

01/07/98 10:22 01232 700790 [REDACTED]
 01/07/98 10:19 C&D LISNASHARRAGH → COMM SEC

NO.643 P001/002

**SUBJECT: ICPC DISSATISFACTION WITH C&D INVESTIGATION -
 COLIN DUFFY AND OTHERS - FALLBACK COMPROMISE
 POSITION**

*from com sec
 9/7/195/21*

It appears the Commission's problem with the investigation arises broadly in four general areas:-

Administrative:

Failure of 'dropped' copies of ICPC and Ex-Superintendent P 155 correspondence to arrive with ACC 'B' & 'G' who may have been able to direct action to remedy the gathering problems. In this connection it may be better, in cases where the Commission wishes to draw the attention of Chief Constable/ACC 'B' & 'G' to problems with investigations that direct contact is adopted.

Housekeeping:

Failure of police witnesses to keep appointments on time and related date/diary matters. If this occurs due to bad faith it is unacceptable. However anecdotal evidence from investigating officers is available of some supervisors cancelling or turning up late for interviews, but it is accepted that there is always a perfectly understandable reason for same. The Commission will understand that on occasions duty commitments will cause delays in appointments.

Attitude of Police Officers Being Interviewed As Witnesses/Involved Officers:

Attitude is a subjective issue and it has to be said that persons subject to investigative procedures - both Police and non-Police - are not at their most relaxed state. While Police officers accept that they are accountable for their actions I expect repeated complaints against an officer, who feels he is only doing his job, gets a bit tedious. I think that the Commission realises that in some complaint cases