EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM TO

THE COMPANIES (FEES FOR INSPECTION AND COPYING OF COMPANY RECORDS) REGULATIONS 2007

2007 No. 2612

1. This explanatory memorandum has been prepared by the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform and is laid before Parliament by Command of Her Majesty.

2. Description

2.1 This instrument prescribes the fees payable by a person who wishes to exercise a right under the Companies Act 2006 to inspect a company record or to be provided with a copy of a company record.

3. Matters of special interest to the Joint Committee on Statutory Instruments

3.1 None.

4. Legislative Background

4.1 This instrument replaces the fees prescribed in Schedule 2 to the Companies (Inspection and Copying of Registers, Indices and Documents) Regulations 1991 (SI 19991/1998) and in Schedule 2 to the Companies (Inspection and Copying of Registers, Indices and Documents) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1993 (S.R. (N.I.) 1993 No.66).

5. Territorial Extent and Application

5.1 This instrument applies to all of the United Kingdom.


6.1 As the instrument is subject to negative resolution procedure and does not amend primary legislation, no statement is required.

7. Policy background

7.1 The Companies Act 2006 requires all companies to permit inspection and to provide copies of specified company records. The records for which there is a public right of access include registers of members and directors’ service contracts. There are restricted rights to other records, e.g. only a company’s members have rights of access to records of resolutions. Where a copy of a record is required to be provided, the company is able to charge a prescribed fee. Companies are also able to charge a prescribed fee for inspection of records. The objective is to ensure that companies can recover the reasonable costs they incur when complying with statutory requirements to permit inspection or to provide a copy of a record.
8. **Impact**

8.1 An Impact Assessment is attached to this memorandum.

8.2 There is no impact on the public sector.

9. **Contact**

Anne Scrope at the Department for Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform 0207 215 2194 or e-mail: anne.scrope@berr.gsi.gov.uk can answer any queries regarding the instrument.
What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary?
Company law requires companies to provide access to specified company records. The fees that companies may charge for this access is set by Regulations. The existing Regulations must be replaced when the relevant provisions of the Companies Act 2006 replace those in the Companies Act 1985.

What are the policy objectives and the intended effects?
Fees are prescribed so that companies can recover reasonable costs incurred in meeting this statutory obligation.

What policy options have been considered? Please justify any preferred option.
A. No change to current fees.
B. Adjust the current fees only for inflation since 1991
C. Preferred option: adjust the current fees for inflation and changes in other costs.

When will the policy be reviewed to establish the actual costs and benefits and the achievement of the desired effects?
2013

Ministerial Sign-off:
I have read the Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that (a) it represents a fair and reasonable view of the expected costs, benefits and impact of the policy, and (b) that the benefits justify the costs.
Signed by the responsible Minister:
Stephen Timms
Minister of State for Competitiveness
Date: 6th September 2007
**SUMMARY: ANALYSIS & EVIDENCE**

**Policy Option C:** Adjust the current fees for inflation and other changes in costs

### ANNUAL COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One off (Transition)</th>
<th>Average Annual Cost (excluding one-off)</th>
<th>Total cost (PV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those making and those responding to requests will be potentially affected by the new fee structure. But other changes in CA06 mean it is unlikely that fees will be charged in many instances other than requests handled by commercial registrars on behalf of companies. This means the transitional costs will be minimal.

#### Other key factors
- A few companies will not be able to recover their costs for requests for extracts of up to 100 entries from their registers.

### ANNUAL BENEFITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One off</th>
<th>Average Annual Benefit (excluding one-off)</th>
<th>Total Benefit PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fees would be at levels so that, on the one hand, companies can recover all reasonable costs in meeting most requests for access and, on the other, companies cannot use the fees to discourage access.

### KEY Assumption/Sensitivities Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price Base</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Net Benefit Range (NPV)</th>
<th>NET BENEFIT (NPV Best Estimate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>£-£</td>
<td>£</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- What is the geographic coverage of the policy/option? UK
- On what date will the policy be implemented? 1.10.07
- Which organisation(s) will enforce the policy? Companies House
- What is the total annual cost of enforcement for these organisations? nil
- Will implementation go beyond minimum EU requirements? Not applicable
- What is the value of the proposed offsetting measure per year? Not applicable
- What is the value of changes in green gas emissions? Not applicable
- Will the proposal have a significant impact on competition? No
- Annual cost per organisation (excluding one-off)
  - Micro: 0
  - Small: 0
  - Med: 0
  - Large: 0
- Are any of these organisations exempt? No No No No

### Impact on Admin Burdens Baseline (2005 prices)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase of</th>
<th>Decrease of</th>
<th>Net Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence Base
for Summary Sheets

1. The Companies Act 2006 (CA06) requires all companies to permit inspection and to provide copies of specified company records. Where copies are permitted, the company will always be able to charge a prescribed fee. Companies will also be to charge a prescribed fee for inspection of some records by some people. Table 1 shows the position as it will be under CA06 and also the current position under the Companies Act 1985.

2. The fees are paid to companies by those using their statutory right of access to the companies’ records. There are no payments to Government or any public authority, ie the fees are transfers wholly within the private sector. We understand that many companies waive their right to the prescribed fees except for copies of 1,000s of entries from registers. It is widely believed that such requests for copies are for improper purposes. It is likely that there will be fewer such requests under CA06 as provides a safeguard against abuses of the right of access to companies’ registers of members’ and registers of debenture holders. It requires any request for access to include the name and address of the person seeking access and say what the information will be used for and whether it will be shared with anyone else and, if so, to whom and for what purpose. The company must, within 5 working days, either comply with the request for access or apply to the court. The court will allow the company not to comply if it is not satisfied that the access is being sought for a proper purpose. A similar safeguard is provided for public companies’ registers of interests in shares disclosed.

3. The current fees date back to 1991. They are set by Schedule 2 of the Companies (Inspection and Copying of Registers, Indices and Documents) Regulations 1991 (SI 1991/1998). The fees are:
   - £2.50 for inspection for each hour or part thereof;
   - £0.10 per hundred words or part thereof for copies of trust deeds and minutes of meetings and for copies of all other records and registers:
   - £2.50 for the first 100 entries or part thereof;
   - £20.00 for the next 1000 entries or part thereof, and
   - £15.00 for every subsequent 1000 entries of part thereof.
   These regulations must be replaced when the relevant provisions of the CA06 come into force: this will be in two tranches on 01.10.07 and 01.10.08. Sections 116 – 119 of CA06, which relate to inspection and copying of companies’ registers of members, will come into force on 1.10.07. Therefore regulations prescribing the fees for this access are needed from that date. The Regulations also provide for the fees for a copy of directors’ service contracts, qualifying indemnity provision, records of resolutions and meetings, the report to members of the outcome of an investigation into interests in shares and the register of interests disclosed. From 01.10.08. these Regulations will be replaced by The Companies (Company Records and Fees) Regulations 2007.

4. For the Regulations under CA06, the objective is to set the fees at levels so that, on the one hand, companies can recover all reasonable costs in meeting a request for access and, on the other, companies cannot use the fees to discourage access. The fee needs to be appropriate for all companies noting that, for example, several companies’ registers of members have hundreds of thousands of entries but over 95 per cent of private companies and over 70 per cent of public companies have fewer than 5 members.

5. Option A is to keep the current fees, with the fee for copies of directors’ indemnities and directors’ service contracts (for which the right of access is new) set at the same as that for copies of trust deeds.

6. Option B is to adjust the current fees for inflation. If the 1991 fees were adjusted for inflation (and rounded), they would be:
   - £3.50 for inspection for each hour or part thereof;
   - £0.15 per hundred words or part thereof for copies of trust deeds, minutes of meetings, directors’ indemnities and directors’ service contracts
   and for copies of all other records and registers:

---

1 In practice, for companies limited by shares, members are shareholders
Option C is to revise the structure of the fees. Prior to 1991, the fee for copies of registers appears to have been based on the cost of a person copying out the material at issue, pre-dating the widespread use of electronic records. The 1991 fee structure is more realistic but does not reflect the reduction in the cost of providing copies. Noting that paragraphs 1-4 above contained 490 words and that the cost of photocopying an A4 page is commonly 10p, it is proposed that the prescribed fee for copies be 20p per thousand words. Draft Regulations and a draft Impact Assessment were published in May 2007. The fees proposed were:

- £3.50 for inspection for each hour or part thereof;
- 10 pence per five hundred words or part thereof for copies of records

and for copies of entries in registers provided:

- £3.50 for the first 50 entries
- £31.50 for the next 950 entries
- £20 for the next 4,000 entries
- £25 for every subsequent 5,000 entries

Respondents were content with the proposed fees for inspection and copies of records provided that cost of delivering the copy could also be charged. As regards copies in entries in registers, they made clear that the cost of providing copies of a few entries is higher than the fee proposed in the May draft as the information to be copied must first be extracted from the register. On the other hand, they pointed out that the cost of providing hundreds of thousands of entries does not vary with the number of entries copied. Noting the comments on the draft Impact Assessment and also that over 90 per cent of companies have fewer than 5 members, respondents’ views were sought on revised proposals for copies of entries in registers:

- Reasonable costs incurred in delivering the copy plus
- £1 for each of the first 10 entries
- £10 for the next 90 entries
- £20 for the next 900 entries
- £40 for the next 49,000 entries
- £40 for the remainder of the entries in the register

Respondents considered that £1 for each of the first 10 entries was too complex and that the cap should be set at a higher number of entries. In the light of these comments, the Regulations provide for the following fees.

- £5.00 for the first 5 entries
- £30 for the next 95 entries
- £30 for the next 900 entries
- £30 for the next 99,000 entries
- £30 for the remainder of the entries in the register

The actual fee paid is the cumulative fee. A comparison for Options A, B and C is set out below.
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>£7,507.50</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>£10,018.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>£15,007.50</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>£19,018.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record to which there is a right of access under the Companies Act 2006</td>
<td>Section Companies Act 2006</td>
<td>Access rights</td>
<td>Section Companies Act 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts relating to purchase of own shares</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>Inspection only. Free: public</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors’ indemnities</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>Inspection free; Fee for copy Members only.</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors’ service contracts</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Inspection free; Fee for copy Members only.</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documents relating to redemption or purchase of own shares out of capital</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>Inspection free; Fee for copy Members &amp; creditors only</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruments creating charges and register of charges</td>
<td>877 &amp; 892</td>
<td>Inspection only Fee: Members &amp; creditors Fee: Public</td>
<td>408 &amp; 423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records of resolutions, etc</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>Members Inspection free Fee for copy</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of debenture holder and its index</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>Inspection: free for Debenture holders; fee for all others. Fee for copy.</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of directors</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Inspection only. Fee for members; Fee for public</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of directors’ interests in share or debentures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interests in shares disclosed: report to members of outcome of investigation, the register and its index</td>
<td>807 &amp; 811</td>
<td>Inspection free; fee for copy public</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of members and its index</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Inspection: free for members; Fee for others. Fee for copy.</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of secretaries</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Inspection only. Fee for members; Fee for public</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust deed securing a debenture</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>Copy for fee. Holders of debenture only</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Specific Impact Tests - Checklist**

**Competition assessment.** Options A and B are likely to result in some companies being able to charge fees that discourage use of the statutory right of access to certain documents. While, in practice, many companies waive their right to collect fees, particularly when these exceed their costs, it would be better if the prescribed fees were no more than sufficient to ensure that a company can recover its reasonable costs in meeting a request for access. Option C is therefore preferred.

**Small firms Impact Test.** The fee structure is intended to ensure that the prescribed fees enable companies to recover their costs when providing copies of their full registers.

**Legal Aid.** The Regulations do not provide a sanction.

**Other tests.** The Regulations will not have an affect on environmental or social issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of testing undertaken</th>
<th>Results in Evidence Base? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Results annexed? (Y/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competition Assessment</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Firms Impact Test</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Development</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Assessment</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Environment</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race Equality</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Equality</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Equality</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Proofing</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>