

scheme it only provided you and your family with a level of protection whilst you were in your home. Undoubtedly the security measures implemented, for example, cameras, lights, video phones and so on, were a huge deterrent to terrorists. However, it would not stop people being attacked or killed. People had to ensure that they used the security equipment provided, such as switch on security lights, use the video phone and so on. Even then, all the security measures could do was buy some time for the target to get out of the line of fire and prevent an attacker entering the house. The security measures were designed to provide a level of physical protection but not to lower an individual's levels of vigilance. The most vulnerable time for any person was when he or she was travelling to and from work or to and from the venues where they regularly socialised. Leaving the house was when an individual had to be most vigilant. If possible people kept their car in the garage for fear of car bombs and had a remote control garage door to prevent them being attacked on their way to the car. The under car booby trap device was regularly used by both Republican and Loyalist terror organisations. Where a person believed they might be under threat from terrorists it was routine to check under and around their car for anything suspicious. Police advice on personal security, had it been sought, would have included this type of briefing.

38. The KPPS scheme would only have given a level of protection in the home. For example, it would not have stopped a bomb being placed under a car out on a driveway. Ms Nelson was a prominent solicitor involved in high profile cases. She might well receive attention from paramilitary groups. She had received death threats. In the circumstances, I believe she had a personal duty of care to be vigilant and to take what ever steps necessary to mitigate the perceived threat to her safety. I had suggested to Ms Foster that she advise the CAJ to tell Ms Nelson to go to her police to get some practical advice on her personal security. However, as far as I am aware, Ms Nelson did not do this. I can understand that it may have been difficult for her to approach the police if she did not