Foreword

Since taking up the post of Chief Medical Officer in the autumn of 1998, I have been highlighting some of the big challenges in health and healthcare facing our country.

Currently one of the biggest threats is the possibility of an influenza pandemic, which is of major concern to public health experts. At the World Health Organization’s recent assembly in Geneva, the importance of being prepared for this possibility was underlined and a resolution was adopted calling on all Member States to develop and implement national plans. The UK Influenza Pandemic Contingency Plan, first published in 1997, has now been revised and updated.

Other articles in this edition include the Modernising Medical Careers Foundation Programme, a groundbreaking new development in the training of junior doctors. Not only will the curriculum improve the skills of trainee doctors, it will also address those areas of clinical care less often taught and assessed (e.g. communication, clinical governance, patient safety, teamwork).

Smoking remains the single biggest preventable cause of ill health and is a major public health problem. A recent evaluation of NHS smoking cessation services (see page 3) found them to be successful, particularly with disadvantaged and hard to reach smokers.

Smoking and tobacco also feature prominently in my just published Annual Report 2004, On the state of public health. Other areas of concern I’ve addressed include food procurement in the public sector, the importance of patient safety alerts and the rising incidence of gastroeschisis. The report is now available on my website (www.dh.gov.uk/cmo).

July saw the beginning of the UK Presidency of the EU. Building on work already started under previous presidencies, we will be focusing on health inequalities and patient safety. It is an outstanding opportunity for us to help improve the health of all citizens in the EU.

Finally, I am investigating the possibility of providing CMO Update electronically. Those of you who would like to receive email notification that the new edition is available on my website (www.dh.gov.uk/cmo) can subscribe to this service. Details are on page 3.

My intention with CMO Update is to ensure that it is a high quality communication and provides information you find relevant. I welcome your comments, which you can send to cmoupdate@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Sir Liam Donaldson
Chief Medical Officer

Visit CMO’s website www.dh.gov.uk/cmo

PREPARING FOR PANDEMIC INFLuenza

Influenza pandemics have occurred from time to time throughout history. Experts from the World Health Organization believe that the warning signs of another pandemic are increasing. The continued occurrence of human cases of avian H5N1 flu, linked to outbreaks of this infection in poultry in Southeast Asia, has raised concern that this virus may be adapting to infect people more readily.

The public health consequences of a pandemic would be serious: it is estimated that one in four of the population could become ill, and that the deaths could number over 50,000 in the UK alone. It is therefore essential that contingency plans are developed nationally and locally for implementation when the need arises.

To strengthen national preparedness, the UK Influenza Pandemic Contingency Plan was published in March 2005 and the Government is purchasing 14.6 million treatment courses of anti-viral drugs – enough to treat a quarter of the UK population.

Preparedness planning across the NHS is continuing. Primary care trusts and strategic health authorities in England, in conjunction with regional and local partners, should now develop, maintain and periodically test contingency plans. General practitioners and primary care staff have a key role to play in ensuring resilient arrangements are in place to respond effectively to an influenza pandemic.

CONTINUED ON PAGE 2
Assessing junior doctors

In August, the Modernising Medical Careers (MMC) two year Foundation Programme is beginning. All junior doctors starting their pre-registration house officer year in August will enter the programme.

The Foundation Programme is an innovative development in postgraduate medical training. Under this new curriculum, junior doctors will need to demonstrate their competence in a number of areas not previously addressed in medical training, including communication and consultation skills, patient safety, clinical governance and teamworking.

Trainee doctors will need to show they have learned a range of skills, including the undertaking and use of research, time management and use of evidence and data. This will help to ensure that trainees’ acute clinical and professional skills are secure and robust. It is very much a curriculum for patient safety, and ensures that at the end of their two years of training doctors are both confident and competent.

The assessment of set competences is the key to progression through the Foundation Programme. Four assessment tools, designed to inform educational supervisors of their trainee’s performance, are replacing the previous, less formal, system of assessment based upon supervisors’ discussions with other clinical staff and their own impression of the trainee during their rotation.

These are:
- mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise (mini-CEX), assessing trainee interaction with patients in clinical settings
- Direct Observation of Procedural Skills (DOPS), recording ability in clinical skills
- Multi Source Feedback (MSF), asking doctors and other healthcare professionals to comment on their professional relationship with the trainee
- Case-based Discussion (CbD), allowing trainees to demonstrate clinical reasoning skills in discussing a recent case they helped manage

Assessment involves gauging the trainee’s performance relative to what would be expected of doctors at the end of that foundation training year. If they are below expectation, the assessor will be able to comment on areas for improvement. Each tool provides junior doctors with useful feedback to guide their personal development, while giving educational supervisors insights into their overall performance across the range of clinical contexts.

It will take an average of 6-8 hours a year to assess each trainee.

At the beginning of the Foundation Programme, each trainee doctor will receive assessment forms along with a national training portfolio. Trainees are responsible for approaching colleagues to assess their history taking or clinical skills, and should take advantage of any opportunities for assessment arising in their clinical work. Trainees choose who assesses each task, the exception being CbD, which must be completed with their educational supervisor.

In preparation for the launch of the programme, over 500 consultants and educators have been trained in the use of the assessment tools. Arrangements are in place to pass this knowledge to those using the tools locally. MMC is also investigating delivering training via an online CPD accredited course.

Some concerns have been raised regarding the time assessment may take. Results of extensive piloting suggest it will take an average of 6-8 hours to assess each trainee per year, with this workload shared between educational supervisors, other doctors, and clinical staff.

Continuous review of the assessment process will help maximise the benefit to trainees and minimise the time burden on other staff.

For more information go to www.mmc.nhs.uk.

MMC policy contact:
Andrew.Matthewman@dh.gsi.gov.uk

For more information go to www.dh.gov.uk/pandemicflu.
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Dorian.Kennedy@dh.gsi.gov.uk
NHS smoking cessation services are effective

NHS stop smoking services were set up in 1999 as part of the implementation of the tobacco White Paper Smoking Kills, the UK’s first comprehensive plan of action to reduce smoking rates. These services are a key strand of tobacco policy; others include banning tobacco advertising, ensuring tobacco packs carry clear warnings, media campaigns to raise awareness of smoking risks, increasing the price of tobacco and protecting people from secondhand smoke.

The services were piloted in Health Action Zones, and then rolled out nationally. The Department of Health funded an evaluation of these services, looking at outcomes, service characteristics, the process of establishing services, how far services targeted disadvantaged smokers, and longer term outcomes.

Findings from the evaluation have been published in a supplement of the journal Addiction (Volume 100. Supplement 2. April 2005). Key findings were:

**Inequalities.** The research suggests that well run stop smoking services can reduce smoking in deprived or disadvantaged groups more quickly than in other groups. Although success rates are lower among disadvantaged groups (probably due to higher levels of addiction in these groups), lower success rates are balanced by higher numbers of disadvantaged smokers getting help from the services.

**Reaching priority groups.** The services showed innovation when trying to reach certain groups of smokers. For instance, to attract economically disadvantaged smokers, services were located in accessible city-centre venues, in primary care and non-health venues in deprived areas, and incentives were provided (such as passes to leisure centres). However, tension exists between the need to reach the priority groups and achieving targets on total numbers of smokers.

**Long term quit rates.** A pilot study of 52 week quit rates in two areas (North Cumbria and Nottingham) suggests that longer term quit rates achieved by smoking cessation services are comparable with those established in clinical trials. About 15 per cent of people who set a quit date and accessed the services were still not smoking after 52 weeks, compared with 3-4 per cent of quitters using willpower alone.

**Service characteristics.** The research found that smoking cessation services help more people if they are set up in specific ways. For example, the greater number of contacts with the services and/or the longer nicotine replacement therapy or bupropion were used, the higher the probability of successful outcomes.

**Cost effectiveness.** There is evidence that services are cost-effective in helping smokers to quit; the cost per quality adjusted life-year saved (QALY) at between £230 and £2,700 was well below the £20,000 threshold used by NICE.

The evaluation makes clear the effective impact these evidence-based services are having in helping smokers to quit. Looking at one year quit rates, they are up to four times more effective than when smokers attempt to quit through willpower alone.

Smokers remain relatively unaware of these services, and referrals from health professionals are relatively low. Increased recommendations and referrals from health professionals will help those 70 per cent of smokers who say they want to quit.

When seeing patients who are motivated to quit, the offer of referral to the local NHS Stop Smoking Service should be the first action. Though the NHS Smoking Helpline (0800 169 0169) can provide details to the public, direct health professional referral to the local service provides the best route.

For more information go to www.addictionjournal.org

Policy contact: Nick.Adkin@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Nicotine gum is one of the treatments offered in NHS stop smoking services.

CMO Update online

Would you prefer to receive CMO Update in electronic form rather than in a printed version? To gauge demand, we are piloting a service to initially notify recipients via email alert when the new edition is available electronically. This alert will have a link that will take you directly to the CMO website, where you can view or print the new edition of CMO Update.

If you would like to subscribe to this service, please complete an online subscription form, available at www.info.doh.gov.uk/cmo/CMOUpdate.nsf/RegistrationMenu?OpenForm
REDUCING CANCER WAITING TIMES

Cancer waiting times targets are important for patients. Speeding up diagnosis and treatment at the very least reduces anxiety and leads to a better patient experience.

This year very high priority has been given to meeting the two key targets for cancer waiting times that cover the whole period from referral to treatment. By December 2005:
- All patients diagnosed with cancer should begin treatment within 31 days of the decision to treat.
- All patients with cancer, who are urgently referred by their GP, should begin treatment within 62 days of that GP referral.

Meeting these targets will be challenging for everyone involved. It requires tackling the root causes of long waits in diagnostics, and a culture change in the way hospitals work together to ensure streamlined and timely referral mechanisms.

A National Cancer Waits Project, established in September 2004, has been working to identify the major problems and obstacles currently causing delays in the patient pathway. The key messages can be summarised as the ‘ABC of reducing cancer waits’ – Awareness, Breaches and Care pathways.

Awareness
All clinical teams should be aware of:
- the maximum acceptable waiting times for cancer patients and their formal definition
- the rules about suspensions and the need to ensure correct and timely annotation in the patient’s notes
- clinical exceptions, where in rare clinical circumstances it is not possible to treat within the 62 day target
- the 10 high impact changes to service improvement and delivery as they apply to cancer, and the need to apply them.

Breaches
All clinical teams should ensure breaches are:
- collected, analysed and acted upon – not just by the trust but also the multidisciplinary team and the tumour site-specific group
- avoided by the proactive management of the patient pathway.

Care pathways
All clinical teams should ensure their care pathways:
- are identified and streamlined
- ensure timely referral from primary to secondary and from secondary to tertiary care, using national or local protocols to ensure patients do not wait unnecessarily.

It is vital that trusts work effectively together with cancer networks. Better communication between clinicians can only serve to improve inter-trust relations and smooth the patient pathway.

The 62 day wait can also be seen as a forerunner for the fast-approaching 18 week target, which promises that by December 2008 no patient will wait longer than 18 weeks from GP referral to hospital treatment for any condition. The reduction in waits for diagnostic tests needed to achieve both these targets will benefit all patients.

Policy contact: Jill.Lockett@dh.gsi.gov.uk

www.dh.gov.uk/cmo
HEATWAVE PLAN: the role of primary care

To prepare for a recurrence of the situation in the summer of 2003, in which there were problems of excess deaths in many parts of Europe (particularly France) in a two week period as a result of excessively hot weather, the Heatwave Plan for England has been reissued. An important part of emergency preparedness, the plan spells out the arrangements for health and social care services to raise awareness of risks relating to extreme heat.

The plan focuses on warning systems, public awareness and preparedness, especially in primary care settings. Core elements include:
- A ‘Heat-Health Watch’ system operating until 15 September, in which the Department of Health will issue advice to the public and healthcare professionals if the Met Office forecasts extreme heat
- Identification of individuals most at risk by primary care teams and social services
- Extra help for vulnerable individuals, where available, from the voluntary sector, families and others.

Once a heatwave begins, there is little time for effective action, so early preparation is crucial.

Primary and community care teams are key to the plan’s effectiveness. Local arrangements will vary, but common to all primary care teams is the opportunity to identify those who may be especially vulnerable to heat, and then to take appropriate action to reduce their risk.

At risk groups include:
- Older people, especially those aged over 75 and/or living on their own, or in a care home
- Those who rely on help from other people to manage day-to-day activities, for example those with dementia
- People who are bed-bound

Many will already be in contact with health or social services. It may be valuable to assess those who are not apparently in contact, for example those who did not respond to last year’s invitation for influenza immunisation.

Once potentially vulnerable individuals have been identified, the next steps include:
- Assessing support from family members or neighbours who know what to do in the event of a heatwave. This is especially important if the person lives alone.
- Checking their drug regime: a number of medications may increase the severity of heatstroke.
- Identifying any other changes to individual care plans which might be necessary, such as daily visits by formal or informal carers to check on those living alone.

Teams will want to ensure that all relevant people, including the individual concerned, are made aware of what is in the care plan and how to access it once a heatwave starts.

For a copy of the Heatwave Plan for England go to www.dh.gov.uk/publications

Certifying deaths involving MRSA

Recently published figures from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) showed that the number of death certificates on which methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) was mentioned rose steadily, from 51 in 1993 to 955 in 2003. Nevertheless, there is widespread belief that this is an underestimate of mortality associated with MRSA, and that doctors are reluctant to put information about MRSA or healthcare associated infections on certificates.

One of the doctors responsible for the patient’s care is required by law to complete a medical certificate of cause of death (MCCD) ‘to the best of his knowledge and belief’. Yet often by the time someone dies they have a number of diseases and complications of illness or treatment.

It is a matter of clinical judgment to decide whether a condition present at or just before death contributed to the patient’s death. If an infection was part of the sequence of events that directly led to the death, this should be recorded in part I of the certificate. If the infection contributed, but was not part of this direct sequence, this should be written in part II.

Doctors should provide as accurate and detailed information as is possible about the site or manifestation (e.g. pyelonephritis, lobar pneumonia, wound infection); the source or route (hospital or community acquired, catheter associated, water or food borne etc); the infecting organism, including resistance to antibiotics (e.g. MRSA, multiple drug resistant tuberculosis). Diseases or treatments, including chemotherapy, radiotherapy or immunosuppressant drugs, that may have reduced the patient’s resistance to infection should also be included.

The ONS Death Certification Advisory Group has produced updated guidance for certifiers to clarify their responsibility under current legislation. These cover reporting deaths to the coroner, and how to complete the MCCD in a wide range of circumstances, with examples. The guidance is available at www.gro.gov.uk/medcert and will be published in the summer 2005 edition of ACP News.
Guidance to assist strategic health authorities when appointing senior staff responsible for clinical governance is now available. Produced by the NHS clinical governance support team (CGST), it reflects a need for greater coherence and commonality in the job descriptions of those in clinical governance lead roles in NHS organisations.

This was brought into sharp focus when strategic health authorities (SHAs), and a number of 3 star trusts shared job descriptions for their clinical governance lead roles with the CGST. To try to establish current practice, a detailed review of existing job descriptions of those organisations’ clinical governance leads was undertaken. The review, which looked at the content, breadth and consistency of job descriptions, revealed wide disparities.

Feedback from the respondents indicated that they would welcome a guidance document pulling together the best of the reviewed material.

This document is intended to assist SHAs and acute NHS trusts with the selection and development of senior staff responsible for clinical governance. There is the added benefit of utilising the best of existing practice across the NHS.

A further key element of the work related to the competences needed for clinical governance posts. The NHS Knowledge and Skills Framework (KSF) developed as part of Agenda for Change, was the starting point for developing competences for the different clinical governance posts.

The KSF is a key part of clinical governance, as it supports development for all staff throughout their working life. By utilising the principles of the KSF, or drawing directly from it where appropriate, more specific competences were indicated for clinical governance lead roles.

The guidance provides four exemplar job descriptions, with associated competences:

- SHA clinical governance lead
- clinical director
- non-executive director with responsibility for clinical governance
- Trust clinical governance lead

The guidance is not intended to be exhaustive or prescriptive. It indicates the wide range of duties associated with leadership in clinical governance, and provides material from which there can be a ‘pick and mix’ approach in the light of local structures and local needs and priorities.

Initial reaction has been favourable, and early feedback has identified a need for a similar document addressing clinical governance lead roles in primary care.

The full document is available at www.cgsupport.nhs.uk/Resources

---

Meeting the need for vitamin D

Recent reports on the re-emergence of rickets in parts of the country highlight the importance of achieving adequate vitamin D status in infants and toddlers. Most people in the UK should get enough vitamin D through exposure to sunlight during their daily routine. In fact, a fair skinned adult produces enough vitamin D after just 15 minutes in the sun two to three times a week.

However, others will need to augment levels with supplements to maintain their vitamin status through the winter months. Vigilance in primary care is required to identify those at risk, such as South Asian and African-Caribbean women and children and other groups who cover up fully for cultural reasons, limiting exposure of their skin to sunlight.

As a safety net, in order to avoid deficiency, the Committee on Medical Aspects of Food and Nutrition Policy and the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition recommend dietary vitamin D supplements for pregnant and nursing mothers of 10 µg/day and 7 µg for children under five years of age. Vitamin D supplements of 10 µg/day are also recommended for older people to maintain good bone health and to reduce the risk of fractures.

Recent reports on the re-emergence of rickets in parts of the country highlight the importance of achieving adequate vitamin D status in infants and toddlers. Most people in the UK should get enough vitamin D through exposure to sunlight during their daily routine. In fact, a fair skinned adult produces enough vitamin D after just 15 minutes in the sun two to three times a week.

However, others will need to augment levels with supplements to maintain their vitamin status through the winter months. Vigilance in primary care is required to identify those at risk, such as South Asian and African-Caribbean women and children and other groups who cover up fully for cultural reasons, limiting exposure of their skin to sunlight.
RECOGNISING PVL INFECTION

The death of an otherwise fit, healthy young man from pneumonia due to a Panton-Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) producing strain of Staphylococcus aureus featured in the media recently. PVL is a well recognised toxin that affects white blood cells, and PVL producing strains are often acquired in the community, rather than in hospital, by individuals with no obvious risk factors.

Normally these PVL strains produce relatively minor skin infections. But they can cause severe invasive infections, which can include pneumonia, especially in patients who have had a viral infection such as flu. When this occurs the infection is often more aggressive than standard Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia and patients can deteriorate rapidly.

Although available evidence suggests that these PVL producing strains are extremely rare, it is important that doctors consider if cases of pneumonia in immuno-competent patients may be bacterial in origin and to treat with antibiotics if appropriate.

The following have been proposed as useful diagnostic clues for PVL positive pneumonia:
- temperature >39°C
- heart rate >140
- haemolysis
- onset of pleural effusion during hospital stay
- leucopenia

Guidance on this infection will be produced later this year, but in the interim a summary of key points about this infection will be available at dh.gov.uk/cmo. In addition, medical microbiologists should send samples from patients they suspect may have PVL infection to the Health Protection Agency.

NOMINATING MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS FOR HONOURS

In the UK, honours are normally awarded twice a year. A full list of those receiving honours is published on the Queen’s birthday, and also at the new year. Each list contains approximately 1,350 names and consists of three sections: the Prime Minister’s list, the Diplomatic and Overseas list, and the Armed Forces list. The largest is the Prime Minister’s list, and contains civil honours, including those awarded to people who work in public service, such as doctors and nurses.

Anyone can nominate an individual for an honour. However, the process is extremely competitive and only a small number are awarded to medical professionals. Once public service nominations are received, they are grouped according to their occupation. Expert committees then consider each candidate and select the best to be put forward to the Prime Minister. The final list of names is submitted to the Queen for formal approval.

Successful candidates are selected on the basis of achievement, merit and excellence, or for exemplary service.

COMMON STANDARDS FOR HEALTH RECORDS IDENTIFIED

Good record keeping and good communication are essential for safe and effective team based patient care. Currently there is no single model for documenting and communicating information that forms the patient health record. Existing standards relating to health record and communication practice are those given by individual healthcare professional regulatory bodies.

The NHS Information Standards Board and the three largest regulatory bodies – the General Medical Council, the Nursing and Midwifery Council, and the Health Professions Council – undertook a review of existing standards relating to health record keeping and communication of practice published by the healthcare professional regulatory bodies.

The result is a set of health record and communication practice standards for team based care, which brings together the standards already in existence and common to all three bodies.

There have been a number of reviews and regulatory bodies. The result is a set of health record and communication practice standards for team based care, which brings together the standards already in existence and common to all three bodies.

For more information and URL links go to www.isb.nhs.uk
NEWS IN BRIEF

- Reducing healthcare associated infections
  Reducing methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and other healthcare associated infections is crucial, and lower infection rates are dependent on front line staff carrying out procedures according to best practice. Saving lives: a delivery programme to reduce HCAI including MRSA, was developed by international experts in clinical reliability and features high impact interventions for the prevention of microbial contamination, surgical site infection, catheter related bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections and ventilator associated pneumonia.

  As the entire healthcare team has a role to play in reducing infections like MRSA, the programme ensures that clinical, managerial and front line staff work together. The tools demonstrate to clinical staff how their infection control plan should look, and provide methods of self assessment and action planning to bring down infection rates.

  For more information go to www.dh.gov.uk/reducingmrsa or to receive programme materials, email contact details to reducingmrsa@dh.gsi.gov.uk

- Gene information online
  GenePool – the specialist library for Clinical Genetics, provides clinical information on genetics aimed at non-specialist healthcare professionals. Developed by the National Electronic Library for Health (Nelh), it includes basic information on genetics, explanations of various genetic conditions, symptoms and signs, and a glossary. It also has a weekly news service, clinical briefings and information on genetics in clinical practice. The site can be found at http://libraries.nelh.nhs.uk/genepool

- DVD aids recognition of childhood illness
  A free DVD training aid, *Spotting the sick child*, has been produced to help professionals recognise acute illness in childhood. The DVD covers the top seven presenting illnesses in children: fever, difficulty breathing, dehydration, fitting, head injury, rashes and abdominal pain. For copies please quote the title and stock number (4063), and either write to: Spotting the sick child, PO Box 777, London SE1 6XH; telephone 0870 555 455, or email dh@prolog.uk.com. Free stocks are limited. Copies may be purchased for £8.99 at www.ochmedia.com/products_ssc.shtml

- Registration open for patient safety conference
  Healthcare professionals can register for next year’s patient safety conference, which will be held on 1-2 February 2006 in Birmingham. Attendees will be able to design their own programme by choosing from workshops relevant to specific care settings. Topics include innovation and research; patient experience and public involvement; reporting and safety culture. For more information on the conference telephone 020 8233 2827, e-mail enquiries@patientsafety2006.nhs.uk or visit www.patientsafety2006.nhs.uk

  Those who are attending can submit proposals for posters and short presentations. Proposals must be made online between 1 September and 30 September 2005 at www.patientsafety2006.nhs.uk

- Guidance for treating Gulf conflict veterans
  The Ministry of Defence has updated *Gulf Health: Information Guide for Health Professionals*, which provides GPs and other health professionals with information when dealing with the health concerns of veterans of the 1990/1991 Gulf conflict. It also includes information on the measures put in place to protect the health of personnel deployed to the Gulf since January 2003.

  The Guide is available at www.gulfwar.mod.uk. Hard copies can be obtained by calling 0800 169 4495; emailing sppolpu-gvi2@mod.uk; or writing to Veterans Policy Unit GVI, 7th Floor, Zone H, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB.

- Network for tsunami support
  Patients affected by the tsunami who could benefit from advice and support can be directed to the Tsunami Support Network, set up by the British Red Cross. The Network provides information and assistance to those affected by the disaster, whether they are a survivor, bereaved person or affected family member.

  This service is an additional area of support; it does not replace mainstream health and local authority social services available to UK nationals. The Tsunami Support Network can be contacted on 0845 054 7474 or www.tsunamisupportnetwork.org.uk
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