Data and Business Rules — Learning Disability (LD) Indicator Set							
Author	HSCIC - QOF Business Rules team	Version No	30.0	Version Date	10/10/2014		

New GMS Contract QOF Implementation

Dataset and Business Rules

Learning Disability (LD) Indicator Set

Amendment History:

Version	Date	Amendment History		
VCISIOII	Date	The version number starts at 7.1 in order to		
		coincide with existing datasets and business		
		rules.		
7.1	21-Nov-2005	From Phil Brown		
7.2	22-Nov-2005	Amended following review by Peter Horsfield		
7.3	3-Dec-2005	Draft revised for internal review		
	3-Dec-2003	Amended following internal & 4 Countries		
7.4	24-Feb-2006	review		
8.0	15-Mar-2006	Signed off following 4 Country review		
8.1	18-May-2006	Responding to queries raised Amend wording for Note 3		
8.5	18-May-2006	Approved by NHSE		
8.6	20-Oct-2006	April Read Code Release April SNOMED CT Release October Read Code Release Corrections and amendments following feedback		
8.7	16-Nov-2006	Response to 4 Country Review:		
9.0	30-Nov-2006	Approved by NHSE		
9.1	11-Apr-2007	April 2007 Read Code Release		
10.0	18-Jun-2007	Signed off following 4 Country review		
10.1	27-Aug-2007	April 2007 SNOMED CT Release		
		October 2007 Read Code Release		
10.2	23-Sep-2007	October 2007 SNOMED CT Release		
11.0	28-Nov-2007	Signed off following 4 Country review		
11.1	30-Jun-2008	April 2008 Read Code Release April 2008 SNOMED CT Release QOF Review 2007		
12.0	24-Jul-2008	Signed off following 4 Country review		
12.1	06-Oct-2008	October 2008 Read Code Release October 2008 SNOMED CT Release		
13.0	05-Dec-2008	Signed off following 4 Country review		
13.1	14-Feb-2009	QOF Review 2008 – Text change only		
13.2	09-Mar-2009	Amendment following NHSE review		
13.3	27-Apr-2009	Amendment following Four-Country Review		
14.0	01-May-2009	Signed off following 4 Country review		
14.1	25-June-2009	April 2009 Read Code Release		
15.0	17-August-2009	Signed off following 4 Country review		
15.1	12-October-2009	October 2009 Clinical Codes Release		
15.2	28-October-2009	October 2009 Clinical Code Release review		
16.0	02-December-2009	Sign off following 4 Country review		
16.1	05-May-2010			
17.0	07-May-2010	Internal NHS IC review. April 2010 Read Code Release following NHS		
18.0	29-October-2010	IC review. October 2010 Read Code Release following NHS IC review.		
19.0	13-December-2010	Signed off following 4 Country review.		
20.0	13-May-2011	April 2011 Read Code Release following NHS		
21.0	10-November-2011	IC review. October 2011 Read Code Release following NHS IC review.		
22.0	12-December-2011	Signed off following 4 Country review		
April 2012 Read Code		April 2012 Read Code Release following HSCIC		
23.0 31-May-2012 April 2012 Read Cod review				

Version Date: 10/10/2014

24.0	31-October-2012	October 2012 Read Code Release following HSCIC review
25.0	28-March-2013	Signed off following consultation. Document name changed from 'Learning Disabilities' to 'Learning Disability (LD)'
26.0	01-June-2013	April 2013 Read Code Release following HSCIC review
27.0	25-October-2013	October 2013 Read Code Release following HSCIC review
Dates_1415	17-January-2014	Review of proposed date changes for QOF 2014/15
Jan14_Review	23-January-2014	Internal review of changes for 2014/15
28.0	28-March-2014	Signed off following review and negotiations. Changes made to incorporate new date terminology
29.0	27-June-2014	April 2014 Read Code Release following HSCIC review
30.0	10-October-2014	October 2014 Read Code Release following HSCIC review

This document is produced by HSCIC on behalf of NHS England. It is published in PDF format. If anyone intends to re-use the information contained within it or publish in another format then they should acknowledge the source document, HSCIC and NHS England.

Version Date: 10/10/2014

New GMS contract Q&O framework implementation

Version Date: 10/10/2014

Dataset and business rules - Learning Disability (LD) indicator set

Notes

- 1) QOF has been in operation since 2003 as the landscape within the NHS and Primary Care changes, the QOF dataset and rulesets must change in accordance with that new landscape. QOF is categorised as one of many Quality Services and a Quality Service has a start date (Quality Service Start Date) and an end date (Quality Service End Date). For QOF these reflect the QOF Year (i.e. 1st April to 31st March).
- 2) The specified dataset and rulesets are to support analysis of extracted data to reflect the status at a specified point in time of patient records held by the practice. In the context of this document that specified time point is designated the use of a number of dates. The dates are as follows
 - a) **ACHIEVEMENT_DAT**: The date up to which patient information is considered when determining the output for each extraction.
 - For QOF 2014/15, ACHIEVEMENT_DAT will vary for each extraction depending on the reporting period for that extraction, e.g. for the end of *September extraction* it would have a value of *30.09.2014*; for the end of *March extraction* it would have a value of *31.03.2015*.
 - b) **PAYMENTPERIODEND_DAT**: The end date of the period for which payments are made for a given Quality Service. For any given Quality Service there will be one or more payment periods.
 - For QOF 2014/15, PAYMENTPERIODEND_DAT is 31.03.2015
 - c) QUALITY_SERVICE_START_DAT (QSSD): The start of the period during which a GP Practice provides the Quality Service
 - For QOF 2014/15, QUALITY_SERVICE_START_DAT (QSSD) is 01.04.2014, however it is not utilised within the QOF dataset and rulesets.
 - d) **QUALITY_SERVICE_END_DAT (QSED)**: The end of the period during which a GP Practice provides the Quality Service
 - For QOF 2014/15, QUALITY SERVICE END DAT (QSED) is 31.03.2015
- 3) When interpreting these dates midnight is to be taken as meaning
 - a) **for the 'start of a period'**: the midnight is at the start of that day, For example; "If <u>CSMOK DAT</u> > (PAYMENTPERIODEND_DAT 24 months)"

 This example is used to determine if a code has been recorded in the 24 months preceding end of the payment period. If PAYMENTPERIODEND_DAT has a value of 31.03.2015, then this condition uses a value of 31.03.2013, but to be true the recorded code must be **after** 31.03.2013 and therefore this equates to the midnight between 31.03.2013 and 01.04.2013. This means information effective on 31st March will be excluded but information effective on 1st April will be included for the extraction.
 - b) for the 'end of a period': the midnight at the end of that day, For example; "Earliest <= ACHIEVEMENT_DAT"</p>
 - This example is used to determine if a recorded code has been recorded before the achievement date. If ACHIEVEMENT_DAT has a value of 30th September (i.e. the end of September extraction) then this condition uses a value of 30.09.2014, but to be true the recorded code must be **on or before** 30.09.2014 and therefore this equates to the midnight between 30.09.2014 and 01.10.2014. This means information effective on 30th September will be included but information effective on 1st October will be excluded from the extraction.

c) for Patient Age: the midnight at the end of that day, For example;
 "Patients age (years) at ACHIEVEMENT_DAT"

This example is used to determine a patients age, in years, at the achievement date. If ACHIEVEMENT_DAT has a value of 30th September (i.e. the end of September extraction) then this condition determines a patient age as of 30.09.2014. Therefore this equates to the midnight between 30.09.2014 and 01.10.2014.

Version Date: 10/10/2014

- 4) To support accurate determination of the population of patients to which the indicators should relate (the denominator population) these rulesets have been compiled with a prior assumption all of the dates (described in point 2 above) are specified prior to extraction of data and are available for computation in the data extraction routine. The dates are required to be included in the data extraction to support processing of rules that are dependent upon them. It is possible that an alternative approach could be adopted in which rules to determine the denominator population by registration status would be applied as a component of rule processing. If this second approach were to be adopted it would be essential to specify default time criteria for determining the registration characteristics of the denominator population during the data extraction process. Additionally there would be a requirement to supplement the dataset and rulesets to support identification of the appropriate denominator population.
- 5) Clinical codes quoted are (where known) from the October 2014 release of Read codes version 2 and clinical terms version 3 (CTV3). The codes are shown within the document as a 5 character value to show that the Read Code is for a 5-Byte system.
 - i) Where a '%' wildcard is displayed, the Read Code is filled to 5 characters with full-stops. When implementing a search for the Read Code, only the non full-stop values should be used in the search, For example, a displayed Read Code of c1...% should be implemented as a search for c1%, i.e. should find c1 and any of it's children.
 - ii) Where a range of read codes are displayed, the Read Code is filled to 5 characters with full-stops. When implementing the search, only the non full-stop values should be used in the search, For example, a displayed Read Code range of G342. G3z.. should find all codes between G342 and G3z (including any children where applicable).

The version number starts at 7.1 in order to coincide with existing datasets and business rules.

- 6) Datasets comprise a specification of two elements:
 - a) Patient selection criteria. These are the criteria used to determine the patient population against whom the indicators are to be applied.
 - i) Registration status. This determines the current patient population at the practice
 - ii) Diagnostic code status. This determines the current patient population (register size) for a given clinical condition

There are three scenarios within the diagnostic code status, these are where

- There is a single morbidity patient population (disease register) required (e.g. within CHD). Where this occurs, a single set of rules for identifying the patient population is provided.
- There is a single co-morbidity patient population (disease register) required (e.g. within Smoking). Where this occurs, a set of rules for each morbidity is provided. A patient must only be included in the patient population (register size) once.

There are multiple patient populations (disease registers) required (e.g. within Heart Failure). Where this occurs, a single set of rules for *each* patient population is provided.
 N.B. where there are multiple patient populations (disease registers), it is possible that one or more will also be a co-morbidity patient population (e.g. within Depression)

Version Date: 10/10/2014

Where this occurs, details of which register population applies to which indicator(s) are provided. Where the register size applies to an indicator, this is the base denominator population for that indicator.

b) Clinical data extraction criteria. These are the data items to be exported from the clinical system for subsequent processing to calculate points allocations. They are expressed in the form of a MIQUEST 'Report-style' extract of data.

The record of each patient that satisfies the appropriate selection criteria for a given indicator will be interrogated against the clinical data criteria (also appropriate to that indicator). A report of the data contained in the selected records will be exported in the form of a fixed-format tabular report. Each selected patient will be represented by a single row in the report, unless the operator "ALL" is used.

The "ALL" statement is used within the Qualifying Criteria for the Clinical data extraction criteria. Typically the selection for a READCODE_COD cluster field is based on a date of "LATEST" or "EARLIEST". The "ALL" statement is used to select all occurrences of any of the codes within the READCODE_COD cluster. It selects an array of instances, of which there may be more than one for each patient.

Rows will contain a fixed number of fields each containing a single data item. The number of fields in each row and their data content will be determined by the clinical data criteria. Data items that match the clinical data criteria will be exported in the relevant field of the report. Where there is no data to match a specific clinical criterion a null field will be exported.

- 7) Rulesets are specified as multiple rules to be processed sequentially. Processing of rules should terminate as soon as a 'Reject' or 'Select' condition is encountered
- 8) Rules are expressed as logical statements that evaluate as either 'true' or 'false'. The following operators are required to be supported:

a) > (greater than)

e) AND

b) < (less than)

f) OR

c) = (equal to)

g) NOT

- d) \neq (not equal to)
- 9) Where date criteria are specified with intervals of multiples of months or years these should be interpreted as calendar months or calendar years.

Version Date: 10/10/2014

Dataset Specification

1) Patient selection criteria:

a) Registration status

<u>Current registration</u> <u>status</u>	Qualifying criteria
Currently registered for GMS	Most recent registration date <= (ACHIEVEMENT_DAT)
Previously registered for GMS	Any sequential pairing of registration date and deregistration date where both of the following conditions are met: registration date <= (ACHIEVEMENT_DAT); and deregistration date > (ACHIEVEMENT_DAT)

b) Diagnostic code status

Code criteria	Qualifying diag	Time criteria	
Included	Read codes v2 E3% Eu7% Eu814 Eu815 Eu816 Eu817 Eu81z Eu818 918e.	E3% XaQZ4 XaQZ3 XaKYb XaREt XaREu Eu81z	Latest <= (ACHIEVEMENT_DAT)
	(Learning disab		

2) Clinical data extraction criteria

There are no fractional indicators for the Learning Disability indicator set; therefore there is no Clinical data extraction criteria defined.

Indicator rulesets

1 <u>Indicator LD003:</u> The contractor establishes and maintains a register of patients with learning disabilities.

The terms of this indicator will be satisfied if the practice is able to produce a data extraction according to the above criteria.

No numerator or denominator determination is required.

Version Date: 10/10/2014