Qualifications and Credit Framework

Determining full Level 2 threshold in the Qualifications and Credit Framework (QCF) for Sector Skills Councils/Sector Bodies/Standard Setting Bodies

Guidance

1. In November 2008 the Secretary of State agreed an interim definition for Full Level 2 until 31 August 2010. The interim definition for QCF qualifications is based on a threshold of 13 credits with content determined by the SSCs/SBs/SSBs. LSC has been remitted to disseminate this definition and to support SSCs/SBs/SSBs in the identification of full Level 2 and to undertake monitoring and evaluation of the definition in order to inform a final decision for 2010/11 onwards. For the duration of the interim definition it is the responsibility of SSCs/SBs/SSBs to determine the content and appropriate threshold for their sectors, sub-sectors and occupations.

2. This guidance has been developed to assist SSCs/SBs/SSBs to take account of relevant information and consider appropriate evidence when articulating full Level 2 thresholds by sectors or sub-sector. The guidance is to help SSCs/SBs/SSBs and LSC deal with the approval of existing qualifications in the QCF in the first instance, and to take forward the ongoing development and approval of new qualifications. It is intended that the guidance should support transparency, consistency and a minimum level of comparability across sectors as full Level 2 thresholds are defined.

3. LSC expects that SSCs/SSBs/SBs will work closely with Awarding Organisations (AOs) in the identification and confirmation of full Level 2 thresholds. It is important that full Level 2 thresholds are communicated early to AOs in order to inform future and ongoing qualification development.

4. As already indicated, LSC will be using the Sector Qualification Strategy Action Plans (SQS APs) to inform funding eligibility decisions, and SSCs/SBs/SSBs will advise LSC on which key vocational qualifications should be funded. In the context of full Level 2 LSC will be reviewing the current funding priorities to take account of the new interim definition to ensure public funding is supporting the right provision. Further work will also be undertaken to establish and manage affordability. Any changes will be communicated as soon as they become available.

---

1 Please note that funding rates for qualifications are determined by the input and therefore not all full Level 2 qualifications will be funded at the same rate. The funding rate is likely to differentiate between qualifications by their mode of delivery, cost and input required.
5. LSC will convene a small working group of SSCs/SSBs/SSBs to take forward ongoing work and issues surrounding the identification and confirmation of full Level 2. At the same time LSC will ensure early dissemination of information to AOs and work, through the LSC AO Advisory Group, to ensure that AOs are fully aware of and can feed back on ongoing developments.

6. The table below details the proposed guidance for determining the threshold:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Information to be provided by SSC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content and sector fit</td>
<td>SSCs/SSBs to confirm that they have taken into account the following when setting the full Level 2 credit threshold:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ The full Level 2 credit threshold for their sector, sub-sector(s) and occupations is aligned to National Occupational Standards (NOS), which is up to date and approved/endorsed by employers. It is expected NOS will be a reference point for all provision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ The full Level 2 threshold is set in accordance with the relevant SQS and Action Plan, and therefore provides the skills, knowledge and understanding that provide a platform for employability in the sector, sub-sector(s) or occupations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ The full Level 2 credit threshold is comparable with thresholds set by other SSCs/SSBs with similar footprints, and is aligned where there are allied occupations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional evidence will be required for the purposes of monitoring:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Information regarding predecessor qualifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Details of who has been consulted when establishing the requirements for full Level 2 threshold (Awarding Organisations, key employers, professional bodies etc).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Other quality indicators – e.g. professional endorsements, quality standards obtained etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficiency of threshold</td>
<td>SSCs/SSBs to confirm credit threshold for full Level 2 in their sector, sub-sector(s) and occupations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This should be considered in line with the options given below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Represents minimum level of competency in an occupational area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Represents appropriate number of credits, and volume of skills and knowledge to gain sustainable employment in the sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Enables an employee to move to next level of employment in the sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Gives a ‘licence to practice’ in a profession (where appropriate).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Qualifications below 13 credits should be approved only by exception, and be backed by rationale and evidence consistent with the relevant SQS and Action Plan.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the threshold is set such that there are full qualifications as Award, Certificate and Diploma at Level 2, <strong>SSCs/SSBs should have fully considered the implications and need to provide:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                           | ▪ Rationale for regarding an Award and a Certificate and a Diploma at
the same level as full qualifications in one sub-sector or occupational area.

- Employability outcomes that justify fullness at each stage.
- Issues regarding learner entitlement considering LSC policy of firstness (please see Annex A).
- If there are any implications for progression routes between these qualifications.

### Primary purpose

**SSCs/SBs/SSBs to confirm** what is the specified main purpose and sub-purpose for their qualifications as defined on the list supplied by the regulators and entered on the web-based accreditation system (WBA).

As a guide LSC would expect that all full Level 2 qualifications should be within the main purpose definition D (as part of the OfQual *Identifying purposes for qualifications in the Qualifications and Credit Framework; February 2009*), and the relevant sub-purpose categories as follows:

D. Confirm occupational competence and/or ‘licence to practice’:

D1. Confirm competence in an occupational role to the standards required;

D2. Confirm the ability to meet a ‘licence to practice’ or other legal requirements made by the relevant sector, professional or industry body.

It is acknowledged that there might be secondary sub-purpose fields – for example, within the purpose definition E to reflect the breath of qualification.

**Note that not all qualifications with the purpose definition D will be automatically full Level 2. They need to be approved and aligned to SQS and NOS as previously described.**

### Portability

**SSCs/SBs/SSBs to confirm** that it has been considered if the credit threshold is going to ensure that qualifications are portable for the individual, including the following:

- That level of literacy, numeracy, ICT and other generic skills are in line with the NOS minimum entry requirement for that profession (specify number of credits for literacy, numeracy and ICT required at this level).
- Qualification is transferable across employers in the sector.
- Are there other transferable credits?

### Progression and employability

**SSCs/SBs/SSBs to confirm** progression routes:

- Is there a sufficient threshold to progress to Level 3?
- Is further learning needed to progress to Level 3?
- Specify what kind of professional or employment related progression will be enabled.

For further information please contact:
Annex A: Funding Scenarios for Full Level 2 Qualifications

LSC Funding Model

The LSC statement of priorities for 2009/10 clearly sets out the LSC investment strategy in further education skills and training and highlights the flexibilities within the funding system to fund priorities that do not immediately lead to full qualifications. Examples of particular policy areas where this is the case is the Integrated Employment and Skills Agenda and the SME flexibilities within the Train to Gain programme.

The importance of SSCs/SBs/SSBs’ decisions about what constitutes a full qualification will be critical to ensuring that when a learner exercises their entitlement to a funded first full Level 2 qualification, it gives them the platform for employability to enter and sustain employment.

Funding is prioritised to a learner’s first full Level 2 qualification\(^2\). Any subsequent qualifications at the same level would not be automatically entitled to full public funding. The implication is that if the threshold for a full Level 2 qualification is set lower than what is deemed necessary by the sector for subsequent employment or progression opportunities, the situation could arise where an individual takes and achieves the full qualification, uses their entitlement to public funding (i.e. the course is fully funded) but then subsequently has to participate in further learning at their own expense to meet the sectors’ requirements for employment or progression into further learning.

The two examples detailed below illustrate what may happen given different scenarios arising from the SSCs/SBs/SSBs’ decision of where the threshold for a full Level 2 qualification is set. Scenario A illustrates the correct model leading to desired outcomes and aligned with LSC funding priorities, while Scenario B illustrates a model that leads to perverse consequences for learners, employers and providers and should therefore be avoided.

**Scenario A**

If a Certificate or Diploma size qualification has been set as a ‘full’ Level 2 by a SSC/SSB/SB and this is recognised to be the requirement for progression into employment, or to a full Level 3 qualification then this fits with the LSC funding priorities.

This model will enable learners to understand how to use their entitlement to a publicly funded first full Level 2 qualification to progress either into employment within the sector or onto their first full Level 3. In this scenario a learner can achieve clear progression and/or employability objectives, and

\(^2\)With the exception being those repeat qualifications identified as repeat funding within TTG additional flexibilities.
employers have confidence that a full Level 2 qualification confers skills necessary for a particular type of job. It is also expected that a full Level 2 will be accepted by employers across the relevant sector, and it would provide sufficient level of transferable skills. Thus a full Level 2 gives learners sustainable options for employment and progression, and employers an understanding and confidence that full Level 2 qualification equates with their employment requirements.

**Scenario B**

If a Certificate size qualification has been set as a full Level 2, but employers in the sector commonly require a Diploma to progress to employment or for progression to learning at Level 3, then the qualification being identified as a funding priority by the SSC does not actually meet employer’s needs.

This would mean that an individual might use their entitlement for their first full Level 2, but on successful completion, would still fall short of employment and progression requirements and hence would need to engage in further learning at Level 2.

This scenario would arise whenever the full Level 2 criteria are set lower than the sector requirement for employment, or the requirements for progression to Level 3. For instance, if a Certificate was assigned a full Level 2 status yet a Diploma was what was required by the sector, the Certificate would not be prioritised for funding as it does not meet employability and/or progression criteria. If this scenario was to occur, it would mean that employers would have negative perception of full Level 2, because it would not give learners skills necessary for employment.

Equally where an award size Level 2 was identified as a full Level 2, the current LSC funding policy would not prioritise funding for the learner to continue and complete a Diploma size qualification at the same level as their existing qualification.

The impact on learners would be that they had achieved their first full Level 2 and therefore used up their entitlement and not be able to move forward to employment, or further learning at Level 3.

This could have further significance, as this option might lead to further perverse consequences in relation to delivery and provider behaviour, where it might become economically attractive to offer learners the shortest and cheapest qualifications. The impact on learner retention rates and qualification success rate may also be significant in provider decisions.