Known issues for LIS 15.01 Beta release 2

1/ Fixed in Beta release 2 A09_A10_LAD_1 should not exist
Validation rule A09_A10_LAD_1 existed in an initial, unpublished, draft of the validation rules but does not exist in full versions: “If the funding stream is ‘ESF’ or ‘Other’, the learning aim type must not be a soft outcome.” The rule evolved in published versions to A09_A10_E22_LAD_1, which is correctly implemented in the LIS.

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.

2/ Fixed in Beta release 2 A09_A27_A31_H31_LAD_1 does not validate level ‘H’ codes correctly
Validation rule A09_A27_A31_H31_LAD_1 does not trigger for Notional_NVQ_Level_Codes of ‘H’. It triggers correctly for Notional_NVQ_Level_Codes of 4 and 5.

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.

3/ Fixed in Beta release 2 A11a_A12a_1 validates against A11b and A12b
The validation rule A11a_A12a_1 has been miscoded and uses exactly the same code as A11b_A12b_1 so only picks up where a12b >000 where a11b is not between 561 to 586

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.

4/ Fixed in Beta release 2 A46a_A46b_A32_1 should be deleted
Validation rule A46a_A46b_A32_1 has not been deleted – “If the learning aims is delivered under a WBL basic skills pilot [A46=27] the the guided learning hours must not be 00000”

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.

5/ Fixed in Beta release 2 E22_4 does not trigger for old-style dossier numbers with zero as the objective
Validation rule E22_4 does not trigger for old-style dossier numbers having zero as the final character.

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.
6/  Fixed in Beta release 2 L11_L28a_1 and L11_L28b_1 triggers for learners that are 23 on 1 August 2007

The metadata is wrong for these rules. It enforces that the age should not be greater than or equal to 23 on 1 August 2007. It should be just greater-than. This affects previous years’ LIS software.

Suggested action: Be aware of this issue when testing the production of ILR files. This will be fixed in a later release.

7/  Fixed in Beta release 2 FE DLAF report no longer fits one learner to each page

The addition of Awarding Body Code and Notional Level to the FE Detailed Learner and Aim Funding (DLAF) report has meant that a single-aim learner no longer fits on one page.

Suggested action: None. This will be fixed in a later release.

8/  Fixed in Beta release 2 TtG DLAF report incorrectly formats the ‘totals’ details

The learner totals box in the Train to Gain Detailed Learner and Aim Funding (DLAF) report sometimes includes periods where there is no funding, and the funding lines are not always in period order. The totals shown are correct.

Suggested action: None. This will be fixed in a later release.

9/  Fixed in Beta release 2 FE Funding: OLASS uplift factor incorrect

The new Offender Learning And Skills Service uplift factor for offenders in the community is incorrectly set as 1.0. It should be 1.33.

Suggested action: None. This will be fixed in a later release.

10/ Fixed in Beta release 2 TtG Funding Summary Report can show a misleading Area Cost Factor.

The TtG Funding Summary Report shows one Area Cost Factor in the header of the report. Where a provider has multiple contracts across different local LSCs, the factor could be different for each local LSC.

Suggested action: Providers contracting with more than one LSC, should use the TtG Funding Summary by LSC report instead. The Area Cost Factor will be removed from the TtG Funding Summary Report.
From previous versions of LIS:

1/ Attempting to open LISdata2000.mdb results in the error message "This database is in an unexpected state; Microsoft Access can't open it."

The LIS database has been changed to Microsoft Access 2000 from Microsoft Access 97. As a result of this change, the error message given when a user attempts to open LISdata.mdb is as above, instead of the "You do not have the necessary permissions. . ." error that displayed in previous LIS versions.

**Suggested Action:** Open the LISData2000.mdb from the shortcut in the folder C:\LIS\Release14.

2/ The Options-Maintenance-Compact Database function does not work once the funding viewer has been used.

**Suggested action:** Close and restart the LIS, then use the compact database function before using the funding viewer.

3/ When exporting a report from batch import, the filename of the export cannot be changed.

When setting up an export of a report from batch import the browser seemingly allows a new filename to be created for the export, however, when the report is exported the default filename is used. This can sometimes result in a previous export being unexpectedly overwritten.

**Suggested action:** None. Users should be aware that these reports always use their default filenames.

4/ Opening the Provider Data Update file from outside LIS produces the message "This database is in an unexpected state; Microsoft Access can't open it."

The Provider Data Updates are not intended to be opened outside the LIS – this can be the result. The Provider Data Update file is intended to be opened from within the LIS application:

Within the LIS, select 'Options' then on the 'Maintenance' tab select 'Update Data' and specify the location of the unzipped update file.

**Suggested action:** None.