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Project Update

Project plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>24/8/05</td>
<td>31/10/05</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution Design</td>
<td>21/11/05</td>
<td>17/3/06</td>
<td>End date will be affected by PCN on fee changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Not yet started</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not yet started</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The solution design phase started on 21 November 2005. This included specification of 65 key use cases out of a total of 208 identified. Of these 13 have been finalised to date. Some have been taking longer than planned and others have been put on hold pending a decision on proposed changes to fees.

A proposed change to the fee structure for NL and PBR has had a significant impact on requirements. Although the new fee structure is currently out for consultation with a closing date of mid March the decision has been made to progress development based on the new fee structure being introduced. A project change note (PCN) has been raised with IBM and they have estimated that this will add a further ten to twelve weeks to the original delivery date for the solution design. The formal response to the PCN should be available shortly. The delay is a result of delaying work on use cases affected by the change and delaying work on user interfaces and test planning until completed use cases are reworked.

IBM have recently reassessed the project to provide an indication of the overall cost of development based on the current scope. Indications show that costs would be significantly above the current level of budget bid for. A further bid for funding has been made for 2006/07 and a workshop is to be held between the IBM analysts/developers and business project manager to consider how scope/development can be reduced to minimise costs.

REFLEX will be implemented on the CARA (Common Architectural Approach) platform. This allows REFLEX to make use of existing common components such as Single Sign On and ensures the capabilities developed by the project can be reused in the future. Current planned dates for environment availability do line up with our needs, but there are still issues to be resolved and this represents a key dependency external to the REFLEX project.

The IBM Project Manager for REFLEX has changed to Peter Cripps.

Third Party Dependencies
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NIAB
An initial meeting has been held with NIAB to identify the data that is to be sent to them via an interface from REFLEX. Current proposals ensure NIAB will receive all the data they currently receive from the PV system but it needs to be considered whether any further technical information (e.g. details of hybrids) should also be sent to NIAB.

An SLA is to be drawn up between PVS and NIAB to cover the work required by NIAB to set up the REFLEX interface and costs for this will need to be agreed.

ASD
A meeting was held with ASD on 30 January to clarify points on the proposed new interface and also to consider how PVS staff will make use of RAMIS under the new arrangements. Interim fee arrangements, prior to the introduction of REFLEX were also considered and ASD would be happy to manually carry out invoicing on behalf of PVS until the REFLEX interface is in place. Operations unit will need to liaise with ASD directly about these arrangements.

User Group
Contact has been re-established with the interested Industrial users and an initial meeting of the group will be held early in the development phase so they can have an input into the design of the system.

Planned activities for the coming month

- Finalise fee change requirements and sign off PCN
- Rework consolidated requirements document
- Progress work on use cases
- Workshop on RAC
- Carry out workshop to consider possibilities for streamlining development/scope
- Data migration and data model to be progressed