7	finger 82:1	5:16	68:14 69:15	government	21:3	76:25 92:18
Facilitating	firmly 61:18	forum 59:4	72:1 84:10	30:19	heads 8:15	hoping 28:25
13:11	first 1:23 2:9 9:7	forward 22:15	86:6,6 88:1,4,9	graded 15:11	health 25:9	horrifying 87:13
fact 13:7 19:19	13:9,23 20:17	30:3 57:11	generate 95:5	grading 15:4,10	84:25	hospital 16:18
22:8 24:25	21:6 23:22	58:21 84:22	generated 71:2	grappling 95:6	healthy 6:23	73:15
36:12 37:25	31:15,19 32:1	85:6	getting 17:7 36:8	grateful 1:14	hear 6:17,20	hospitality 17:17
38:8 39:15	34:20 35:2	foster 30:9	72:9 73:13	great 87:11	22:12 23:3	17:19,23 18:4
43:22 55:7	38:13 39:4	found 4:19 65:14	81:14	greater 13:13	30:2 43:5	19:17 23:8,18
59:19 67:17	42:12 43:17,24	68:16 75:7,16	give 1:16 12:13	26:8 94:11	52:17 55:18	23:25 24:3,4,5
83:14 91:3	61:5 74:22	four 21:16	15:23 29:25	greatly 15:18	heard 31:9 32:19	24:13 25:13
93:10,14,16	85:4 92:9	framework	33:19 44:25	46:22	35:12 51:24	47:18 48:7
failed 91:25	fits 95:4	26:19	49:13 50:4,23	ground 20:2 58:5	56:24 60:19	hot 59:13
fair 13:24 50:6,8	five 4:11 26:23	frankly 45:24	52:13 55:19	group 25:17 59:6	70:5 81:9	hotel 31:23
67:21,24 81:8	26:24 51:25,25	87:13	62:8,13,20	59:18 65:6	92:12 93:20	hours 85:2,12,14
99:22	53:22 74:16	free 45:17	77:4,17	growth 30:22	hearing 34:7	hub 34:12
fairly 8:1 17:18	flesh 42:3	freedom 30:15	given 14:19	31:5	heart 5:4 34:9	human 27:21
46:11,19 47:18	flex 56:14	30:25	16:10 17:23	Guardian's	heightened	62:2
48:5,19 63:5	flexibility 56:14	freely 46:20	34:20 46:16	54:14	92:22 93:15	Hunt 83:3,4,7,19
77:2	flick 21:19	free-for-all	53:9 62:12	guess 21:20 61:2	held 84:19	86:1 94:23
fairness 71:16	flourish 30:7	44:10	63:2 66:16	guidance 11:21	help 26:12 28:20	100:7
76:10 78:12,17 78:20	flow 14:1 41:13 41:15,17,17	frequently 97:4 Friction 15:20	70:15 90:9 91:9,10 96:14	19:8 20:6,10 52:23 54:18	37:9 40:12,24 41:8 42:3,10	hymn 56:18
fallen 16:4	56:9 80:15	Friday 1:8	96:24 99:16	59:16,23 63:24	44:6 47:2	
falls 48:10	94:11	frightened 88:19	gives 24:13 47:25	64:15,18	52:13 55:16	idea 48:1 59:6
family 90:8,9,11	fly 6:9	front 30:20	61:23 73:25	guidelines 9:13	57:8 59:11	97:24
far 12:19,24,25	focus 33:11	78:25 87:22	giving 3:23 6:6	56:13	79:4	ideas 59:4,7
32:16 33:2	focused 55:9	frugal 47:18	64:22 92:14,17	Gull 34:9 86:19	helpful 26:20	ideas 39.4,7
34:10 42:7	follow 37:20	frustrate 10:2	95:1,3,19	Guir 5 1.9 00.19	56:11,16 57:1	identifies 97:14
53:14 67:23	following 17:7	11:7,9 32:8	glib 76:2	H	79:7 81:2,4	identify 26:23
78:18 79:23	22:10,22 31:21	frustration 44:6	gloss 29:17	half 82:25	90:7 92:18	ignore 51:20
82:14,15,25	55:21 59:12	68:17 84:11,17	Gloucestershire	hand 10:20	helping 45:21	91:6
fashion 86:16	90:5 97:5	frustrations	55:2	36:17,18	60:8	imagine 72:17
fast-moving	follows 14:24	86:14	go 5:4 11:21 13:2	handbook 23:9	helps 72:11,14	immediately
87:13	56:22	full 2:1 12:13	29:24 32:19	26:17	Hertford 2:12	1:17,18 23:15
father 90:13	follow-up 51:9	34:17 66:4	38:4 45:16	handle 80:6	hiccup 47:8	immemorial
favour 25:4,7	football 17:20	77:17,23 83:6	46:5 51:17	handled 4:17	hide 76:3	48:11
82:9	83:14	fuller 74:8	54:17 55:22	54:16	high 60:16	impact 65:19
fear 25:3,7 82:9	fora 65:13	fully 81:20	68:5 73:24	handling 58:10	highest 38:25	94:18
86:9,12	force 4:17 12:5	function 41:12	77:15 79:24	hands 28:16	60:15 90:16	imparted 6:1
February 66:7	16:8,20 24:18	funnelled 81:14	80:12 82:25	50:14	highlight 12:1	75:1
83:9	25:6 26:14	further 13:25 24:8 32:21	96:15 99:8,17	happen 43:1	30:8 31:4	implicit 46:2
federation 27:14	27:8 28:6,13	86:22 97:7	going 5:16 6:17	55:14 96:13	highlighted 16:7	importance
81:1 98:24 feedback 34:3	30:12,23,24 33:9 34:6	00.22 91.1	6:20 11:7 20:13,23 21:6	happened 18:3	hinder 32:8 77:20	71:20
feel 8:10 20:2	36:20 54:25	G	20:15,25 21:6	77:8,10 78:6	hindering 73:1	important 8:12
25:5 29:18	55:2,14 60:7	gained 77:1	27:21 33:2	hard 12:9 harmed 46:6	hit 54:23	14:18 17:1 30:1 62:15
48:24 67:16	61:2 95:17	90:21	38:13 41:23	harmed 40:0	HMI 15:11 26:2	69:4 79:19
69:15 79:14	forces 2:19,21	gap 24:23 65:4	43:12 44:11	harmonisation	26:5	82:7 83:23
80:14 81:16,21	15:12 25:21	gatekeeper	46:7 49:13,20	59:4	HMIC 15:3 25:3	90:20 94:4
88:9,11,13	26:11 34:2	41:11	49:23 53:3,6	harness 40:15	56:5 91:22	impossible 88:7
felt 40:21 57:18	36:6,16,24	gather 17:4	55:1 57:11	57:6	92:7	impressed 4:16
67:20,25 73:13	37:4,8 46:22	32:22 38:8	62:19 69:22	Harrison 31:9	hoc 6:21 7:11	impression 29:4
81:2 82:16	54:22,23 55:5	general 4:18	72:8 75:10,21	32:3 70:16	hold 14:23 36:5	60:11 88:8
85:23 87:6	56:13 57:5	9:20 23:17	77:3,13 78:2	Harrison's 70:21	hole 14:12,22	improper 24:1
fewer 58:17	63:13 64:5,25	25:18 32:5	87:12 88:22	hat 58:4	61:17	improve 46:22
91:19	65:1	54:2,7 66:23	92:25 93:11	head 8:16,21 9:1	home 66:11	63:7
Filkin 26:1 56:19	forcibly 64:2	69:7 80:20	96:10,12 97:19	9:3 34:21	88:23	improved 15:18
56:25 57:16	forewarned	generalisation	99:8	35:11 38:14	homework 42:2	improvement
60:3	85:20	5:2,6	good 3:19,20 7:2	51:6 56:8	honestly 77:9	25:2
final 9:6 27:24	forge 60:9 72:11	generalise 49:20	12:1,9 13:5	66:24 73:4	honesty 67:22	inability 68:20
35:8	formal 2:6 19:25	generalised	14:25 29:23	headline 15:13	hope 30:12 43:24	inaccurate 23:6
find 5:14 7:25	20:7 35:3 66:8	37:10	30:9 49:23	94:2	44:25 47:1	77:7
23:15 31:18,25	75:19 83:10	generally 3:18	50:10 68:14	headlines 14:21	49:2,11 55:15	inappropriate
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

26 March 2012

	<u> </u>		l	l		
71:1	94:12,13,17,17	80:24	36:21,22 37:23	3:25 4:2,5,9	90:3 91:8,9	3:21,25 4:2,5,9
incident 5:14,18	94:19,21 95:2	interests 11:18	37:24 41:21	8:4,6,24 9:2,4	98:11,25	8:4,6,24 9:2,4
11:4 16:2,25	95:4,19 96:3	interface 21:9	42:12 44:18	11:6,11,13	knowing 81:17	11:6,11,13
84:18,24	96:14 97:14	interim 59:22	50:1 60:2 63:3	16:24 17:3	88:25	16:24 17:3
incidents 65:8	98:13,14 99:11	63:24	64:16,25 65:1	20:10,17,22	knowledge 55:24	20:10,17,22
68:21 84:21	99:16,23 100:4	internal 60:5	68:9 98:6,12	21:11 22:4,12	56:2 58:24	21:11 22:4,12
include 19:20	informed 89:23	62:18	98:20	25:21 26:10	61:8	25:21 26:10
30:16 36:10	informing 16:1	international	items 41:23	28:19 32:19	knowledgeable	28:19 32:19
included 30:18	infrequently	36:25 58:1		33:2,5,15	40:21	33:2,5,15
53:25	48:6	interview 21:10	J	34:13 44:7	known 17:8	34:13 44:7
includes 65:16	inhibit 79:15	32:24 43:1	Jacqui 54:12	45:6 51:4,7	34:24 49:25	45:6 51:4,7
increasing 89:24	80:15	interviewed	January 2:5	52:24 53:2,5	73:20	52:24 53:2,10
independent	inhibited 67:20	31:23	17:19 67:4	53:10,17 54:20	knows 9:17	53:17 54:20
57:13	initial 32:11	interviews 6:6	83:15	63:22 64:20	44:11 93:11	63:22 64:20
independently	83:13	9:15	jargon 38:12	65:15,22 66:1	95:2,4	65:15,22 66:1
56:10	initially 65:8	introduce 19:23	Jay 1:23,25 2:1	66:19 67:10,16		66:19 67:10,16
indicated 27:16	initiative 71:12	introduced 19:7	4:10 9:5 11:17	68:3 77:19,25	<u>L</u>	68:3 77:19,25
individual 11:20	71:24,24	63:23	17:17 22:14	78:6,11,19	laid 54:15	78:6,11,19
13:6 54:21	inmates 84:24	inventing 25:22	29:2 32:18	80:1,23 82:1	large 84:25	80:1,23 82:1
56:7 61:18 75:10 85:19	input 26:11	investigate 32:21 37:2 92:10	34:14,16,17	82:20 83:16,19	85:14 90:2	82:20 83:16,19 85:4 88:25
75:10 85:19 individually 59:3	inquiries 51:25 69:5 80:21		45:23 51:24	85:4 88:25 90:15 91:24	late 73:13	85:4 88:25 90:15 91:24
individually 59:3	69:5 80:21 81:18	investigating 45:20	53:16,21 55:17	90:15 91:24 92:4,20,24	latest 43:19	90:15 91:24 92:4,20,24
21:1 23:24	inquiry 1:13 2:7	investigation 3:5	63:20 65:25	94:2,7 95:1,22	55:12 launch 71:23	94:2,7 95:1,22
60:13 85:13	3:11 22:8 26:2	3:9 4:1 10:2	66:3,4,20 68:12 69:17	96:6,8 97:2,11	launch /1:23	96:6,8 97:2,11
inevitably 82:2	31:14 33:9	11:8,11 32:8	78:20 82:19	97:13 98:3,16	Lauren 89:14	97:13 98:3,16
97:4	35:4 53:25	54:5 73:1	83:3,5,6,17	98:23 99:3,7	lays 23:11	98:23 99:3,7
influence 15:15	54:11 56:24	77:21 78:3,9	84:3 86:1 89:5	99:24 100:6	lead 8:17 14:16	99:24 100:6
24:1 59:11	59:14 60:19	87:2 96:4,10	90:22 91:24	justifiable 16:16	14:20	liaison 7:24 16:8
inform 19:4 39:7	66:8 75:17,19	investigations	92:2,4,6 94:10	48:17	leading 54:11	21:5 90:8
60:5	79:3 80:9	26:23 36:22,23	94:23	justification 11:8	leak 3:10,23	lie 32:7
informal 20:7	83:10 84:1	36:25 40:25	jeopardised	justified 23:23	27:23 62:12	life 79:20 99:20
information 3:23	92:25 96:14,15	69:5	27:23		75:16,19	100:1
4:21,22 5:11	Inquiry's 92:12	invitation 72:4	job 35:6 62:7	K	leaked 90:25	lifter 6:9
5:15,18,22,25	insight 13:13	invite 1:6 84:11	70:14 71:11	keen 3:15 33:6	leaking 27:18	light 1:12 12:5
6:13 9:10,14						
0.01.10.1.6.6	insofar 28:11	invited 27:15	77:2 80:3 94:3	43:23 50:3	leaks 3:5 26:21	18:10 47:20
9:21 10:1,6,6	inspection 15:10	inviting 71:25	100:3	63:7	26:24 27:1	69:11 97:15
10:13,20 11:1	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6	100:3 join 49:5	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11 42:8	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1 69:2 76:4	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13 78:14 80:15,18 81:13,23 84:15 84:19 85:1,8	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25 90:14 91:2,7	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7 89:24 99:20	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8 49:10,11 63:9	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19 46:4,25 49:16
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13 78:14 80:15,18 81:13,23 84:15 84:19 85:1,8 85:17,24 86:16	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25 90:14 91:2,7 91:13,18 interested 49:21 49:24 64:23	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7 89:24 99:20 issued 59:23 64:18 issues 5:3 6:23	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11 42:8 judicial 97:8	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8 49:10,11 63:9 71:22 72:14	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1 69:2 76:4 86:12 90:16	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19 46:4,25 49:16 50:8,17,20 56:13 64:4,5 64:12 65:7
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13 78:14 80:15,18 81:13,23 84:15 84:19 85:1,8 85:17,24 86:16 90:25 91:4,5	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25 90:14 91:2,7 91:13,18 interested 49:21 49:24 64:23 interesting 54:22	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7 89:24 99:20 issued 59:23 64:18 issues 5:3 6:23 8:19 14:6,14	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11 42:8 judicial 97:8 July 2:25 35:13 35:14 June 2:13 4:13	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8 49:10,11 63:9 71:22 72:14 74:23 78:8 79:13,22,23 80:4,10,12,16	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1 69:2 76:4 86:12 90:16 93:21 levels 39:19 40:18	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19 46:4,25 49:16 50:8,17,20 56:13 64:4,5 64:12 65:7 80:11 85:21
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13 78:14 80:15,18 81:13,23 84:15 84:19 85:1,8 85:17,24 86:16	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25 90:14 91:2,7 91:13,18 interested 49:21 49:24 64:23	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7 89:24 99:20 issued 59:23 64:18 issues 5:3 6:23	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11 42:8 judicial 97:8 July 2:25 35:13 35:14	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8 49:10,11 63:9 71:22 72:14 74:23 78:8 79:13,22,23	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1 69:2 76:4 86:12 90:16 93:21 levels 39:19	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19 46:4,25 49:16 50:8,17,20 56:13 64:4,5 64:12 65:7
10:13,20 11:1 13:2 15:22 16:22 17:3 19:1,17,20 20:2 22:2 23:1 23:2,5 27:18 27:23 28:4 30:15,25 31:18 31:25 32:2,13 32:13 33:25 41:13,15,17,18 42:4,6,7 43:19 43:21 44:16,21 44:24,25 46:16 46:19 47:2,15 54:10 55:12,13 58:9 61:16,17 61:18,21,22 62:12 68:21 69:9 73:11,13 73:23 74:10,13 74:23,25 75:12 76:1,13 77:13 78:14 80:15,18 81:13,23 84:15 84:19 85:1,8 85:17,24 86:16 90:25 91:4,5	inspection 15:10 inspector 60:1 inspectors 16:9 16:20 instance 17:15 39:20 71:17 73:9 75:11 instances 78:7 Institute 58:20 instruction 79:9 integrity 80:13 intend 1:16 intended 22:11 intending 60:18 96:18 intense 33:11 intentionally 9:25 interact 39:19 interest 10:13,22 11:2 23:23 32:6 41:24 54:24 61:25 75:13 81:25 90:14 91:2,7 91:13,18 interested 49:21 49:24 64:23 interesting 54:22	inviting 71:25 involve 37:9 68:6 involved 3:11 4:11 16:12 33:23 36:12 47:10,14 60:14 68:1 69:17,19 74:17 77:16 80:24 84:1 involving 3:5 Ipswich 4:12 31:10 53:23 66:25 67:3 69:20 86:18 87:11 88:17 89:1 Ipswich/Arsenal 83:17 issue 5:2 13:6,19 14:18 16:14 17:17 26:6,21 30:16 39:12 40:22 47:13 52:4 79:1 85:7 89:24 99:20 issued 59:23 64:18 issues 5:3 6:23 8:19 14:6,14	100:3 join 49:5 joined 2:10,11 2:13 joining 3:9 journalism 35:18 66:22 84:4 journalist 3:10 19:3 32:7 39:11 42:18 48:12 52:14 62:14,16 76:14 95:18 journalists 42:1 46:4 48:11 50:5 61:7 79:2 92:8 93:5 journals 23:5 judge 78:25 judgment 74:24 75:11 85:9 91:17 99:21 judgments 25:11 42:8 judicial 97:8 July 2:25 35:13 35:14 June 2:13 4:13	63:7 keep 30:19 86:11 87:7,14 97:7 97:21 keeping 42:15 45:20,21 85:8 86:8 Kent 2:10 kept 54:9 key 9:13 61:17 kicking 3:15 killed 89:14 kind 63:10 87:10 98:12 Kindly 2:4 knew 17:12 92:5 knocking 70:1 knock-on 27:19 know 4:3,3 5:19 20:23 21:4 28:9 29:24 34:7 35:25 38:23 42:23,24 44:24 46:8,8 49:10,11 63:9 71:22 72:14 74:23 78:8 79:13,22,23 80:4,10,12,16	26:24 27:1 28:11,13 51:24 52:2 74:15 learned 54:7 96:16 learning 55:8,10 55:11,14 learnt 33:17 54:3 left 92:1 legal 71:6 legitimate 92:18 93:19 legitimately 11:14 lend 27:17 lengthy 51:17 lessons 54:2,7 letter 55:22 70:22 71:3,4 81:3 87:19 99:1 level 14:11 18:4 39:1,13 40:3 46:25 60:1 69:2 76:4 86:12 90:16 93:21 levels 39:19 40:18	69:11 97:15 limited 7:16 32:14 67:16,20 limits 45:9 line 29:16 30:20 38:19,24 51:23 95:8 lines 21:17 32:15 41:3 42:2 43:18 49:10 link 21:22 link-up 58:20 listed 26:25 little 74:20 82:5 live 69:5 96:21 living 34:11 local 3:6,18 5:11 6:6,12,15,17 7:2,14 12:20 12:21 14:23 15:9,15 16:13 18:7 21:4 22:18 33:16 37:3,5 44:19 46:4,25 49:16 50:8,17,20 56:13 64:4,5 64:12 65:7 80:11 85:21

26 March 2012

						Page 106
00.7.7	l	07.00	1 05.10	51 22 50 2		40.2.50.15
98:5,5	lying 32:9	97:22	35:13	51:23 58:3	62:5,7 63:1	49:2 59:15
localised 39:24		media 3:6,19	merger 29:6	moved 48:14	82:13	62:18 63:3
locally 34:3 84:25		4:17,20 5:4,19 5:19 7:8,10,19	merits 91:7	66:21 78:9 moving 30:3	negatively 3:21 negotiations	66:14 77:4 86:7 90:2,6
locals 49:25	maintain 18:12 24:20	7:21,24 8:8	message 27:8,17 27:24 42:14	35:6	7:23	93:24
location 24:3	maintained	9:12,14,21	43:9 57:8,12	MPS 60:12	neighbourhood	numbers 36:12
locations 18:10	24:16,19	10:1,14,21	88:3 92:6	murders 4:11	39:21 97:18,19	60:21 66:11,14
locking 88:23	major 15:14 65:8	11:23,25 12:14	messenger 13:3	53:22	nervous 79:10	66:15
log 19:19	69:5	13:9,10,13,14	Met 37:2,5,7,13	mutually 92:18	never 62:11,23	******
logged 18:19	majority 6:5	13:16 14:7,23	37:14,19 38:2		67:20,25 77:9	0
logging 19:6,16	18:8 61:12	15:20 16:7,13	57:19 64:3	N	82:16	objecting 3:22
79:2,9	66:15	16:14,21 17:24	method 18:25	name 2:1 12:14	new 3:16 18:15	objective 29:21
London-based	making 4:24	18:7,9,17,19	methods 37:24	13:4 34:17	65:9 79:9	57:13
36:20	14:2 28:4,8	20:8,13 21:4,7	Metropolitan	38:8 47:9,13	news 12:8 31:19	objectives 22:23
long 62:12,20	37:9 45:1	21:8 22:16,18	36:11,14,18	66:4 83:6,7	32:1 39:7	22:24
look 21:19 38:18	46:19 74:11	23:12,19 28:7	56:20 58:1	89:10,17,22	41:25 42:5	obligations 60:8
42:12 44:13	89:8	30:25 33:11,17	Met's 37:3	90:5,11,11,19	43:11 45:1	observation 4:25
75:21 82:11	man 89:14	33:25 34:12	midday 17:8	91:10	49:25 50:10	5:1 56:4,10
89:1 91:8	manage 38:10	35:19 37:3,4,5	middle 7:9 19:15	nanosecond	58:1 61:3	observed 37:16
looked 14:11 25:4	45:21 61:15	39:7,19 40:1,2	20:2 78:2	96:11	66:24 69:19,23	70:9
looking 10:11,16	62:24	40:9,22 41:4,8 41:14,15,22,24	mid-evening 89:15	narrative 9:7	71:14,15,22 81:10 93:7	obtain 47:2
25:13,18 50:11	management 19:1 38:11	43:24 44:2,4	mind 78:14	narrower 81:14 91:7	newspaper 31:22	obtained 75:12 obvious 23:6
82:9 96:17,20	81:11 98:20	45:13 46:10,13	mine 70:11 90:16	91:7 national 7:8,10	50:23 55:23	33:23 72:25
looks 12:24 46:2	managing 44:15	46:15,24 47:1	minimal 40:24	7:14,16,19 8:6	86:25,25 90:5	obviously 4:15
97:14	44:16,23 45:7	48:20,24,25	minute 91:24	8:8,18 26:6,19	96:12 99:11	10:12 21:15
LORD 1:3 3:21	45:8,12,23,24	49:3,8,15,16	misconduct 10:4	33:17 36:20	newspapers	23:2 25:10
3:25 4:2,5,9	61:9	49:21 50:1,8	misguided 28:19	37:4,11 44:20	55:21 64:6	31:16 34:5
8:4,6,24 9:2,4	man's 89:22	50:11,15,17	misguidedly	46:25 49:15,18	67:13 87:6	54:16 61:2
11:6,11,13	March 1:1,8	51:20 52:25	28:24	49:21 50:11,15	newsworthy	68:25 72:7,16
16:24 17:3	35:2	54:5,15 57:4,6	misleading 32:14	50:23 51:20	37:23 41:23	74:4 75:7,24
20:10,17,22	marked 13:8	57:9,18 60:9	86:22	54:20 55:8,20	night 88:18 89:1	85:22 86:8
21:11 22:4,12	23:14	61:9 62:14,25	misunderstood	57:18 64:1,3,8	89:2 90:9	87:5,8 88:7,20
25:21 26:10	massive 22:1	65:5,7,11,11	66:10	64:12 65:2,17	Nods 51:6 56:8	93:14 98:2
28:19 32:19	44:23 48:20	67:24 85:24	mixture 30:11	67:13 70:6	73:4	occasion 23:24
33:2,5,15	match 17:20	86:21 87:20	Mm 80:7 94:6	86:17 87:1,3,4	Norfolk 2:25	48:4,4 77:9
34:13 44:7	83:14	88:15 89:16,25	99:6 Mm-hm 57:25	87:23 88:6,14	18:22 19:11 29:7 34:21	occasional 47:19
45:6 51:4,7 52:24 53:2,10	matter 3:2 12:22	90:14 92:15 95:11 98:9	mobile 40:7	nationally 8:23	35:11,15 38:15	47:19
53:17 54:20	23:1 24:22 28:2 67:19	mediated 57:9	66:11,14	26:9,13 56:6 56:21 59:5	59:24 65:5	occasionally 29:24 52:18
63:22 64:20	79:5 84:23	media's 86:10	model 65:9	71:2	normal 89:4	76:12
65:15,22 66:1	85:2 98:11	meet 6:20 18:8	modern-day	nationals 87:16	normally 9:3,16	occasions 5:13
66:19 67:10,16	99:10	meeting 20:4	94:5	88:16	12:22 13:6	11:2 14:25
68:3 77:19,25	matters 14:13	59:21	module 1:7,12	naturally 15:12	32:9 34:1 89:1	16:4 49:3
78:6,11,19	17:16 26:7	member 9:16,22	1:17	93:10	Northumbria	52:12 71:22
80:1,23 82:1	69:7 79:19	43:7,11,24	modules 1:10,21	nature 54:5 62:2	55:4	73:9,18,21
82:20 83:16,19	80:20 86:15	67:5	moment 53:16	73:11 74:25	note 3:16	74:6 77:10
85:4 88:25	maximum 68:22	members 27:20	65:17 92:21	navigate 26:15	noted 70:10	84:16 90:22
90:15 91:24	82:24	36:8,9,10	93:15 96:18	necessarily	notice 91:25	91:1
92:4,20,24	mean 11:8 37:9	46:10 48:20	Monday 1:1	32:12 46:17	noticeable 81:13	occupied 51:25
94:2,7 95:1,22	48:11 50:5	50:22 58:23,23	88:18 89:1,2	57:9 72:21	noticed 79:7	occurred 4:13
96:6,8 97:2,11	53:2 61:11	60:5,24 85:19	money 28:15	80:16 98:18	notification	42:10 44:1
97:13 98:3,16 98:23 99:3,7	67:21 69:12	membership 58:6,15,22	30:21 monitored 24:14	need 8:11 22:8	16:15 notify 21:21	October 19:13
99:24 100:6	71:16 73:5 75:6,25 81:5	memberships	monitoring	25:5,10 28:8 29:25 33:24	notwithstanding	23:10 offence 33:12
Lordship 70:19	81:24 86:4	36:13	18:17 87:1	40:15 42:10	9:25 10:22	offences 16:19
lot 7:1 22:24	90:1 100:3	mental 84:25	months 21:16	51:22 57:5	14:2	offer 18:5 24:4
26:6 44:18	meaning 80:22	mention 87:19	59:12,22 92:25	60:4 65:10,13	November 35:23	59:1
45:12,15 48:10	means 5:25 18:8	mentioned 42:14	mooted 69:13	68:1,5 70:1	55:10	offered 24:6 25:7
58:5,23	46:21 49:1	44:18 54:8	morning 17:8	71:5 91:15	November/Dec	72:25
lots 54:19	63:8	63:23 81:5	22:13 74:15	95:9	31:11	offers 23:25
lower 14:22 69:1	measure 15:6,18	86:15	84:14 85:12,13	needed 4:7 67:25	nowadays 81:21	office 7:20 13:11
low-level 48:16	measured 15:6	mere 22:7	85:14,19	needs 13:15 26:4	number 8:14 9:7	17:6 24:16
lunch 47:20	mechanism	merely 19:19	motive 28:11	40:1 57:3 96:1	21:12 36:7,13	39:8,10 41:11
lunchtime 85:25	20:23	20:23	move 22:15	negative 14:21	36:24 37:6,14	41:14 53:14
92:2	mechanisms	merged 2:25	26:21 46:23	15:12 41:19	38:7 43:2 48:4	75:9 77:16
	<u> </u>	l 	<u> </u>	l 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	_	_	_	_	_	
89:20 96:16	operation 4:10	over-arching	92:6	72:9	12:15 13:9,14	30:7 58:21
officer 3:10	34:12 53:22	56:12,15	passes 10:1	PHQ 13:11	14:7 15:5,8	62:5 63:1
	54:15 86:18	owing 15:20		_		
11:20 12:20,21		owing 15:20	passing 28:16	phrase 52:16,17	18:9 19:3,4	71:14,15,22
12:23 14:15	operational	P	61:18	pick 48:5	20:20 21:12,20	positively 16:5
19:3,4 20:11	20:14 46:3		pasted 21:24	picked 31:22	22:18 24:22	63:17 77:20
20:20 21:8,9	operations 13:9	page 3:4 7:8,9,25	patch 33:13	57:16	27:13 30:12,19	possibility 25:24
31:10 42:19,20	13:15 14:7	9:6,7,13 11:23	patronising	picking 48:3	31:20,24 32:24	85:20
42:22 43:6,6	16:8,20 20:19	12:12 13:8	56:23	picture 61:24	34:24 36:3,5,7	possible 12:5
43:10,14,20,23	37:14 58:12	14:10 15:19	pause 91:24	62:13 63:12	36:11,14,18	24:1 28:11
44:9 46:12,18	opinion 15:16	16:3 17:17	pausing 13:18	87:22 88:17	39:14 42:18	30:20 49:15
47:6 54:12	84:16 92:15	19:9,15 22:15	pay 7:18,22	piece 38:9	43:10,14,20	53:15 57:14
73:22 77:16	opportunities	23:10,16 24:9	peak 4:14	piste 43:15 46:5	48:21 56:20	85:6,18 93:4
89:9 90:8,10	57:7 58:16,17	24:24 25:3	pen 64:22	46:7	58:1,12,15,17	possibly 44:25
94:20 96:8	opportunity 5:21	26:22,25 27:10	people 2:22 15:8	pithily 24:9	58:18 66:14	47:24 64:11
officers 2:20,23	58:19 62:1,13	27:24 29:2,3	16:17 17:7,12	pixelate 72:22	67:13,14,24	68:8 76:2 80:7
4:19 5:13,17	73:25	30:4 43:8	21:2,3 28:8,23	place 20:3 36:21	68:13 72:3,8	82:5 88:16
8:13 12:9,13	oppos 22:13	46:23 55:18	30:2 33:23	88:1 100:7	72:16 73:3,24	post 3:8 35:8
13:17 20:19	opposed 33:10	57:22 60:12	37:1,1 39:22	placed 57:17	74:13 75:17,18	potential 3:10
21:4,5,12,21	41:25 94:12,17	84:9 87:23	40:5 43:2 45:7	places 69:24	76:25 77:5,20	42:11 64:15
22:5,9 24:10	opposite 48:4	89:5 91:22	45:20 49:9	82:23	77:21 79:2	potentially 45:13
24:16,21 28:2	60:21	pages 6:4,5 39:5	57:20 62:11	plan 15:14 29:24	80:3,21 82:8	45:14 50:19
28:14 38:25	order 8:8 18:10	paper 67:3 70:24	75:25 76:5,20	plank 15:14	84:10,15 85:5	51:14 85:15
39:6,10,14,18	38:25 39:25	71:1 89:22	76:22 80:13,19	planned 13:15	85:16,23 86:2	practical 13:17
40:1,8,15,20	41:8 52:14	90:12 96:23	81:16,18 82:11	planning 58:12	86:15 89:9,20	21:23 24:5
43:17 45:13,18	63:9 74:9	papers 54:1	84:20 86:12	play 1:7 40:11	90:3,21 92:8	practice 12:2
46:3 47:3	ordinarily 43:10	65:17 66:25	88:20 89:24	62:15	92:13 93:3,5	14:6 37:21
48:24 49:3,7	organ 49:17	73:7	90:3,20 92:16	please 1:23 2:1	93:16,18 94:15	44:14 71:10
52:8 58:18	organisation	paragraph 3:3	93:25 94:8	2:18 3:3,7	94:20 95:5,9	72:20
60:6 66:12,14	10:3,7,12,17	4:18 11:23	peoples 40:20	11:23 14:10	95:10,14,17	practitioners
67:14 71:12	10:19,24 11:3		perception 15:21	15:3 16:11	96:4,8 97:15	59:10
72:12,12 76:25	27:20,25 40:17	18:14 22:15	57:20,20,23	22:14 30:15	97:16 98:10	pragmatic 30:11
79:10,16,17,20	44:17 45:2	27:24 68:12,18	88:22,24 98:4	34:14,17 35:21	99:8,17	pragmatic 30.11 praise 54:15
80:3,12 82:8	58:8,8 60:22	71:9 84:8 89:5	perfectly 1:10	36:2 43:8	policemen 91:20	praise 34.13 precautions
	61:10 63:4	91:21	11:14 16:16	46:23 49:19	97:18 98:5	88:21
82:14,17 90:7		parameters				
92:8,13 93:5	64:5,10 68:1	56:13	period 15:17 76:25	61:4 65:25	police's 68:20	precipitated 87:22
93:17,18 94:15	81:15,20,21	parcel 48:18		66:4 72:5 79:4	91:15	
95:9 97:16	82:3 95:19	parochial 80:11	permit 64:6 97:7	83:3,6 84:11	policies 24:25	precise 77:4
99:1,5	98:8,13	part 20:10,12	perpetrators	96:23	26:16	prefer 43:5
offices 71:11	organisational	22:5 37:15	40:13	pleased 27:17	policing 14:13	52:10 77:22
official 63:3 75:8	58:11 69:8	44:13 45:24	person 9:16 43:4	pledge 15:3	15:3 29:22	prejudice 35:9
off-the-record	81:17 98:10	48:18 51:9	91:10	pm 1:18 100:10	39:15 69:7	prejudiced 96:4
52:6 54:18	organisationally	57:10 61:24	personal 6:15	point 5:5,7 12:11	80:20 91:17	premise 22:18
72:24	59:2	63:11 70:19	56:2 58:14	13:7,22,23,25	94:5	premised 93:2
Oh 9:2,4 21:12	organisations	71:11 73:2	66:11 70:17	15:19 17:9,10	policy 9:5,8 10:9	premises 18:9,9
okay 32:18 34:13	30:22 36:7	78:20 80:3	79:6,15,25	18:14 19:16,22	10:16 12:22	prepared 13:3
36:3 63:20	organs 86:25	81:5 87:9	92:15	20:3 22:25	14:15,18 19:19	62:3
79:1 90:10	ought 74:14	91:15 92:13	personally 52:16	25:5 26:3,5	42:19 47:14	presence 13:16
94:25	outcome 26:20	93:8 94:3,4	57:5 62:11	28:8 31:15	59:11	present 99:22
Olympics 58:13	outlined 27:16	participant 1:7	personnel 22:17	39:17 41:6	poor 15:3,11	presentation
once 76:5 93:8	outset 72:11	1:12	persons 72:22	42:20 44:7,12	population 2:22	52:25
onerous 22:3	outside 57:4	participants 1:9	perspective 5:24	49:14 51:5	port 21:6	presently 1:9
ones 80:13	75:12	particular 16:7	7:15 10:11,17	55:17 57:22	portfolio 7:17	press 6:11,12,14
one-day 55:10	outstripped 34:1	23:19 33:12	12:24 16:21	60:20 62:2	8:18,25	6:15,17 7:14
one-off 88:1	overall 20:4	42:25 43:22	22:22 23:3	64:2,10 65:15	portray 97:15	7:16,20 8:7
ongoing 7:9	overbearing	46:12 51:1	52:1 60:5 64:7	70:4 71:7	portrayed 62:21	13:11 17:1,6
96:15	43:23	68:1 69:2,15	64:8 72:7,16	74:22 76:7	position 2:15	22:6 29:5
online 1:5	overcaution 5:8	70:6 71:19	78:23 80:25	78:9 84:12	6:19 20:12	37:11,11 39:8
onus 22:1	overlooked	74:16 91:3	81:7 91:13	85:7 89:8 90:9	31:8 32:25	39:10 41:11
open 9:8 44:9	83:13	particularly	philosophy 13:4	96:24,25 98:12	33:4 36:15	43:12 44:20
64:1 93:4	overly 7:3 56:3	50:18 58:6,25	18:3 59:9	99:14	75:23 78:13	49:16,18 53:3
openness 20:22	79:7	68:24	95:14,14	pointed 51:10	positions 38:20	62:3 65:2,20
82:24 95:10	overriding 29:21	partly 43:16	phoned 5:14	points 9:7 11:22	79:17,18,21	66:12 67:18
operate 64:1	oversee 70:3	parts 46:15	phones 40:7	14:1 30:8,11	positive 3:16 9:8	69:22,25 70:6
67:21 69:1	oversight 24:20	party 29:16	photographer	31:7 49:5 58:4	11:23 12:1,4	70:6 71:11,12
operating 26:19	24:21 25:15	pass 5:18	72:8	police 2:9,19	13:12,19 14:3	75:9 77:15,16
40:17 62:19	36:15	passed 61:16,22	photographers	5:13 12:3,13	15:16 29:17	79:16,23,24
]] -		<u> </u>		
L						

						Page 108
00.4.02.2	25 12 75 14	65 10 60 00	61.5.62.22	62 15 70 2	62.5	22 12 50 14
80:4 82:2	25:12 75:14	65:12 68:22	61:5 63:22	62:15 78:3	63:5	32:13 59:14
86:17 87:1,3,4	97:8	73:14 74:10	66:10 73:8	79:5 84:17	reflected 50:8,9	relies 75:24
88:6,14 89:9	processes 27:22	75:13 77:13	75:7 76:7	86:4 90:16	refreshments	reluctance 22:4
90:6,10 93:3	professional 7:3	78:15 80:19	80:17 83:11	reason 11:13	18:10 48:17	68:20 93:9
93:22,25 94:4	7:6 18:6 23:9	81:4,16,24,25	90:17	12:17 20:14	regard 69:16	reluctant 93:19
94:16 96:16	24:19 28:2,6	83:25 84:18,23	questioned 75:18	30:18 38:23,24	72:15	95:13
pressure 30:19	80:25	85:1,3,17,20	75:20	43:16 44:1	regarding 14:6	remain 78:24
pressures 29:12	profile 71:19	91:2,7,13,18	questioning	46:25 51:8	regardless 64:12	remember 77:9
60:25	prohibitive 20:1	95:11 98:14	28:24	67:17 71:18	regards 70:13	84:21 87:17,18
presumably 8:8	prominence	99:23	questions 1:25	80:4	94:16	88:7 89:2
28:19 42:21	83:25 90:13	publication 27:7	34:16 39:4	reasonable 9:14	regional 36:16	reminded 27:25
52:2,7 67:5	prominent 89:19	52:22,23,25	44:3 53:21	78:19	37:5,8,11	reminding 87:20
74:24 75:10	promote 12:9	74:8 88:11	54:2 61:4	reasonably 59:8	44:19 49:16	remit 37:2
previous 15:5	29:23	publicity 12:2	63:21 66:3	reasons 9:11	50:1 61:1 64:6	remote 12:25
20:12 29:14	promoted 25:15	13:12,19 14:3	83:5 94:23	33:8 37:6	64:12 65:7,18	renewed 59:16
34:8 46:2 63:7	promotion 21:14	72:17	quicker 84:19	40:14 53:6	65:20 67:6,18	63:24
65:5 84:14	prompt 44:3	public/police	quickly 68:21	72:25 74:4	regions 59:8	reopened 96:15
previously 29:12	prompted 27:7	98:18	85:11,18	84:17 92:11	register 17:21	rephrase 10:15
38:1 47:4 54:9	45:3	publish 75:14	quiet 88:18 89:3	93:20	24:21 25:14,14	reply 77:18
63:6	proper 11:11	78:5 89:10,22	97:8	recall 47:10	94:22	report 5:13,20
pre-arrest 70:20	27:21 61:22	90:5,10,19	quite 4:3 13:22	51:16 77:5	registers 24:13	8:21 25:3,7,18
pre-meeting	93:2	96:18	24:9 25:10	78:7,18 87:21	24:15	26:1 28:1
59:19	properly 25:15	published 1:5	33:22 45:24	receipt 47:22	regular 6:12,13	38:20 39:2
primarily 7:19	51:11 62:17	19:10 77:15 78:10.16	47:24 51:17 53:3 63:9	74:13 76:12 receive 1:15	regularly 5:12	56:5,19 57:1
36:6 38:16 45:19	proposals 28:15	, .			regulation 27:6	60:3 74:9 91:23 92:7
	propriety 82:16	publishing 89:17 99:14	79:19 90:2,2	received 1:16 18:5 39:2 87:5	reinvent 54:22	93:2
principle 9:19 14:18 23:22	prosecuting 97:3		95:7,7 96:6 98:16		reinvestigation 97:5	
	prosecution 97:7	punch 14:12,22		reciprocated 24:6	77:5 related 12:22	reportable 53:11
principles 23:17 24:8 38:17	protect 17:14 81:19,19	purchase 48:15 purpose 20:4	quoted 16:15 69:11	recognise 45:6	relates 7:17 27:5	reportage 100:1 reporter 66:22
print 78:8	protecting 45:20	purposes 19:12	quotes 6:13	92:21 95:9	53:22 69:3,5	66:23,24 67:1
printing 76:22	76:3	20:6 55:8	quotes 0.13	recognised 13:16	relating 12:19	67:23 76:16
prior 3:9 4:1	protective 4:21	56:15	R	80:2	15:8 68:2	90:24
74:7	protract 51:14	put 5:24 12:4	radio 15:15,15	recognition 7:18	73:10,12 80:19	reporters 21:12
prisoners 73:14	prove 27:2	13:4 16:22	radio 15:15,15 radios 6:6	recollection	relation 1:3,17	32:22 59:19
privacy 13:24	proven 28:9	17:24 23:4	raft 57:14	88:21	12:22 29:5	64:1,3,5 67:5
private 14:4	provide 1:20	32:5 43:22	raid 72:19	recommendati	38:11 39:9	67:12 69:21,24
48:19	4:22 17:3 21:1	44:2,21 46:9	raids 72:4	92:7,16 93:23	56:19 94:11	70:3 82:6
privy 74:4	26:11 29:3	47:16 50:14	raise 13:8	record 52:10,16	relations 3:18	reporting 55:20
proactive 41:4	32:12,14 62:6	57:3 62:4,5,25	raising 72:18	52:21 54:19	13:19 35:19	55:24 56:1
42:13 63:9	83:21	70:8 71:14,15	ramifications	recorded 17:21	58:21 86:3	69:17 70:7,17
proactively 12:1	provided 34:19	74:9 78:15	91:19	18:19 53:12	98:18	70:18,21 71:1
39:7	53:25 54:10	79:21 82:1	ran 15:25	92:8	relationship 4:7	86:8,21,24
probably 7:6	66:6 83:8	85:17 87:10	range 97:18	recording 18:25	5:10 6:7,24 7:4	87:5,15,16
17:11 31:15	provides 21:10	89:6,24 94:21	rank 12:14	19:24	7:6,10 18:6,12	88:6,15 89:6
40:12 48:14	providing 21:25	96:23 99:11	ranks 2:11 82:8	records 3:12	50:3 62:14	reports 63:4,15
49:25 55:18	99:12	puts 61:21 97:13	rarely 51:11	21:19	68:13 83:22	64:17
61:19 67:15	provision 5:22	putting 16:17	reach 41:8 85:24	recurring 79:3	84:9 86:5 93:3	represent 36:4
74:14 89:3	6:13 22:25	17:13 29:16	reacted 3:21	red 17:1	relationships	65:12
problem 16:24	23:14	32:16 47:5	reaction 51:8	reduction 91:16	3:13 30:7	representative
26:22 46:14	public 8:9,11	50:12	77:25 85:10	redundancies	48:14 60:9	36:4 65:6
52:2 68:4,23	9:23 10:13,22		read 12:12 50:19	27:6 98:11	61:9 62:25	representatives
68:24 69:16	11:1,15,18	Q	61:3 81:3	Reece 89:14	72:11 82:22	16:12
71:2 95:15	12:3,23 13:2	qualified 37:12	readers 76:21	refer 3:4 10:19	93:22	represents 64:6
97:14 99:24	13:18 15:6,15	66:22	100:4	14:22,23 24:24	relatively 67:22	64:11
problematical	15:18 16:1,17	question 8:6 9:22	readily 4:22 5:15	29:6 78:20	relatives 89:23	reputation 45:24
57:21	16:23 17:13,14	11:17 15:7	24:10 34:5	87:24	release 8:20 9:20	46:6 76:10
problems 13:13	20:24 23:5,23	22:16 23:8	92:21	reference 5:7	11:1 15:21	77:1 81:10,15
procedural	32:6 35:15,19	24:24 26:25	real 85:7 87:18	25:9	68:20 69:9	request 16:16
17:15	39:8,22 40:12	28:15 29:2	realistic 94:22	referred 11:3	84:15 86:16	31:1
procedure 7:24	41:8 42:15	30:3 32:5	reality 57:21	16:14 20:3	released 9:11	requests 9:14
14:11	43:5 44:17	41:10,10 43:8	really 8:13 10:5	26:18 74:16	95:20	13:11 30:24
procedures	45:19 50:18,22	44:10 45:23	11:6 12:11	referring 5:10	releases 6:14	31:2 45:3
24:25 34:7	54:23 57:8 58:21 61:10 25	46:23 47:19	22:18 23:17	25:11 86:24	releasing 47:13 94:20	require 31:3
proceeded 1:13 process 21:22	58:21 61:10,25 63:8,9 65:11	48:22 49:13	27:10 30:8	refine 41:22 reflect 62:17	94:20 relevant 23:14	required 19:2 20:5 60:2
process 21:22	05.0,7 05.11	54:3 60:12	39:8 51:4 54:4	1 CHECT 02.1/	1 CICVAIII 23:14	20.3 00.2
	ı	1	1	ı	ı	ı

						Page 109
	İ		İ	İ	İ	Ī
requirements	13:22 30:24	24:17 31:22	serve 39:23	79:10 99:20	special 37:14	statements 34:19
16:21	rights 13:23,23	34:22 35:2	served 61:25	slow 16:17 84:16	specialised 40:4	35:3 51:10
requires 18:18	13:24 14:1,4	38:18 58:3	service 2:10 26:3	small 30:24	specialist 68:4,6	status 1:7,12,14
requiring 26:13	26:15	84:23 85:6	36:6,18 48:21	54:23 55:2,5	specific 11:22	stayed 71:5
research 31:4	rigorously 14:24	secondary 11:17	49:5 56:20	67:22	15:23 49:13	steer 53:9
resolve 6:22	ring 71:10	secrecy 75:1	58:2	smaller 29:11,13	67:9 69:10	step 19:24 58:21
resonated 57:2	rise 61:23	secretly 62:4	services 24:17	smallest 2:19,21	78:7 80:21	82:25 93:24
resource 27:21	risk 25:21 46:4	section 46:13	63:10	smooth 29:19,21	83:20	Steve 55:21
resources 30:20	62:22	secure 16:18	set 2:17 17:15	social 57:6 89:16	specifically	69:18
58:24	road 40:6 89:7	73:14 84:25	19:8 40:11	89:25	69:12	Stewart 34:9
respect 60:13,15	90:19	see 8:7 9:2,4,6	65:4	Society 64:11	speculation	stifling 28:3
68:25 77:7,8	roads 91:20	17:15 19:15	setting 23:16	65:15	70:19	stop 100:7
80:16	robust 25:16	30:2,12 36:15	seven 59:12	software 18:15	speedway 89:19	stories 12:1 50:2
respond 9:18 63:14	73:19	41:24 48:1	shape 59:11	38:10	spend 45:18	50:9 54:24
	Roger 1:24 2:2	50:8 62:9,10	share 22:24 55:8	Solcara 21:24	spent 84:3	61:23 77:20,21
responded 3:13	role 8:7 12:14	62:22 65:15	59:7 60:23	38:5	spirit 22:2,10	82:11
16:5 31:3	20:25 39:8	72:12 77:19,24	68:17 86:7	Solcara/Spotli 38:9	spoke 90:6	story 16:1,25
responding 9:22	41:25,25 42:6	82:12 95:13	88:8 93:19		spoken 21:13	17:9 42:17
response 16:4	42:21 48:18	96:1 97:23	shared 55:13	somebody 32:23 54:25 93:1	33:23 73:21	49:17,21 50:12
32:11 75:20 91:15 96:19,20	54:21,21 62:9 62:10,22 67:4	99:14 seek 7:20 22:16	sharing 93:7,7 sheet 56:18	95:13,17 99:10	spokes 54:10 spokesperson	51:15 52:14 62:6,8,17
responsibilities	69:2,22 70:2	23:2 42:25	shift 43:1	Somerset 55:3	8:22 12:15	72:10 77:6,11
88:14	76:14 82:4,7	49:12	shirt 43:1 short 16:5 21:23	somewhat 86:20	13:1	77:15,17 83:25
responsibility	roles 36:5 39:14	seeking 3:22	53:19	92:22	sport 66:25	87:8,13 90:24
8:7 17:14	49:7	36:4 40:12	shortly 35:7	son 89:18	spot 16:24	91:9,10,12,14
86:10,11 87:7	rolling 97:9	42:3,12,14	shortly 33.7 showing 90:15	soon 5:12 17:11	Spot 10.24 Spotlight 18:16	99:9,13
87:9 90:1,15	room 16:8,20	43:9 46:24	shrinking 28:23	78:16	21:25 38:1,5	strategic 38:25
94:5	30:4 54:24	61:9	side 8:9 42:13	sooner 73:20,23	52:20	strategy 20:18
responsible	93:21	seen 5:13 21:16	50:12 51:10	Sorry 10:15	staff 2:20,23	20:19 35:19
79:21 89:21	rough 29:19,20	32:2 48:6	95:12 97:13	94:14	4:19 9:17,20	47:14 54:1
99:10	roughly 44:25	93:11 99:1	signed 2:5 35:2	sort 5:22 6:7	11:25 12:10,13	57:11
responsibly 90:4	rounded 50:4	selection 65:6	66:7 83:9	20:3 22:5 25:9	19:5 28:2,14	street 39:23 55:2
rest 12:11 24:18	routine 47:15	self-evident	significance 17:5	25:24 26:3	36:8 39:7,18	97:20
37:25	rubbing 5:4,7	12:17	significant 13:18	29:18 32:10	40:16 41:5	streets 69:21
restructure	rubric 6:11	send 37:1 69:23	24:20 26:22	40:2 55:8 69:6	43:7 45:17	stress 5:1
98:11	rule 9:20	senior 12:23 13:6	27:4 30:21	70:2,12 71:23	48:23 58:15,17	stressed 71:4
restructuring	rules 24:10	14:15 20:18	85:3 87:8	76:3 80:10	60:6,7 93:22	strike 20:1 32:9
80:20	rural 89:14	46:3 47:3,6	90:14 92:13	88:10 91:2	stages 74:22	strive 60:16
result 13:20		48:20 73:22	significantly	95:23	stance 73:6	strong 6:15
15:13 37:16	S	95:9	95:11	sorts 42:9	stand 58:16 99:9	14:23 28:17
resulted 59:22	safe 42:16 45:21	seniority 79:18	similar 7:13	sought 19:23	standard 2:6	strongly 84:19
results 13:12	86:9 88:1,5	sensationalist	22:24 37:20,25	sounds 12:25	17:24	91:18
retain 1:11	Safer 39:20	74:1	44:21 46:11	source 84:16	standards 23:9	structure 86:5
return 14:10	safety 84:23	sense 14:17	50:17 64:25	91:4 99:16	23:11 24:19	structured 8:14
returned 67:4	sand 49:10	21:25 25:25	similarities 38:3	sources 75:22	28:3,6 37:20	struggling 95:12
revealed 32:22	satisfactorily	26:3 27:1 40:4	Simon 1:24 2:2	76:3	56:6,7 58:25	97:23
32:22	29:9,10	40:8 41:1	simply 8:10 31:2	sparse 17:18	60:17	subject 27:20
reviewed 8:3 9:5	saw 70:17 85:22	48:10 79:22	80:5 99:11	speak 17:10	Star 67:3 69:20	33:11 42:25
revised 57:11	98:21	89:25	sing 56:17	37:12 40:1,9	start 43:14	49:4 60:4
reward 7:18	saying 7:21	sensible 24:9	single 99:8	41:21 42:18,24	started 66:21	74:15 85:4
rewrite 64:22	11:18 41:20	100:1	SIO 55:19 86:19	43:3,11 44:9	starter 45:3	subject/incident
right 2:15,25	43:9,14 46:15	sensitive 37:23	sir 3:24 8:5	62:3 68:25	starts 23:9	9:17
3:19 4:5,5,9	51:20,21 63:4	98:21 99:4	sites 89:25	70:1 77:16	stated 52:22	submissions 1:17
5:9 10:10,14	64:21 73:18	sensitively 90:4	sits 8:25	79:23 80:3	statement 2:4,6	1:21
14:18 22:13	76:21 80:12	sensitivity 58:10	sitting 95:23	89:20 93:5,9	2:17 3:4,18	subsequent 6:4
27:2 28:5 32:15 33:7	95:24 96:8	93:15 sent 51:9	situation 4:7 15:17 27:16	93:17 97:17,18 98:2	4:10,18 6:4 11:4 14:10	subsequently 3:17
35:7 38:21	says 81:22 86:19 93:1	sent 31:9 sentence 41:9	39:16 45:12	speaking 3:18	22:14 30:17	subsidiary 13:25
43:9 44:9,22	93:1 SA1 7:25	46:3 61:5	situations 40:11	25:25 32:15	37:10 38:18	substance 75:4
53:5,9 65:22	scale 23:24 74:18	83:11	61:15 79:20	35:16 39:11	39:4 50:16,20	success 15:6
67:2 68:14	scant 58:16	September 27:8	six 30:8 87:17,17	46:4 52:3 59:9	50:23 57:23	successfully 61:9
75:3 77:17	scant 38.10 screen 92:1	79:8	size 28:22 29:11	60:20 61:14	58:3 60:18	62:24
81:4 82:18	scribed 38:5	series 23:11,17	sizeable 36:13	68:14 75:5	64:14 66:7	succumb 62:23
84:4 95:24	search 19:2	56:7 58:4 88:2	slant 43:22	79:11,24 88:5	68:12,18 69:13	sufficient 5:17
96:5	second 13:24	serious 13:5	slanted 99:20	90:8	71:9 83:8 84:8	17:5
rightly 4:14	19:22 20:17	40:10	slightly 50:19	speaks 43:23	89:5 91:21	sufficiently
	1		- '	-		ĺ

	_		_	_	_	_
16:25	32:24 33:3	39:21.22	12:18,19,23	timely 4:22 5:22	88:3	77:7
Suffolk 2:3,13,18	Survey 15:8	techniques 3:5	18:6 19:10	23:1 57:13	turn 2:4 90:8	unfortunate
	•					85:23
6:16 8:4 14:23	suspect 28:13	teenage 89:8	21:22 22:7,9	73:10 86:16	turns 48:3	
15:11,15 19:11	31:23 32:23	telephone 3:12	22:13 25:24	times 15:25 67:1	Twitter 97:22	unhelpful 45:15
24:6 27:13	96:22	47:11 66:11	26:2,5,6,7,20	77:3 84:6	two 6:4 7:23	51:15 52:11
29:22 30:2	suspected 26:24	tell 3:6 16:10	26:25 27:6,14	86:20 90:6	15:12 24:15	61:16,23 86:21
33:9 34:22	suspects 31:21	20:13 75:21	28:8 29:20	timetable 1:20	29:14 31:7	uniformed 43:6
35:12 38:15,16	96:23	78:17 98:8,12	30:1 31:15	tipping 6:10	34:19 35:12	union 81:1 98:25
50:16 51:25	suspicious 45:7	ten 45:4	33:6,22 34:3,9	title 12:15	54:2 77:24	unique 36:21
55:4 59:24	swimming 42:7	tend 5:8 7:11,19	34:11 39:2,12	titles 38:7	79:10 84:17	Unison 27:14
67:21 68:9,10	sworn 1:24 34:15	49:24 50:7	39:18 41:5,19	today 1:5,23	twofold 58:7	unit 84:25 91:17
68:11,20 69:7	66:2 83:4	tended 4:21 5:2	43:5 44:13,13	26:7 69:11	type 12:2 69:10	unjustified 86:21
74:5 75:17,18	system 18:23	5:3	44:17 46:20	71:17 81:8	72:1,14 83:22	unlock 47:2
77:10 79:12	19:6 21:25	tendency 62:4,25	47:22 48:9,10	87:19 92:12	91:11 99:13	unlocking 47:5
80:8,18 81:9		tends 37:2 44:6	48:16 49:9,20	95:7 97:23	types 42:17 44:4	unprecedented
	38:1,2,5,6,7,11	tenet 9:9		100:2	46:15	87:8
82:10,13 83:21	42:8 43:1 47:9		50:7,8,13		40:13	
84:9,15 85:15	52:20 53:13	tension 5:5,7,9	52:11,11,15	told 2:14 17:6		unrealistic 93:13
85:16,18 86:2	systemic 85:7	82:1	53:8 54:4,7,11	55:25 56:1	U	unvarnished
86:9,14 87:9	systems 47:16	term 52:10 94:10	54:13,16 56:11	75:4 76:20	Um 19:21 74:14	65:19
87:12,25 88:4		terms 2:24 6:17	56:11,16,22	78:1 90:9	unacceptable	unwilling 4:22
89:9,15,20	T	7:8 17:14,25	57:10,10,14,17	99:18	17:16 27:11,18	unwitting 73:2
90:3,21 91:15	tab 7:25 19:9	18:4 20:5	57:19 58:7,14	Tom 8:20	unauthorised	updating 25:12
92:13 93:17	23:9 27:7	21:23 28:12	58:20 59:2,15	top 57:23 92:1	10:5 27:11	uploaded 52:20
98:10 99:17	34:20,23	29:12 30:3,23	60:2,9 61:24	topics 59:13	74:13,24 90:25	upper 14:12
Suffolk's 91:20	tabloid 55:20	32:1 33:24	63:2,13,16	touched 59:15	91:4 94:12,16	up-to-date 23:2
suggest 65:17	70:6	34:6 37:7	64:10 65:3,12	70:6,12	94:18,20 95:2	43:18,21 58:9
88:18	tabloids 87:23	45:23 62:9,10	67:15 68:25	tough 28:22	99:16	use 11:25 39:7
suggested 70:25	tack 53:4,5,7	66:20 67:25	69:10,12 70:23	traffic 40:6 89:7	unaware 44:5	40:1,7 50:24
suggesting 41:20	tackling 98:6	68:19 69:19	79:5,8,17 80:7	91:16,19	70:14	52:10,16 62:24
64:20,21 73:17	tactful 37:17	70:1,8 86:7	80:11 84:3	tragic 89:7	unbalanced	91:14 94:10
suggestion 24:1	tailor 73:25	87:14 88:6	88:13 92:20	trail 53:14	86:21	useful 26:18
suggests 5:7	tahoi 73.23 take 12:7,11	99:21	93:8,12,14,16	trained 76:17	uncomfortable	52:12 84:21
suit 56:14		Terrence 83:4,7	93:23 94:7,9	training 16:8,10		users 65:5
Sumac 4:11	14:15 15:19	test 24:5	94:18,22 98:1	16:12 49:3	81:23	user-friendly
53:22 86:18	29:20 32:24	thank 1:22 2:4	100:6	58:24 93:21	undermine 10:7	59:25
	41:3 43:18	3:17 11:22			10:23 78:3	
summarise 2:9 35:9	45:15 48:3		thinking 36:24 third 19:16	transitioning	underneath	usually 6:14,22
	64:23 71:12	18:14 19:15		65:9	56:15	18:8,10 32:10
sums 30:21	73:18 74:6	22:14 24:13	thirst 33:25	transparency	understand 4:2	42:9 49:22
Sunday 85:12,13	79:12,13 83:23	28:18 32:18	thought 31:3	82:25 95:10	8:12 24:11	96:24
85:14,25	88:17 91:3,5	33:7 34:13	53:3 56:25	transparent 93:4	35:6 45:10	
superintendent	96:11 99:21	35:9 63:20	75:14 85:2	treated 46:11	48:23,25 51:22	V
34:8 81:1	taken 14:20	65:22,24 66:1	thoughts 59:20	trial 13:24	52:14 62:1	valid 9:11 20:14
98:24	18:24 31:1	66:6,17,19	three 17:12 24:8	trick 82:23	64:10 72:13	91:12
support 21:1,10	takes 29:18 54:5	67:4 71:9	69:2 73:14	tried 33:3 63:14	80:22,23 81:20	valuable 12:2
27:17 31:19,25	talk 68:5 82:8	82:19,20 83:2	74:16 76:18	Trotter 59:17,23	82:6 83:2 90:1	valuables 42:16
43:7 58:19	98:5	83:3,6,8 86:17	84:24 85:13,21	63:23	91:25 94:14	values 60:23
supported 27:13	talked 76:13	90:22 100:7,9	97:20	trouble 94:1	95:7,8	various 26:15
supportive 56:21	talking 26:7	theme 79:3	three-day 55:10	96:10	understandable	39:23 49:5
84:10 86:6	59:21 74:19	They'd 97:7	throw 33:3	troubled 52:4	53:5	69:24 86:4
suppose 36:19	79:16 81:17	thing 20:17,18	thumb 9:20	true 61:11,12,12	understandably	89:16
37:10 48:12	90:7 93:25	33:22 46:12	24:10	65:21	12:5	vary 72:25
54:20 69:3	95:16,17	51:19 57:1	Tickner 1:4	trust 29:22 75:24	understanding	vast 66:14
95:16 99:7	talks 66:11 81:10	60:2 69:6 70:2	time 1:20 4:12	75:25 76:2,5,5	40:23 49:9	vein 17:23
suppress 63:1	81:10,11	82:18 90:18	14:9 15:17	76:5,20 100:5	54:17,25 74:8	version 50:20
sure 8:1 17:10	targeted 70:25	things 15:16	16:23 17:7	trusted 77:1	understands	59:24,25
26:10 45:2	71:7	21:11 22:8	18:8 19:11	trusting 75:25	48:23	_ ^
46:19 53:8		29:24 33:5	20:3 30:18,21	76:21		vetted 64:18
55:11 57:12	task 41:22 62:24	49:24 54:17	31:17 38:3	trustworthiness	understood 10:8	victim 90:19
	taught 76:17,18			76:10 78:20	16:20 18:20	view 12:7 18:2
62:23 69:24,25	76:18	57:15 63:4	45:16,18 48:11		43:15 47:7	29:8,20 39:17
71:5,20 77:4	tea 89:15	65:4 69:10	53:24 54:4,23	trustworthy	undertake 75:14	41:6 48:7 50:4
83:9,19 85:4	team 21:18 37:15	72:14 74:4,7	69:20 70:9,12	76:23	undertaken	50:13 52:6
96:2,2 99:25	40:24 41:2	75:7 76:18	74:23 76:25	truth 2:6 32:7	18:18	62:20 64:7
surely 79:22	42:3 43:11	78:23 80:10	84:14 87:5	try 7:20 20:1	undue 74:11	65:19 67:8,9
surprised 56:3	44:2 49:12	89:25	88:22 89:15	40:13 82:23	86:12	70:18 73:18
surveillance	54:8	think 6:9,25 8:3	99:8	trying 16:13	unethical 28:1	74:6 79:1,6,12
31:20,21,24	teams 31:20,21	8:12 11:3 12:8	timeframe 1:15	26:15 43:21	unfair 74:11	79:15,25 80:8
	l			l		l

						Page 11
	l	l	1	l	l I	
86:18 91:5,21	weakest 21:22	34:14 54:14	year 2:5,25	67:4	7	
93:19 97:16	wearing 58:3	65:25 66:6	17:20 19:10	2010 8:3 9:5	7 27:8	
99:20,21,25 100:1	websites 46:21 week 21:18 31:9	70:5 witnesses 42:11	31:2 44:24 55:11 66:7	2011 19:14 25:3 25:18 27:8	700,000 2:22	
views 65:18	31:18 32:20	44:8 60:19	years 6:8 26:24	35:13,14 47:25		
violent 16:18	35:8 55:19	84:14,22 85:5	52:1 72:4,13	56:5 83:15	8	
17:12	70:15	women 4:11	76:17,24 81:12	2012 1:1	8 11:23 14:1	
visited 21:18	weigh 91:2	53:23	82:12,15 86:1	22 91:21	8.2 11:24	
vis-a-vis 37:7	welcome 58:19	word 45:7	87:17,17 93:18	23 60:12	9	
58:1	well-founded	words 2:18 29:17	young 89:14,22	25 24:24		
voice 67:17	16:6	43:19 45:11	younger 82:6	26 1:1 61:4 68:12	9 35:2	
volume 33:24	well-known	47:13 50:13	-	27 2:5 48:22 61:4	9.6 12:12	
voluntarily	89:18	53:13 62:24	0	61:5		
94:21 95:1,3	went 21:18 59:16	72:5	00809 84:9	29 49:13		
Vuelio 38:8	70:14,22 71:3	work 7:1 12:8,9	00810 89:5			
	89:19 99:4	18:22 26:13	00813 91:22	3		
W	weren't 3:14	31:6 41:2,2		3 1:7,12,17,18		
walking 4:6	27:14 71:7	50:7 60:10,15	1	7:25 66:7		
want 5:18 23:4	90:20 West 83:1	64:17 65:7,10	1 95:24	3.3 9:24		
29:23 33:19	West 83:1 we'll 12:11 22:12	66:15,16 69:23 72:13 75:24	1.1 9:8	30 1:8		
37:18 41:7 42:2 45:19	23:3 38:18	76:4 92:24	1.5 9:9	31 26:25 31.50 47:25		
42:2 43:19 46:9 53:4,6	55:18 96:1	worked 2:11	10 23:16 43:8 83:11 95:24	31.50 47:25 33 93:18		
67:11,13 71:18	we're 5:20 6:17	37:13,13 60:24	10.00 1:2	33 /3.10		
78:15 80:4,19	25:8,12,13	63:13 65:14	10.00 1:2 10.4 24:2	4		
81:7 85:5	26:7 28:21,25	66:23	11 18:14 23:9	4 2:13 39:4 71:9		
90:18 91:8,9	31:5 40:12,16	workforce 2:22	95:25	89:5		
94:3 95:5 96:3	42:14,24 43:22	8:18 28:23	11.29 53:18	400 36:9		
96:21,22 97:4	44:4,21 45:5	working 12:2	11.35 53:20	43 29:2 56:7 59:7		
97:7 98:14	45:12,21 56:17	15:14 22:10	12 19:9 85:2	44 30:3 56:7 59:8		
99:3	62:12 63:2,10	25:17 29:9,10	95:25	48 54:4		
wanted 16:14,19	71:21 74:4	31:1 55:15	12.58 100:10			
33:20 77:14	78:1 80:5	60:22 63:17	13 45:23 95:25	5		
90:3 92:10	96:17 99:8,16 we've 1:19 14:11	65:9 workings 13:13	13747 39:5	5 39:4 41:10		
wanting 44:21 wants 42:18	19:23 20:9	works 59:5 78:21	13749 43:8	66:10		
warned 73:2	23:1 26:18	82:24 96:2	13751 46:23	50,000 44:24		
warning 27:10	35:12 50:14,15	world 18:13	13761 55:18 13998 60:13	58578 9:8		
55:22	53:2 56:24	31:20 32:1	14 73:8 95:25	58582 11:23 58583 12:12		
warranted 43:6	57:12 59:15	70:13 89:19	15 76:17,24	58603 12.12 58603 13:8		
wasn't 3:24	60:24 61:14	worried 46:7	81:12 95:25	58607 19:9		
17:21 28:3	63:14 70:5	50:12	16 27:7 46:23	58644 27:10		
31:16 34:5	96:16 99:17	worry 86:23	76:7	58645 27:24		
56:2 64:20,21	wheel 25:22	worst 86:22	17 22:15	58671 23:16		
69:20 70:11,24	54:22	wouldn't 32:9,12	18 23:8	58699 7:25		
70:25 88:19,20	whilst 27:18	71:15 77:14	19 13:8	58712 23:10		
89:4	31:24	78:5 80:16	19.3 13:22	58730 3:4		
watched 4:15 17:20	widely 10:13 28:7 89:17	94:19 95:3 99:14	1982 2:10	58731 6:5		
way 2:11 4:16,23	90:2	Wright 55:21	1996 84:5	58732 6:5		
7:7 18:3,13,24	wider 61:20 62:1	69:18	1998 66:22	58733 7:8		
19:25 21:8	87:12	Wright's 70:22	2	58734 14:10 58735 15:19		
32:16 38:3	Wild 83:1	write 21:13	2 1:18 3:3 4:18	58736 16:3		
44:22 45:8,15	window 83:21	writes 12:8	68:18 84:8	58737 17:17		
46:11 48:13	Windsor 8:21	written 12:21	2,200 2:23	58741 22:15		
49:16 52:15	wish 1:11 7:15	82:11	2.1 9:13	58744 24:24		
54:15 60:16	15:1 78:24	wrong 17:5 53:4	2.2 9:19	58747 26:22		
62:5 63:16	79:13	53:7 75:16	20 47:19	58748 26:25		
64:18 70:13	wishes 97:1	85:9	2001 2:11	58753 29:2		
71:8 72:13,14	withdraw 1:14 withhold 9:10	wrongs 26:16	2005 23:10 25:12	58755 30:4		
73:17 74:3,25	20:14	X	2006 4:12,14	59 34:20		
79:13 94:1 97:25 98:19	withholding	X 96:17	31:11 53:23			
97:25 98:19	20:15	A 70.1/	69:18 86:17	6		
ways 57:14 74:5	witness 1:23 2:4	Y	2007 2:13 4:13 35:14	6 13:23		
78:21	3:2 31:16	yeah 7:5 19:23	2009 15:4 35:23	69 34:23		
		, 5, 17, 25	2007 13.7 33.23			

Leveson Inquiry