

Commander Mulvihill from the Metropolitan Police was nominated to lead the re-investigation.

22. In addition, the Chief Constable proposed that, as part of the Metropolitan Police's terms of reference, Commander Mulvehill also be invited to review the RUC's conduct of the case and make recommendations accordingly. I expressed concerns about the same person undertaking both tasks.
23. Subsequently I wrote to the Chief Constable confirming that the Commission was content for Commander Mulvihill to be appointed to re-investigate the complaints cases. In the same correspondence I underlined the fact that, while the Chief Constable was commissioning a review of the RUC's management of the case, the ICPC's role was not within Commander Mulvihill's remit (RNI 103.126). I kept the ICPC Members apprised of these developments in a confidential memorandum ( )  
RNI 223.023-024
24. Commander Mulvihill and his team from the Metropolitan Police were based at the ICPC rather than at RUC Headquarters. This worked well and afforded easy communication between them Geralyn McNally and Jennifer Mitchell. If the Mulvihill team were uncertain about anything, they would check back with ICPC colleagues. Commander Mulvihill was very respectful of Geralyn McNally's views and directions and they seemed to work in a very collegial atmosphere. I had a brief meeting with Rosemary Nelson immediately after she had made her statements to the investigation team. She indicated her full cooperation with the investigation, but was under no illusion about the likely outcome being no action against any RUC officer.
25. While, at the conclusion of the re-investigation, Ms McNally was happy to provide the Certificate of Satisfaction, she was also determined that her report on the case, which would be made available to complainants, would not gloss over the serious shortcomings in the RUC's initial mismanagement of the complaint, and in particular Chief Inspector P146 conduct (RNI 223.324-330). She was not prepared to conceal the difficulties that were there and the manner in which the initial investigation