1	Wednesday, 26 October 2011 (10.30 am)	1 2	produce a solution, if a solution there is, to be debated by those who will debate it.
2 3	(Proceedings delayed)	3	MR JAY: Yes.
4	(10.34 am)	4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And to be acted upon expeditiously,
5	Directions Hearing	5	so that's the end of the line, and my concern has been
6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Find yourself somewhere to sit,		and remains that every time we push back the start, the
7	Mr Sherborne.	7	risk is that we either push back or concertina very
8	MR SHERBORNE: I apologise, my Lord.	8	important debates that we're going to have to have
9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's all right. It's an irritating		during the course of the next few months.
10	habit but 10.30 normally means 10.30.	10	But I accede to the overwhelming submission that
11	MR SHERBORNE: I've been in another court unfortunately.	11	everybody has made, that the 7th is just a little bit
12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's tough, but the perils of	12	too early.
13	success.	13	MR JAY: Sir, may we look then at the first week of the
14	Thank you all for coming. We're not, I think, going	14	inquiry and then subsequent weeks.
15	to be able to do quite as much today as I would have	15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
16	wanted to do, and I'm not being critical of anybody in	16	MR JAY: The first week of the inquiry will be occupied by
17	that regard, but there are issues which touch upon the	17	oral opening submissions. It is conventional that
18	material that will be deployed by the inquiry, which	18	counsel to the inquiry go first, and I propose, subject
19	certainly create concerns, and understandable concerns	19	to your view, to open the case neutrally I say the
20	within the Met Police and the Crown Prosecution Service	20	case, the inquiry over the course of about two and
21	and which therefore need resolution.	21	a half to three hours. I will not be providing a
22	But let's see how far we can get dealing with the	22	written opening.
23	issues that we want to raise and get some of the nuts	23	Then it needs to be decided who will go next and how
24	and bolts out of the way.	24	long each core participant would like in oral
25	Right, Mr Jay, take us through where we are.	25	submissions, indeed how long you give them in oral
	Page 1		Page 3
1	MR JAY: As you know, I've provided a note which has been	1	submissions.
1 2	MR JAY: As you know, I've provided a note which has been circulated and has generated responses from all the core	1 2	submissions.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.
2	circulated and has generated responses from all the core	2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.
2 3	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.	2 3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing. MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.
2 3 4	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here	2 3 4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over
2 3 4 5	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start	2 3 4 5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and
2 3 4 5	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and	2 3 4 5 6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have
2 3 4 5 6 7	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the 9th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.  MR JAY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the 9th.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, I have read everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.  MR JAY: Yes.  Sir, the agenda for the succeeding weeks of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the 9th.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, I have read everything that everybody has said, and I recognise the points	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.  MR JAY: Yes.  Sir, the agenda for the succeeding weeks of the inquiry will be made much clearer on about next Monday
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the 9th.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, I have read everything that everybody has said, and I recognise the points made. My concern throughout has been the general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.  MR JAY: Yes.  Sir, the agenda for the succeeding weeks of the inquiry will be made much clearer on about next Monday when we will provide to the core participants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	circulated and has generated responses from all the core participants.  Some of the core participants, as you know, are here today, some are not. Sir, the first issue is the start date. The note put forward two competing dates, 7 and 14 November, and human nature being as it is, everybody has plumped for 14 November.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, except for me. That was the problem, wasn't it? I was keen to get going as quickly as possible and everybody else is causing me to exercise caution.  MR JAY: Yes. Ultimately, sir, obviously it's a matter for you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, yes, I have more votes than everybody else put together.  MR JAY: Yes, indeed, the ace of spades, but no doubt the core participants will continue to press for 14 November, which if you were to accede to that, would mean that written submissions would be lodged on the 9th.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, I have read everything that everybody has said, and I recognise the points	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: They may not be the same thing.  MR JAY: Sir, that's fully understood.  It is anticipated that the first week will be over in about three days. We will hear oral submissions, and you may be asked to make rulings on issues which have arisen, if such issues arise over the next two or three weeks. It may be convenient to have half a day to tie everything up before the evidence starts the following week, 21 November.  The week beginning 21 November will be a four-day week. We will hear evidence from 18 individuals, who are core participant victims and whose witness statements will be provided as soon as possible.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: By "victim", we mean those who are either admitted to have been the subject of either illegal or  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: potentially unethical behaviour or who contend that they have been so subject.  MR JAY: Yes.  Sir, the agenda for the succeeding weeks of the inquiry will be made much clearer on about next Monday

1 may be convenient to provide those week by week, so that 1 a minimum. 2 2 MR JAY: Yes. witnesses will know in which week they'll be intended to 3 be called. It may not be possible at this distance to 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. 4 4 identify the particular day. MR JAY: So those are the reasonably straightforward areas. 5 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. Perhaps a less straightforward area is what I have MR JAY: Our current view, but it's evidently a movable 6 called in the notes the interface between the inquiry 7 7 feast, is that we will conclude the evidence in module 1 and the ongoing police investigation. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Let's deal with that --8 8 at some stage in earlyish February, but obviously when 9 that jumbo jet lands has to be slightly uncertain at MR JAY: Yes. 10 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- in a moment. Let's deal with the this distance. 11 11 At the moment, we have about 130 witnesses whom we mechanics first, and discuss openings and the approach 12 12 intend to call but some of those witnesses will no doubt which you've identified in relation to witnesses, but 13 either be read or treated as read. 13 before we do that, what's the position in relation to 14 14 We'll obviously have regard, in deciding in the end the web-based evidence availability? 15 whether to call or to read witnesses, to the time 15 MR JAY: Yes. Well, as far as I'm aware, but I may need to 16 available, because that is not the overriding 16 take more detailed instructions about this, I know that 17 consideration, but it's going to be a highly relevant 17 documents are being uploaded on to the system daily and 18 consideration. 18 certainly as regards the inquiry team, 1,021 documents 19 19 were uploaded as of this morning. I know that because The general plan, if I can set it out --20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: In that regard, Mr Jay, presumably 20 I have read most of them now. 21 21 you will be circulating the broad list as well as the It's also clear from the system that about 150 of 22 more definitive week-by-week list. 22 those documents have been released to the core 23 MR JAY: Yes. 23 participants. 24 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that core participants can LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Okay. 25 identify, to such extent as it's appropriate, witnesses MR JAY: Exactly how long it will take to cause necessary Page 5 Page 7 1 from whom they believe the inquiry should hear in 1 redactions to take place so that documents can properly 2 2 be released to the core participants, I cannot say with person, as opposed to those whose evidence which they 3 3 will then have read -precision, but what I can say is it's being done as fast MR JAY: Yes. 4 as it possibly can. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that -- I don't know LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- which can be simply put into the 6 6 whether there are any of the statements yet from those system. 7 MR JAY: Sir, absolutely. As the protocol or the note 7 for whom Mr Sherborne appears, but they obviously will 8 I prepared on 4 October indicated, the core participants 8 need to be in good time so that everybody can see 9 will always be given the opportunity to make submissions 9 them --10 to you that a witness whom we think might be read should 10 MR JAY: Yes. 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- before the witnesses give 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. But everybody has got to have 12 evidence. 13 13 MR JAY: Yes. to regard to the timeframe as well. 14 MR JAY: Yes. 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Well, let's see what 15 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. everybody has to say about that. 16 MR JAY: Sir, the issue then of sitting days: the view at 16 If I establish a batting order which is not 17 the moment, having regard to the range of competing 17 necessarily intended to be final, I hope everybody will 18 considerations, is that we will sit seven days in each 18 understand. 19 19 ten working-day period, so some weeks will be three-day Mr Sherborne, thank you for your written 20 weeks, some weeks will be four-day weeks. 20 submissions. You having joined the 14 November camp --21 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. There may be occasional days 21 MR SHERBORNE: Yes. 22 that aren't Fridays that I won't be able to sit, because 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- that's not a topic upon which you 23 23 of other public duties. need to address me. 24 MR JAY: Yes. 24 I make it clear that I am very content to receive 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I hope to keep that very much to 25 submissions in writing as substantial as anybody wishes

Page 6

LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: In other words, as and when they're 1 to make them, and the submissions can be uploaded on to 2 2 the Internet, so that anybody else can read them and 3 3 that it isn't thought there's some private communication MR SHERBORNE: As and when they're available, so I won't 4 4 outline in court, given that there are a number of system. The purpose of an oral opening is really, as it 5 5 were, to set the stall out a little bit more but not people who want to speak, the process that that 6 necessarily in the detail that would be in writing. On 6 involves. You'll have seen from my note, it's not an 7 7 the basis that you've heard that Mr Jay thinks of two to easy process. 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I don't for a moment suggest it three hours, my own initial view, and I'm talking to you 8 9 9 is, but we all have to cope with the difficulties of because -- but everybody is here -- is that -- there are 10 10 life. What we do need to do is make sure we've got on a large number of media representatives present. 11 11 I would hope, perhaps with one exception, which I shall with it. 12 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, absolutely, and I hope that the 12 come to, if it's made the subject of an application, 13 13 they could open the case within about an hour. impression that's been received is that we are getting 14 14 I am prepared to say that your brief may require on with it. 15 a little bit more because you'll be covering the 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. 16 territory in a slightly different way. 16 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, we do hope to have them ready in good 17 MR SHERBORNE: My Lord, yes. 17 time, as I say, for 14 November, and certainly we'll 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But have you given thought to the 18 provide those statements that are ready in advance of 19 that as quickly as we can, and that's what we're doing. 19 length of time that you would want an opening to be? 20 MR SHERBORNE: My Lord, yes, I have. Obviously at this 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think you meant the end of October 21 MR SHERBORNE: I am talking about in good time for 21 stage, it's a provisional view, but I hope it's one 22 which finds approval. I was going to suggest 90 22 14 November. In terms of the date by which they're all 23 23 delivered, it is hoped that it will be by the end of 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think that's entirely appropriate, 24 this month. 25 and carries with it the balance that I'd been hoping to LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The end of October. Page 9 Page 11 1 identify. I'm just conscious of what we've got to fit MR SHERBORNE: But certainly we will deliver, as 2 2 in within the period that's available, and we must also I understand it, a sizeable number of them by the end of 3 3 have regard to those who write it all down. this month. If there are some others that may take 4 MR SHERBORNE: Yes, your Lordship is right to anticipate, as 4 a few days more, that's what I envisage is the worst 5 I think I say in my note, that will we be providing 5 case scenario. 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's becoming a moving feast, written submissions, but they will form to a large 6 7 extent a slightly different purpose. The purpose of the 7 Mr Sherborne. I think you're about to get some 8 oral submissions will be, as your Lordship says, to set 8 instructions. 9 the stall, to set the scene, I should say, for the core 9 MR SHERBORNE: Can I turn then, just to receive them? 10 10 Mr Crossley says that we do hope to have more by the participant victims who are giving evidence; as well as 11 end of next week. What I didn't want to do is to raise 11 more generally, the claimant position if I can put it 12 that way, in relation to the issues your Lordship has to 12 expectations only for them to be, as I say --13 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You can raise expectations and then address. 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I am entirely comfortable with that, 14 meet them. 15 Are we on course to ensure that those for whom you 15 MR SHERBORNE: We certainly intend to do that. If there are 16 appear who are going to give oral evidence will have 16 one or two other statements that come after that, I hope 17 disclosed their evidence in sufficient time for us to 17 it will be understood that it isn't through the want of 18 read it, and for us to make it available to those who 18 us trying to provide them to the inquiry as quickly as 19 19 may be interested in it? we can. 20 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, given the start date of 14 November, we 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand, and if I am pressing, 21 2.1 it's not because I don't recognise the problems; it's are on course, ves. 22 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That course is aiming at what date? because pressing is what I am going to do from first to 23 MR SHERBORNE: As I understand it, we are going to provide 23 24 the witness statements on a rolling basis, as far as 24 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I understand that. I'm sure it doesn't

that's possible.

Page 10

25

25

just apply to the core participants.

- 1 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It absolutely does not.
- 2 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, you'll appreciate, can I just tell you
- 3 that currently, as it stands, we anticipate providing 19
- 4 or 20 witness statements.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. Thank you. Yes. Do you have
- 6 any representations to make as to sitting times, days,
- 7 and timetable?
- 8 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I don't, unless you wish me to address
- 9 any particular matters? You have our submissions in the
- 10 note or observations.
- 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I think the problems you
- identify in paragraph 12 of your note --
- 13 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, it's the -- you'll appreciate that
- there is a civil trial which starts on 29 January.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand, and I recognise how
- 16 real these problems are, but I'm afraid they're your
- problems. I can't stop and you're not suggesting I do.
- 18 MR SHERBORNE: Sir. no.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I can't stop while the civil
- 20 litigation goes on, but I've no doubt that you will be
- able to be kept informed of precisely what we're doing
- then, and if we've -- if we can organise things in a way
- that least inconveniences you, then we shall do so.
- 24 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I am grateful. It may be nearer the
- 25 time that obviously matters will be somewhat clearer in

# Page 13

- 1 MR DAVIES: Thank you, sir. I have very little to say on
- what's been discussed so far. So far as Mr Sherborne's
- 3 clients are concerned, just to be precise, can I take it
- 4 that we will expect the statements from his clients who
- 5 are giving the evidence by 4 o'clock on Friday,
- 6 4 November, I think is the point --
- 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I have no doubt about that. He is
- 8 hoping to be the end --
- 9 MR DAVIES: That is the end of next week.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That is the end of the next week.
- 11 That's what he hopes. That's what he hopes.
- 12 And I hope so too.
- 13 MR DAVIES: Yes.
- 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All he said is that if there's one or
- two that are missing, we'll have to cope with it and we
- 16 will see.
- 17 MR DAVIES: Yes.
- 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: We'll be able to schedule the
- 19 witnesses a little bit in any event. I've no doubt at
- all that you'll have ample opportunity to read them and
- 21 to take instructions upon them, and then to feed into
- counsel any issues that you want raised, which I think
- 23 is quite an important part of this exercise,
- 24 particularly in connection with those witness
- 25 statements.

## Page 15

- 1 terms of timetabling.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 3 MR SHERBORNE: That's why at this stage I'm not asking you,
- 4 sir, to make any ruling.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I'm just -- you fired a warning
- 6 shot over my bow and I am returning fire.
- 7 MR SHERBORNE: I am very grateful for the return of fire.
- 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 9 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, is there anything I can assist you
- 10 further on?
- 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I think that's sufficient for the
- moment. We'll come to the other matters which are going
- 13 to be more contentious in a moment. Thank you.
- 14 Mr Garnham, simply on timing, do you have any
- 15 submissions to make?
- 16 MR GARNHAM: No, none at all on the matters Mr Jay addressed
- 17 you on, sir. As to opening statements, we would
- 18 certainly be less than the hour you indicated; we would
- 19 think half an hour is sufficient for us.
- 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's fine. Less is always more in
- these circumstances, but I am just really trying to
- 22 provide a parameter for everybody to work with. All
- 23 right. Thank you.
- 24 Right. Again -- I was about to say in no particular
- order but of course it's a particular order, Mr Davies.

## Page 14

- 1 MR DAVIES: Yes. It is, but we're conscious that that is
- a time-consuming exercise, because if one is feeding
- 3 questions to someone else to ask, you have to be very
- 4 precise about what the question is, and where the
- 5 references are and why it would be a good idea to ask
- 6 it.
- 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand, but I'm sure that you
- 8 will equally understand why, in the context of this
- 9 inquiry, that approach for those witnesses is
- 10 particularly important.
- 11 MR DAVIES: Yes.
- 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And Mr Sherborne hears it,
- 13 Mr Crossley hears it. I am very keen to keep it within
- the bounds of what is appropriate, and we'll see how we
- 15 get on.
- 16 MR DAVIES: Yes. I just wanted to be clear what the target
- date was, and I think we are clear: it is 4 November, if
- 18 at all possible.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think actually --
- 20 MR DAVIES: Or earlier if possible.
- 21 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- I think it was, wasn't it,
- 22 Mr Sherborne?
- 23 MR SHERBORNE: Can I just explain, I don't know whether Mr
- 24 Jay is going to stand up before me, but the date
- I provide is the date that we supply the witness

1 statements to the inquiry. Once we've done that, then 1 MR DAVIES: We will also be making a written opening, and we 2 the mechanics is really with the inquiry. That's why 2 will try and get that in by, I think it will be the 9th 3 3 Mr Jay may stand up, to deal with the timing of when now. The only other points I wanted to mention was 4 4 they're provided to all the other core participants. newsroom visits, which Mr Jay raised in his note. We 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I would hope the inquiry won't take 5 have no objection to you visiting a newsroom and if you 6 long to join that up. You originally said the end of 6 would like to visit one of ours, that is The Times, The 7 7 Sunday Times or The Sun, then we would be happy to 8 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I did. I just wanted to be clear that 8 arrange that, but we have no strong views. 9 the mechanics is that we provide them to the inquiry by 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: We will come back to that. The 10 that date. I have no control over that. 10 reason I put it in the note is that I received a couple 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, you have no control once you've 11 of invitations, and I felt it right, first of all, to 12 handed them over, and nobody will suggest that you do. 12 share it with everybody and to identify what I would do, 13 13 But your original target was the end of October. but secondly then to list -- we'll come back to it. 14 MR SHERBORNE: It is, sir, and it remains the target. 14 MR DAVIES: Yes. 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And still is, and therefore the extra 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. Well, Mr Dingemans, you're 16 days to which Mr Davies refers allow the leeway that 16 17 17 you're just a bit concerned about, and equally time for MR DINGEMANS: Sir, just on timings of disclosure of 18 us. I'll ask Mr Jay to deal with that point. 18 documents to us, as I understand it, we're going to get 19 Mr Jay, it is not going to take us long, is it? 19 the provisional timetable of witnesses on 31 October, 20 MR JAY: No, we will prioritise putting these statements on 20 but we haven't yet got a date when we're going to be 21 21 to the system. Any section 19 issues, if they arise, given access to the documents. Obviously that's on the 22 will have to be dealt with very speedily indeed. 22 critical path for producing any short written 23 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, but presumably things like submissions. 24 24 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean addresses can be provided on a separate sheet of paper 25 and not put in the statement at all, and in that way, 25 that you haven't yet had access to any documents? Page 17 Page 19 I would anticipate that there's going to be very little MR DINGEMANS: My learned friend, I know has put in 1 1 2 2 by way of necessary redaction, and I would have thought statements, as have I. I have not seen any of his and 3 3 that actually the point of the statements is that they he won't have seen any of mine. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's as may be, but I don't yet 4 should not be redacted. It's providing material. But 4 5 obviously personal details which would normally go in 5 know whether the core participants have had access to 6 a statement, I would be perfectly content was put on 6 anything on the system. 7 a separate schedule which then needn't be provided, just 7 MR DINGEMANS: No. We've no access to the system. My 8 to stop somebody having to -- but if it's -- whatever 8 learned friend said we'd got 150 documents, but I 9 way is convenient for you and Mr Sherborne, to maximise 9 anticipate they're the sort of preliminary notes, 10 10 et cetera, and other documents that have been exchanged. the time that everybody else has to look at these 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Oh, I see. statements, because they are the first statements, 12 aren't they? Right. 12 MR DINGEMANS: But there's no other access and in my 13 MR DAVIES: Other points very briefly, sir. Oral opening, 13 submission, that is very much on the critical path to 14 we do envisage making an oral opening; I was thinking 14 producing what we hope will be useful submissions. 15 not more than an hour and a half and very probably less. 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Well, I agree with that, 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I thought that if you had identified 16 although by definition not everything will be uploaded 17 the exception that was in my mind, it was you. So 17 all at once. I mean, the submissions -- the opening, 18 I recognise the dynamic of what's going on. I'm not 18 really I would have thought that most of the core 19 19 participants will have pretty strong views as to the encouraging people to take that length of time. They'll 20 take however little time they need, but I am going to 20 direction that they think I should be taking. Nobody's 21 21 say I don't really want it to exceed that, given the being shy about making those views clear to date on 22 22 number of people who have to talk. specific topics, and therefore I anticipate that people 23 23 MR DAVIES: Yes, well, on current thinking, that will be will have views generically. 24 24 I am not suggesting that the opening submissions enough. 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's fine. Good. will deal with the evidence that I am about to hear;

Page 18

that would be quite unrealistic. It's really to set out
 your submissions on a global basis, as to how I should
 be approaching my task and potentially where I should be

4 going.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I've no problem about people saying where they think I should broadly be going, provided there isn't a sort of carrot and a stick involved, because I have made it very clear to those to whom I have spoken at seminars or otherwise, that the whole problem is an industry-wide problem, which has to be solved in a way that works not merely for the industry or profession, whatever word you want to call it, but also for everybody else.

The one thing I do not want to do is to produce a piece of paper or a document that everybody reads and says, "Well, that's utterly unrealistic", and puts on a shelf and allows it to gather dust.

My fear is that if one looks at the history of investigations into these sort of areas, over the last 50 years, rather more has been put on the shelf than has been activated.

So my ultimate aim, and if I am disclosing my hand, I don't mind, is to produce a system, whatever it be, if it's the same or different, that works and has the support of everybody.

Now, that doesn't give the press a trump that says, Page 21

- 1 we can say in response to that.
- 2 MR DINGEMANS: Those are my only two submissions.
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: There's one other submission that you
- 4 have to deal with. I am conscious that the date that
- 5 I've identified creates a potential problem for you.
- 6 MR DINGEMANS: Yes, I am very sorry about that.
- 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand professional commitments
- 8 are professional commitments, and I would want to do
- 9 what I could to try to fit in. I can do that -- what
- 10 I don't want to do is say: right, we'll do it the
- 11 following week.
- 12 MR DINGEMANS: No.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I need the whole thing to be
- 14 cohesive. What I am prepared to do is on one of the
- days, possibly nearer the end, to sit very early or to
- 16 fit in with what's happening in the Supreme Court, if
- 17 I can. But that will require you to keep us informed.
- 18 MR DINGEMANS: I am very grateful. The only other
- 19 possibility, and that entirely depends on your own
- 20 proposals, would be whether one of the days for opening
- 21 submission was on a Friday. But I'm not sure whether
- 22 that's anything that is immediately attractive.
- 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that one of the
- suggestions was that we'd sit seven days a fortnight,
- 25 and generally always have Friday off. I mean, the

Page 23

- 1 "Well, we're going to say no to everything", because
- 2 that also will be obvious.
- 3 It's critical that we move forward the debate,
- 4 I think
- 5 MR DINGEMANS: My only submission really is that the chances
- of us being able to assist you, sir, in that process,
- 7 are going to be much better if we've got access to the
- 8 material sooner rather than later.
- 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I agree with that and access to
- 10 the material, we'll ask Mr Jay about that, shortly.
- 11 MR DINGEMANS: May I just, whilst dealing with access to the
- material, make one other point. Obviously some of the
- core participants such as the media are not publicly
- 14 funded, but there are others who are, but in any event,
- to avoid wasting legal fees, whether for privately
- funded or publicly funded, in our submission it would be
- 17 helpful if the inquiry was able simply just to identify,
- not necessarily a detailed description of the documents,
- 19 what the document is, otherwise you have six sets of
- 20 core participants reading documents that in fact they
- don't need to read, and the inquiry will have read those
- documents and you will otherwise end up with
- 23 a duplication of legal effort that's simply not
- 24 required.
- 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's a fair point. Let's see what

Page 22

- 1 suggestion of always having Friday off --
- 2 MR DINGEMANS: For good reason and everyone can then plan.
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Everybody can then plan. My concern
- 4 about making an exception is that I can readily
- 5 understand that there are lots of reasons for different
- 6 exceptions at different times. I don't rule it out, but
- 7 I would prefer you to think about whether we couldn't
- 8 start on one of the days at 9 o'clock for you to make
- 9 the submission, and then go to -- I won't call it
- 10 Middlesex Crown Court.
- 11 MR DINGEMANS: I am very grateful, sir, and we'll try and
- sort that out. At the moment, all I have to say is only
- 13 20 minutes, but if I look at further material, then it
- may extend a wee bit more.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's fine. I want to help, but
- 16 I don't want to start creating hostages to fortune for
- me later on in the process.
- 18 MR DINGEMANS: I am very grateful.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much.
- 20 Right. Mr Glen.
- 21 MR GLEN: Just in terms of start date, I don't think we have
- anything more to add if the 14th is settled on, and
- 23 anything which is further to be said with regard to the
- 24 document management system, and how that's going to
- 25 operate. You've seen in our letter, we have some

1 concerns about access to documents, but it sounds like 1 six to eight weeks, have been uploaded on to the system 2 2 as soon as possible. Some of the documents are more 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: We'll come back to document 3 important, perhaps more controversial than others. 4 management and we'll ask Mr Jay to elaborate upon how 4 I can give you an example, our preliminary view in 5 this is going to work. 5 relation to documents which have been provided by the 6 MR GLEN: Sir, the only other point we have, we have 6 BBC, and I must say there are a lot of them, is that 7 7 indicated that we would wish to make an oral opening most of that material is uncontroversial, but some of it 8 statement. That's unlikely to be much more than 20 8 we might wish to focus on and assist you with. 9 Now, we have prepared internally a note which 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's fine. That's good. 10 summarises that material. It's worthy of further 11 Right. (Pause) 11 consideration, but at the moment there's no reason why 12 Is there any other core participant here who wants 12 that note, either in its existing or mildly edited form, 13 to say anything about any of this? 13 is not made available to the core participants so that 14 MS PALIN: Sir, on behalf of Associated Newspapers, only to 14 they don't have to read all the BBC material; they can 15 say that whether we make an opening statement or whether 15 look at the note and then decide which parts need to be 16 it's in writing or orally is a matter under 16 read. As I've indicated, we will then proceed to call 17 consideration, but we're happy with the time limit that 17 the relevant evidence. 18 has been suggested -- indicated by the inquiry. 18 Of course, we'd need to agree that process with the 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I mean, to some extent you're 19 BBC; they might have objection to it. 20 absolutely welcome to do so, but one of the interesting 20 But then there's other documentation, in particular 21 issues, which Associated Newspapers can think about, is 21 witness statements and then exhibits to witness 22 the extent to which they wish to adopt what Mr Dacre 22 statements, for which commercial confidence has been 23 said at the seminar. I don't -- I am not saying they 23 claimed by the originators of the statement. In each 24 should or they shouldn't. What I have said about the 24 case consideration needs to be given to the exercise of 25 seminars is that they're part of the record of inquiry, 25 power under section 19, and the application of the Page 25 Page 27 1 principles set out in the documents protocol which we 1 they don't constitute evidence, and the reason I said 2 2 finalised last time. Now, that take some time, but it that was because I wanted to make it clear that nobody 3 3 would be cross-examined on the basis of an inconsistent involves the participation of the core participants at 4 statement in the inquiry, based upon what they said at 4 each stage, so that the solicitor to the inquiry knows 5 5 the seminar, because here it's a rather more measured how to proceed. 6 6 I should indicate, some of the documents have environment. 7 7 already been redacted, and there may or may not be issue But that's not to stop anybody saying, "Well, 8 actually, what I said is what I mean to say and I am 8 with that, but insofar as they have been redacted, one 9 9 assumes that no further redactions need to take place. content for that to be part of the record". I'm not 10 10 But some have been provided entirely unredacted. Now, saying that Mr Dacre would want to do that, but 11 we need to move forward as quickly as we can, so that 11 I wouldn't want him to feel that he wasn't able to if he 12 wished to. If that makes sense? 12 the section 19 process, as foreshadowed in the protocol, 13 is addressed and complied with. 13 MS PALIN: Yes, sir, thank you. The only other submission 14 14 I make is just to simply say that we agree with the I can't indicate to you at this stage, but I'll 15 concerns expressed by Mr Davies and Mr Dingemans in 15 discuss the matter with the solicitor over our short 16 relation to timetabling, but we're conscious that the 16 break, which will probably take place in about 20 17 17 minutes' time, indicate perhaps in more detail to assist submissions have been made and that you have our 18 you as much as we can with how long we think this going 18 concerns in mind. 19 19 to take and in what order. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Anything else? 20 Right, well, Mr Jay, let's deal with document 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I appreciate that there's a lot 21 21 of paddling underneath the surface. management. There are documents on the system, but then 22 22 MR JAY: Yes. documents have to be put into a place where the core 23 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Which is not merely an assimilation participants can get them. 24 MR JAY: Yes. Sir, I can assist you to this extent, that 24 of the information contained within the statements and 25 25 documents obviously have been coming in over the last the material with which we've been provided.

Page 26

17

1

- 1 MR JAY: Yes.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But also putting it in a form where
- 3 it can be disclosed and then disclosing it.
- 4 MR JAY: Yes.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It obviously should be done on a sort 5
- 6 of structured basis. To such extent as it is possible
- 7 to provide a route map to prevent very expensive lawyers
- 8 from having to spend --
- 9 MR JAY: Yes.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- time retreading over territory
- which actually has been trodden without problem, would
- be desirable. But I don't want to put extra burden on
- the inquiry lawyers, beyond that which is reasonable,
- bearing in mind all the other things they have to do.
- 15 MR JAY: Yes, well, that might create difficulties for us.
- Moreover, it's to some extent subjective; what we might
- think is relevant and whatever summary we might provide
- 18 would not necessarily --
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I don't think it is suggested that
- anybody should summarise a statement. I mean, I'd have
- 21 to think about whether it advances matters much, because
- I anticipate that the statements, people will want to
- 23 read. I'd be very surprised if anybody wasn't very keen
- 24 to run through what all the witnesses actually say, and
- 25 then they'll very quickly pick up whether or not the
  - Page 29
- 1 exhibits to those statements justify further work. If
- there's a particular chunk of work that you've done on
- 3 the BBC, then so be it.
- 4 MR JAY: Yes.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I am not suggesting there should be 5
- 6 any summary.
- 7 MR JAY: No.
- 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's sufficient to identify the name
- 9 of the witness, and perhaps the occupation of the
- 10 witness so that you know what to go to. I am not being
- dogmatic about any of it, but I do think it is probably
- worthwhile having a discussion, so that if the system --
- if it's sensible to organise out work which we'd have to
- do anyway in a particular way that makes it easier for
- others, then we should do it. If not, then not. But
- 16 I'm sure that the general cooperation which is apparent
- between those who are appearing for core participants
- will operate to make it as easy as possible.
- 19 MR JAY: Yes. Fortunately, the system has got a very
- 20 powerful and effective search engine. If, for example,
- one wanted to find at the click of a switch all the
- evidence relevant to a particular newspaper, well, then
- 23 the machine will sort out all that evidence for you
- 24 immediately, and will list it.
- 25 So a lot of the work can be done using the powers

- 1 which are available. Of course, we have prepared
- 2 various internal documents to assist us. It may or may
- 3 not be possible to release those to the core
- 4 participants. It probably will be.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You'll have to decide that on
- a case-by-case basis, but one thing which is important
- 7 is that all those who are involved in this should be
- 8 appropriately assisted on learning how to use the system
- 9 that we've got in operation.
- 10 MR JAY: Yes. Yes.
- 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I know that one of the solicitors to
- the core participants has used it on a different inquiry
- and was helpful, and we'll just need to make sure that
- 14 everybody is appropriately trained.
- 15 MR JAY: I am sure that's taken place. Indeed, I know it
- has taken place.
  - It's largely an intuitive system, but people's
- intuitions vary when it comes to this sort of
- technology, but the search engines are, I've found, very
- 20 easy to use, and can be used either in a general way to
- 21 sort documents out, according to theme, according to
- 22 individual or according to newspaper, or can be used
- 23 more precisely. If one keys in a particular name, for
- 24 example, an alleged victim, well, then, that would throw
- 25 up all references to that individual throughout the
  - Page 31
  - whole of the database.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I am sure that the technologically
- 3 capable Bar and solicitors' profession is more than able
- 4 to use equipment that a young relative of mine would
- 5 also be able to use, but with which I would struggle.
- 6 All right.
- 7 MR JAY: I will come back to the section 19 redaction
- 8 process, if I may, shortly after 11.45.
- 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's fine.
- 10 MR JAY: Our break. Is it appropriate, sir, now to move on
- 11 to the issue of the interface between the inquiry and
- the police prosecution?
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. I think that it is.
- 14 MR JAY: I say "police prosecution"; there aren't any
- 15 proceedings afoot.
- 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Police investigation.
- 17 MR JAY: Yes, individuals have been arrested as you know.
- All of this is in the public domain and the
- 19 investigation continues. What has been provided --
- 20 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Of course, the proceedings are active
- 21 within the meaning of the contempt of court legislation.
- 22 MR JAY: Yes.
- 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: In relation to those persons who have
- 24 been arrested.
- 25 MR JAY: That's right. My understanding of schedule 1, the Page 32

- 1 relevant date is the date of arrest.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Correct.
- 3 MR JAY: Then the strict liability rule applies and
- 4 everybody will understand what that means.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Everybody in this room should
- 6 understand what it means.
- 7 MR JAY: Yes. If they don't understand, I would recommend
- 8 that they look PDQ as to what the Contempt of Court Act
- 9 says. We haven't, as it were, reached that point,
- 10 because we are still discussing how the inquiry might
- 11 proceed, and as you know, joint submissions have been
- 12 provided to you this morning, and furnished to the core
- 13 participants and then will be made publicly available,
- 14 on behalf of the CPS and the
- 15 Metropolitan Police Service.
- 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. Well, it's not fair to anybody 16
- 17 to ask them to deal with this on the hoof --
- 18 MR JAY: No.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- I don't think, although I'll 19
- 20 listen to anything that anybody wants to say on the
- 21
- 22 I say immediately that it is entirely understandable
- 23 that the investigation, focusing purely on the
- 24 investigation, should want to minimise to the point of
- 25 extinction the slightest risk and the way to do that is
  - Page 33

- 1 may be that this would have to be the subject of further
- 2 argument -- the risk of an eventual abuse of process
  - argument ever succeeding would be extremely low.
- 4 But these are all preliminary thoughts that need to
- 5 be dwelt upon at greater leisure. The police and the
- 6 Director of Public Prosecutions will need to give --
- 7 have the opportunity to -- may develop submissions on
- 8 those points and the other core participants may have
- 9 matters to draw to your attention.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: We're going to have to get into at
- 11 least two areas of law, it seems to me. The first is
- 12 we're going to have to get into the area of law that
- 13 surrounds abuse of process.
- 14 MR JAY: Yes.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The area of law that surrounds fair
- reporting and contempt.
- 17 MR JAY: Yes.
- 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Also, it would be foolish not to
- 19 identify the need to look at the extent to which
- 20 Parliament sets out subjudice rules.
- 21 MR JAY: In relation to its own proceedings.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: In relation to its own procedure,
- 23 because it would be foolish not to recognise the risk
- 24 that what is discussed here might be discussed in
- 25 another place.

# Page 35

- 1 to effectively shut it all down. The problem that
- 2 I have, and this is going to be the subject of -- going
- 3 to have to be the subject of argument, is balancing the
- 4 absolute requirement that anybody who is ultimately
- 5 charged should be able to receive a fair trial, against
- 6 the competing dynamic that I have to resolve the issues 7 that I have to resolve probably well before any trial,
- 8 if there is to be one, would ever take place; and I have
- 9 to do it in a way that satisfies the public that I've
- 10 not just walked past the problem. That's my concern.
- MR JAY: Yes, and part 1 of inquiry requires you, as we all 11
- 12 know, to investigate the culture, practices and ethics
- 13 of the press. And culture and practices are concerned
- 14 with systems and with quantity of activity.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And oversight.
- 16 MR JAY: And oversight.
- 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: System -- that comes within systems. 17
- 18 MR JAY: Yes. So one cannot ignore that, nor can they
- 19 ignore the fact that you have been set up under
- 20 statutory powers, and provided that those statutory
- 21 powers are exercised fairly, there's an overriding duty
- 22 to act fairly under section 17 of the Inquiries Act; and
- 23 provided that you keep within your terms of reference,
- 24 and provided that you respect all privileges which
- 25 witnesses might claim under section 22 of the Act -- it
  - Page 34

- 1 MR JAY: Yes. Perhaps the fourth item would be the content
- 2 and scope of the privilege against self-incrimination --
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- MR JAY: -- which in fact can be dealt with succinctly.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And its effect.
- MR JAY: And its effect. 6
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Now, that's a distinct piece of work
- 8 which we're going to have to do.
- 9 MR JAY: Yes.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It seems to me that it ought to be
- 11 done sooner rather than later, and doesn't need to wait
- 12 for the start. We can get on with it.
- 13 MR JAY: Yes. (Pause)
- 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The issue may be rendered less opaque
- 15 by what's in the public domain or could be in the public
- 16 domain from the civil litigation; what could be, not
- necessarily what is at the moment, but what could be,
- 18 and that involves News International.
- 19 But steering a course between the need properly to
- 20 address my terms of reference and the need not to cause
- 21 undue risk to any other process is important.
- 22 MR JAY: Sir, they're the four rubrics which you have
- 23 identified. In my submission, for the smooth running of
- the inquiry, this needs to be sorted out, to use the 25 vernacular, as soon as possible, but in a manner which

Page 36

24

1			
1	isn't too hasty so that the interested parties, by which	1	he faces.
2	I mean those parties most interested in this issue,	2	MR GARNHAM: Absolutely. Sir, the note that was circulated
3	which will be Mr Garnham's clients, Mr Davies' clients	3	today recognises those competing imperatives.
4	and obviously our team, we have sufficient time to put	4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
5	in proper submissions.	5	MR GARNHAM: It sets out the concerns that the Director has
6	In terms of the timetable, you may think it	6	in relation to any prosecution, and the police have in
7	appropriate that there be a further preliminary hearing	7	relation to the continuing investigation and those two
8	to address this particular and important issue as soon	8	are distinct as well. It attempts to suggest for your
9	as possible, and perhaps early next week.	9	consideration, sir, one way in which those competing
10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we need to find out when people	10	observations can be dealt with.
11	would be ready to argue it, this document only having	11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The problem with the suggestion, and
12	recently come into being.	12	of course I've only recently had the chance to read
13	But it's certainly going to have to be resolved, not	13	them, is that it runs a risk that we'd never end out of
14	merely argued but resolved, which actually means	14	the Divisional Court, and I'm not prepared to have a
15	a ruling from me, as soon as possible.	15	situation which means that every three minutes
16	Right, well, let's see what everybody has to say	16	MR GARNHAM: No. We too would be anxious to avoid that,
17	about that.	17	sir, but there is a problem in that the subjects which
18	Mr Garnham, let me start with you on this topic.	18	you and Mr Jay identified a moment ago are all of
19	You understand I quite understand the Director's	19	importance and all directly relevant, but it will be
20	perspective. The easy answer is "nothing", and	20	necessary on occasions to test their application by
21	I recognise the force of the argument without	21	reference to particular documents.
22	necessarily feeling that it's a way that I could go,	22	It is, we would respectfully suggest, impossible to
23	given the other pressures and the terms of reference	23	deal with this entirely in the abstract. So whilst
24	that I have to deal with.	24	I respectfully agree with what Mr Jay says as to the
25	MR GARNHAM: Sir, I should say straightaway that although	25	need to address and reach resolution in respect of those
	Page 37		Page 39
1	the Met Police and the Director have had conversations	1	points of principle, there may yet be a need to look at
2	about this, and are of one mind, I, formally speaking,	2	it against hard emails and documents. I say that merely
3	don't stand here representing the Director of Public	3	by way of anticipation of submissions I'll make next
4	Prosecutions today; I represent the Met; and there is	4	
5	a distinction there which is important to maintain.		week
9	a distinction there which is important to maintain.	5	
6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean		LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can
	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean		LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the
6		6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can
6 7	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public	6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to
6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?	6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.
6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that.	6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully
6 7 8 9 10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such	6 7 8 9 10 11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.
6 7 8 9 10 11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would	6 7 8 9 10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand the point. I can't stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, that's entirely fair enough.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the point. I understand the point.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, that's entirely fair enough. MR GARNHAM: Sir	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the point. I understand the point.  MR GARNHAM: That aside, sir, we are of course entirely
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, that's entirely fair enough. MR GARNHAM: Sir	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the point. I understand the point.  MR GARNHAM: That aside, sir, we are of course entirely content to prepare submissions as Mr Jay and you have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, that's entirely fair enough. MR GARNHAM: Sir  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand, and I'm sure he understands the and you understand the issues that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the point. I understand the point.  MR GARNHAM: That aside, sir, we are of course entirely content to prepare submissions as Mr Jay and you have suggested, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand that. Does that mean that you believe that the Director of Public Prosecutions is likely to want to intervene to make submissions on this himself?  MR GARNHAM: It is possible. I can say no more than that. It may be that I'll be instructed to make such submissions on his behalf. It may be not. But we would respectfully agree with Mr Jay's suggestion to you, sir, that a time is put aside to deal with this in the near future, and we will convey back to the DPP the importance that you are attaching to this, and it will be for him to decide whether he has representation separately, whether I am instructed to deal with his points as well as the Met's, or whether he chooses not to appear. I can't speak for him on that topic.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, that's entirely fair enough. MR GARNHAM: Sir	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stop anybody challenging a ruling of mine because the legislation provides that avenue, but if I am even to start to be able to address the issues I have to address within the timeframe, this bus will not be stopping.  MR GARNHAM: Sir, no, I understand I respectfully recognise those points and we would say now, as we say at the end of this note, that we think it exceedingly unlikely that we will need to challenge a decision. One can never rule it out, but one hopes that it will be possible to deal with this without troubling the Divisional Court or the Administrative Court at all. But nonetheless we will be inviting you, sir, to consider the force of some of the points we make against the documents where it's at its most acute.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we don't I understand the point. I understand the point.  MR GARNHAM: That aside, sir, we are of course entirely content to prepare submissions as Mr Jay and you have

1 1 at the News of the World can't be painted in a way that that the fact that a core participant is a core 2 2 participant doesn't mean that they have to take part in doesn't require over-descent into detail. I am not 3 3 every single debate. It may very well be that some core saying any more than that, and I am not -- you may feel 4 4 participants will not feel it necessary to enter into this is not somewhere you can go, or you want to go, or 5 this debate at all, this argument, and leave it to me. 5 you're prepared to go. 6 But does anybody have anything to say about the 6 But there is an element of this which, in order to 7 7 paint the bigger picture, requires me to paint -- may general topic? Let me pick up the two most obvious 8 8 people. Mr Davies? require me to look at the detail. If it doesn't require 9 9 me to look at the detail, then as far as I am concerned, MR DAVIES: Well, I don't think there's anything I want to 10 10 say about the general topic now, sir. I think our that's all to the good. And that's not just a point 11 position will be that we don't wish to obstruct the 11 that's relevant to News International, because if one 12 inquiry and we don't wish to obstruct the police 12 goes back to What Price Privacy? and the Information 13 investigation, not surprisingly. 13 Commissioner's report and that table, which involves 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. 14 others. MR DAVIES: If a modus operandi can be agreed between the 15 MR DAVIES: Yes. 15 16 inquiry on the one side and the DPP and the police on 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Then, again, it is the overarching 17 17 the other, I am sure we won't want to get in the way. position I am seeking to reach for the purposes of this 18 But on the other hand, the particular matters which 18 part of the inquiry, in order to provide a factual --19 19 I suspect are going to be discussed are of close a sound foundation to consider the other parts of my 20 importance to us, and I think we may well have some 20 terms of reference. I would prefer not to get bogged 21 21 observations to make. I don't intend to make any now. down, if I can avoid it, in detail which is highly 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I understand that. 22 relevant to part 2, and is obviously that which the 23 MR DAVIES: Particularly, if I may say, I think what Mr 23 police and the CPS are focused upon; if I can avoid the 24 Garnham was saying is that in the course of the hearing 24 detail, because I've got a sufficient substratum of 25 which is envisaged on this subject, perhaps next week, 25 fact, a narrative as I've called it, then I would be Page 41 Page 43 it may be necessary to refer to particular documents by 1 keen to do so because I don't want to interfere more 1 2 2 than is absolutely necessary with an investigation or way of illustration of the problems which arise, both 3 3 for the prosecution and for the inquiry, and if those any possible prosecution, if there is to be one, and 4 are our documents, then that's -- or documents which 4 I certainly don't want to prejudice either of those. 5 5 concern us, then we may well be interested in that. So I don't think that's too coded, but you understand the issue. It is, as I say, not just an 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, we'll have to see how far we 6 7 7 issue for News International, but an issue for others MR DAVIES: Yes. 8 because of What Price Privacy? 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It may be necessary to make 9 MR DAVIES: Sir, we understand the point and whether we can 10 a section 19 order in relation to -- so that I've just 10 solve it, I don't know, but we'll take it under 11 11 consideration. not, as it were, thrown the baby out before I've even 12 decided whether the baby is going to have a bath. 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand and I'm not requiring -13 MR DAVIES: Yes. 13 I couldn't require you to go beyond that which your 14 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The other aspect is this, and this 14 instructions permit, however -- whatever advice you give 15 does concern you, and I'm absolutely not asking you to 15 to your clients. That's for you and for them --16 comment upon this now but I am asking you to think about 16 MR DAVIES: Yes. 17 it, I am concerned with culture, practice, ethics --17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- to think about. But -- and I'm 18 that's the rubric of the terms of reference -- and less 18 not holding a carrot out, I'm merely saying that for the 19 19 on who did what to whom, which is another shorthand form purposes of part 1, I have to do what I have to do, but 20 of words that I've used. 20 I don't need to go beyond that which I have to do. 21 21 That's the point I am seeking to make. That's part 2. MR DAVIES: Yes. 22 MR DAVIES: Well understood. 22 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: For our grandchildren. What I am 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So you will be present, is quite 24 concerned that you think about is the extent to which, clear from what you've said? 25 25 with your knowledge and your instructions, the picture MR DAVIES: Yes.

Page 42

_	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I am going to ask you next,	1	the need to have this issue resolved as soon as
2	Mr Sherborne.	2	possible.
3	MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes. These are issues which we say are	3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody have any
4	relevant or potentially relevant to number of the core	4	objection to that? Mr Garnham, you're probably slightly
5	participant victims who are giving evidence to this	5	ahead of the game on this.
6	inquiry in part 1, both potentially to their evidence	6	MR GARNHAM: Yes, we are, because we've done the work that
7	and to the submissions that I might make on their	7	went into that note.
8	behalf.	8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
9	I mean, of course we understand the concerns about	9	MR GARNHAM: I don't know whether Mr Jay is expecting us to
10	creating any risk to the criminal investigation and to	10	produce something further in writing or whether we can
11	any proceedings. It's certainly not my client's desire	11	proceed straight to oral submissions.
12	to cause any such risk, but the phone hacking scandal is	12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, the only question is whether
13	part of the narrative of the very real experiences	13	you want to produce anything that identifies where you
14	a number of the core participant victims suffered, and	14	think the law takes us, because your note doesn't
15	are going to give evidence about in part 1, because, as	15	presently do that.
16	you say, sir, that's part of your remit.	16	MR GARNHAM: No, certainly we will. The question is whether
17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, there's no question about that	17	we do it in writing or orally. It is often beneficial
18	It's not the story from their perspective.	18	to do it in writing because it speeds things up.
19	MR SHERBORNE: I understand that. It is the extent to which	19	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, and it can be pre-read. You
20	one goes into the story and the issues which you're	20	needn't worry that I am pretty familiar with the law
21	going to decide are therefore relevant	21	on abuse of process. I am pretty familiar with the law
22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Or behind their story.	22	of contempt. But there are obviously other things that
23	MR SHERBORNE: Behind their story.	23	are less familiar.
24	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Their story. Anyway, of course	24	MR GARNHAM: I am conscious of the fact that because we have
25	nothing that I do in connection with the evidence that	25	thus far tried to do this in concert with the DPP, and
	Page 45		Page 47
1	I adduce or that Mr Jay adduces in front of me, I should	1	that takes a little bit of time to organise that, but
2	put it, in any way controls what you say or do. I can't	2	we'll fit in, sir, with your timetable.
3	do that and I am not intending to exercise censorship	3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Is the beginning of next
			LOND JUSTICE ELVESOIV. THI Fight. Is the beginning of next
4	rights over you. You will be aware of the law, as well	4	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?
5	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your		
		4	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?
5	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your	4 5	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?
5 6	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening,	4 5 6	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that
5 6 7	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the	4 5 6 7	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?
5 6 7 8	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.	4 5 6 7 8	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and
5 6 7 8 9	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then,
5 6 7 8 9	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.  Right. Well, how long when is it appropriate to set a timetable for to do this?  MR JAY: Well, I would have thought that the hearing would	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in providing evidence to this inquiry, but wish to do so
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.  Right. Well, how long when is it appropriate to set a timetable for to do this?  MR JAY: Well, I would have thought that the hearing would take half a day.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in providing evidence to this inquiry, but wish to do so under conditions of anonymity. Mr Jay, where are we on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.  Right. Well, how long when is it appropriate to set a timetable for to do this?  MR JAY: Well, I would have thought that the hearing would take half a day.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: At least, yes?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in providing evidence to this inquiry, but wish to do so under conditions of anonymity. Mr Jay, where are we on that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.  Right. Well, how long when is it appropriate to set a timetable for to do this?  MR JAY: Well, I would have thought that the hearing would take half a day.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: At least, yes?  MR JAY: When I suggested the beginning of next week, that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in providing evidence to this inquiry, but wish to do so under conditions of anonymity. Mr Jay, where are we on that?  MR JAY: Sir, that is right. Sir, you would have, in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as everybody else and I've no doubt at all that your clients don't want to prejudice what is happening, because in large part they are the object of the exercise.  MR SHERBORNE: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So all right.  MR SHERBORNE: I will be there, sir.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you. Does anybody else want to say anything about that? (Pause)  Right. Well, as I say, nobody need feel obliged to attend. I've made it clear that although I teased  Mr Sherborne about 10.30, the fact is nobody need be here at any of these hearings unless they wish to be.  That's a matter for their professional judgment.  Right. Well, how long when is it appropriate to set a timetable for to do this?  MR JAY: Well, I would have thought that the hearing would take half a day.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: At least, yes?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	week difficult for you, Mr Davies?  MR DAVIES: No, my Lord.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very good. Or anybody else that might want to participate?  Right. Well, I think that that's a good idea, and there's no reason why we shouldn't have a hearing, then, next Monday to deal with this discrete issue.  Right, we'll have a short break and then deal with what else remains. Thank you.  (11.45 am)  (A short break)  (11.52 am)  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. There is an associated topic which will require consideration. It really comes up under "any other business" today, because it's not mentioned by Mr Jay, which concerns the fact that a number of persons have expressed a real interest in providing evidence to this inquiry, but wish to do so under conditions of anonymity. Mr Jay, where are we on that?

3

9

the Article 8 concerns of witnesses, if satisfied that those concerns trumped any Article 8(2) consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The matter is touched on in Mr Beer's book, under the section, "Protective Measures for Witnesses", paragraph 6.92 and following.

He deals, as you might expect, with the Article 2 and the common-law position, but it's page 308, at paragraph 6.110. He deals with Article 8, admittedly in quite general terms, and you'll note under paragraph 6.111 that a person's professional life may come within the scope of his Article 8 rights. (Pause)

There are a number of witnesses who have come forward and doubtless others might do so, who are saying that they will not give their evidence without the protection of anonymity, which is the fear presumably of losing their employment and/or their professional reputations.

Now, each case would have to be considered on a, as it were, case-by-case basis, which one would need to weigh up the strength of the Article 8(1) concerns against the competing considerations in Article 8(2), which would include the desirability of public justice, as it were, that witnesses give their evidence in the full glare of publicity and not under the cloak of anonymity, and also the obvious point that if witnesses Page 49

- 1 Then there are ancillary or adjectival questions of
  - how the evidence is going to be received: will screens
  - be erected in the inquiry room, and matters of that
- 4 nature; or indeed will the evidence be heard in private?
- So these again are all theoretical. One will need 5
- 6 to consider the needs and demands of each individual
- 7
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But it's sufficient at this stage for 8
  - me to say, is it, that I am interested for the inquiry
- 10 to hear from anybody, that I will respect a request for
- 11 anonymity entirely and will not breach that anonymity
- 12 unless I have the express approval of the person who has
- 13 sought it? In other words, I will receive anything on
- 14 the basis upon which it is provided and would be
- 15 entirely content to allow that person to drop out of the
- 16 system, as it were --
- 17 MR JAY: Yes.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- if I did not accede to a request 18
- 19 for anonymity. That's the approach.
- 20 MR JAY: That must be the bottom line, and the other axiom
- 21 is that any core participant who wishes to make
- 22 submissions to you, either that as a matter of
- 23 principle, anonymity simply should not be granted, or
- 24 that in a particular case anonymity is inappropriate,
- 25 should have that opportunity before the evidence is ever
  - Page 51

- 1 are giving evidence anonymously, that will restrict the
- 2 possibility of cross-examination.
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 4 MR JAY: These are all obvious concerns. They can be
- 5 discussed in general, but as I've indicated, one would
- 6 need to delve into the facts of individual cases before
- you can reach a decision. What seems appropriate in the 7
- 8 first instance is that witnesses who wish to claim 9
  - anonymity may be invited to give their account to the
- 10 solicitor to the inquiry, and perhaps in a separate
- 11 document the reasons for the anonymity claim, but until
- 12 the issue is determined by you, such witnesses will have
- 13 the benefit of interim protection under section 19, but
- 14 in due course, you will have to decide in each
- 15 individual case whether anonymity will be granted in
- 16 respect of their evidence.
- 17 If you were to decide, for example, that anonymity 18 will not be granted because the Article 8(2)
- 19 considerations outweigh the Article 8(1), well, then,
- 20 presumably the witness will decide not to give evidence,
- 21 and that will be the end of the matter. If, on the
- 22 other hand, you decide to give anonymity in an
- 23 individual case, well, then, the evidence will be
- 24 disseminated on the basis of whatever protections you
- 25 deem appropriate.
- Page 50

- 1 given.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 3 MR JAY: The exact mechanics for achieving that will need to
- 4 be thought through, because there are difficulties in
- 5 providing witness statements even under the protection
- 6 of a confidentiality undertaking which each core
- 7 participant will need to sign in any event, in a way
- 8 which might disclose to a core participant the probable
- 9 identity of the witness. I confess that the exact
- 10 mechanics of this have not been considered.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, any statement would have to be 11
- 12 drawn up in such a way that did not, as it were, allow
- 13 a jigsaw to be put together.
- 14 MR JAY: Yes.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Otherwise, there's no point in it.
- 16 MR JAY: Absolutely.
- 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But that's -- presumably whoever
- 18 offered me evidence on that basis would be very mindful
- 19 of that risk.
- 20 MR JAY: Yes.
- LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But can I reach that conclusion at
- 22 this stage, and then, should it arise, allow for an
- 23 argument as to whether I should admit any evidence as
- 24
- 25 MR JAY: Yes. Well, in my submission you can, but other Page 52

1		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	core participants may choose to submit now that as	1	having looked at the matter and having heard submissions
2	a matter of principle, this proposed procedure is simply	2	from core participants, that that shouldn't be given
3	wrong, that the Article 8(1) considerations I've	3	anonymously and the person says, "I don't want now to
4	mentioned would never override the need for public	4	give evidence", you still also have the power to compel
5	justice. So let's hear what they have to say.	5	a person to give evidence, and of course you may then
6	But	6	have other core participants saying that evidence ought
7	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But Mr Beer certainly says somewhere		to be adduced, and the person contacting the inquiry may
8	in relation to section 19 that it specifically covers	8	need to be made aware of that possibility, however
9	witnesses giving evidence under a cloak of anonymity.	9	remote it may be.
10	MR JAY: Oh, yes. Yes, but the paradigm case would be an	10	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But it may not be, because I can
11	Article 2 or Article 3 consideration	11	say I mean, and help me, this is exactly the sort of
12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.	12	issue that I wanted to think about. Why can't I say
13	MR JAY: or similar common-law considerations. Those are	13	I will receive such material if I feel that no that
14	in play in the Baha Mousa inquiry. The Article 8	14	it's inappropriate even, at first blush, to grant
15	considerations are less clear-cut.	15	anonymity, then I can simply return the statement,
16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But again, it is important to go back	16	because I won't have disclosed it to anybody and I won't
17	to what I am trying to do, isn't it, which is not, for	17	pay any attention to it?
18	this part of the inquiry, cast blame or make findings of	18	MR DINGEMANS: Yes.
19	fact about specific people, but rather seeking to obtain	19	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: If I do what you suggest, then
20	evidence of culture, ethics, practice.	20	actually I am requiring whoever might have important
21	MR JAY: Yes.	21	information, one way or the other, not to provide it
22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Which can be more generic than	22	because I am saying: I can't even give you the warranty
23	specific. Can be.	23	as you write to me that your name won't be made public
24	MR JAY: Can be.	24	because I have the power to subpoena and I have the
25	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Well, anything else on	25	power to do all these things. Unless I say: I will not
	Page 53		Page 55
1	that topic?	1	exercise that power and I will be prepared to send the
1 2	that topic? MR JAY: Sir, no.	1 2	exercise that power and I will be prepared to send the statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you
	-		
2	MR JAY: Sir, no.	2	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you
2 3	MR JAY: Sir, no. LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called	2 3	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then
2 3 4	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say	2 3 4	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.
2 3 4 5	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?	2 3 4 5	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.
2 3 4 5 6	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other	2 3 4 5 6	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in	2 3 4 5 6 7	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody else want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only should you not maintain anonymity, you should also call
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody else want to say anything about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only should you not maintain anonymity, you should also call this person.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody else want to say anything about that?  MR DINGEMANS: Just this: that although you have the power	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only should you not maintain anonymity, you should also call this person.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You should actually call this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody else want to say anything about that?  MR DINGEMANS: Just this: that although you have the power to say and order evidence to be given anonymously, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only should you not maintain anonymity, you should also call this person.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You should actually call this evidence; this evidence is very important evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR JAY: Sir, no.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Very well. All right, this is called a fast ball. Mr Sherborne, do you have anything to say on this at this stage?  MR SHERBORNE: It is coming my way first. Nothing other than to endorse your approach; since we're talking in the abstract, if I can put it that way, we would endorse the approach that witnesses should be encouraged to give evidence in whatever form they can, and it's a matter then for you, sir, to decide whether or not, balancing Article 8(1) and 8(2), which is a familiar exercise certainly in other courts, as to whether or not that's appropriate. We have nothing more to say about it than that at this stage.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I think that at the moment it is, as I say it's not theoretical because of the approach, but I'm not making any definitive conclusions about any person.  MR SHERBORNE: I understand that.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Does anybody else want to say anything about that?  MR DINGEMANS: Just this: that although you have the power	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statement back, not deal with it in any way, unless you agree otherwise; then if I can't do that, then I effectively discourage the sort of assistance I might otherwise get.  MR DINGEMANS: No, and everyone it's common ground that you should be encouraging that assistance, but you need to contemplate these possible steps. You receive a document, a statement, which on the face of it you think should remain anonymous. It is then circulated with that anonymity respected for the core participants to make representations as to anonymity, and representations are made which persuade you, in those specific circumstances, that there ought not to be anonymity.  Then, of course, the person the inquiry can return the statement in that situation and say no more, but really has to make a ruling at this stage that that will be its approach, to avoid the core participants coming back and saying: and what is more, not only should you not maintain anonymity, you should also call this person.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You should actually call this

1 encourage it in the light of the approaches you have LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think that does raise easier 2 2 issues. I don't exclude the possibility of taking had, that that would be a proposed way forward. 3 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, because if I don't say that, evidence remotely, if it's appropriate and necessary. 4 4 We'll have to see. Thank you. they'll never do it. 5 5 MR DINGEMANS: Exactly, and that would be antithetical to Does anybody else want to say anything about that? 6 the whole purpose of the inquiry. 6 Yes, Mr Garnham? 7 7 MR GARNHAM: Sir, only to add what Mr Davies has just said: LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That's the point. Thank you. 8 8 you will be aware of the range of options that are open Mr Davies, do you have anything to say about this? 9 MR DAVIES: No, I don't think so, sir. The only thought 9 to you from an order for full anonymity with no evidence 10 10 being given in public; down through anonymous evidence, that I've had -- and this is, as you said, a fast ball 11 11 given behind a screen; anonymous evidence without 12 12 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I'm sorry about that. a screen; cipher so that only core participants know the 13 13 MR DAVIES: Not at all. I think as Mr Jay says, the identity of the person concerned; an order for no 14 14 Article 8 issues could be quite difficult to balance, publication of name or appearance or addresses all the 15 and I don't think we would want to get drawn into an 15 way down; and a particular solution may fit a particular 16 argument in principle about that. But we certainly 16 set of facts. 17 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I entirely agree. One size won't fit would want to have the right to make submissions in any 18 particular case, and those submissions might -- you 18 all, but the critical point that I am keen to 19 19 know, will very likely also embrace the principle. But establish -- and this is why I wanted to raise it now --20 I think an abstract argument would probably take a lot 20 was whether to make it clear that I would receive such 21 21 of everybody's time. material without prejudicing the person who provides it 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. But the general principle has 22 from withdrawing. 23 to be identified along the lines I've just discussed 23 MR GARNHAM: On that I have nothing else to say. 24 24 with Mr Dingemans, otherwise I don't get past the first MR GLEN: Sir, I think Mr Garnham may have pre-empted one o 25 hurdle, do I? 25 the things I was going to raise. It is simply to Page 57 Page 59 MR DAVIES: Well, I don't think we would have any objection 1 understand the process by which a witness statement that 1 2 2 to the procedure which was debated between you and your Lordship receives and considers of interest, but 3 3 Mr Dingemans, under which you retain the right to say: the witness wants to retain anonymity. Would the 4 all right, I am persuaded that this evidence should not 4 witness statement, when it is passed to the core 5 5 be given anonymously, but in those circumstances it's participants, be redacted to the point where the core 6 6 not going to be given at all. participants at that stage wouldn't be able to discern 7 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Unless the witness is prepared to 7 the identity of the witness concerned? 8 say: all right. 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Otherwise, it defeats the purpose, 9 9 doesn't it? MR DAVIES: Unless the witness is prepared --10 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So, in other words, I give the MR GLEN: I can see that, sir. That's my point really. It 11 is quite difficult then to make submissions on whether 11 witness the trump, if you like. 12 MR DAVIES: Yes. 12 anonymity may be justified in the abstract without 13 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The ultimate decision. knowing the identity; if, say, the witness is making 14 14 MR DAVIES: Yes. allegations about newspaper X, in certain circumstances, 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: All right. Thank you. it may be that newspaper X would like to submit evidence 16 MR DAVIES: Could I just say, this discussion I think 16 in response to that --17 17 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. relates to complete anonymity, and not to a slightly 18 MR GLEN: -- but without knowing the identity. different question of witnesses who may wish -- not to 18 19 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I understand the point but we'll only have their appearance bandied about, because that may 20 occur with a number of witnesses. The particular 20 be able to do it on a case-by-case basis, and given that 21 21 I am not, as I say, here to seek to unpick one newspaper example I have in mind is Mr Mahmood, who is perhaps 22 22 better known as the fake sheikh. as opposed to another, this isn't going -- I am not 23 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes. going to produce a report that says: well, I think this

than complete anonymity.

MR DAVIES: But that, I think, raises much easier issues

Page 58

24

25

24

25

newspaper has a wonderful system and that newspaper has

an awful system. That's not my job. My job is to see

- 1 what's going on in the business and whether as a result,
- 2 because it really is the follow-on, the method
- 3 whereby -- the controls that are in place, the PCC or
- 4 whatever, are sufficient.
- 5 MR GLEN: I understand.
- 6 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's only to get to that last
- 7 question that I've got to go through the first, isn't
- 8 it?
- 9 MR GLEN: I understand that, sir. It's just in terms of the
- wider culture or practice that's going on, it is
- inevitable that if evidence is put forward, either by
- 12 Mr Sherborne's clients or by anonymous witnesses coming
- forward and contributing themselves, if that evidence is
- put forward, that's going to be specific examples, and
- put for ward, that's going to be specific champios, and
- one can see a situation where a misleading impression of
- the culture and practices and ethics of the press is
- 17 created, if press are not able to answer individual
- 18 allegations.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I take the point, and therefore
- 20 that's the balance and therefore one will have to look
- and see what's going on and have to see whether it adds
- 22 to the corpus of knowledge. I'm not saying how much
- 23 weight could ever be attached to this evidence, which is
- an entirely different question. That's an entirely
- 25 different question.

9

#### Page 61

- 1 forward, that we could all consider this fast ball and
- 2 the ramifications and the fairness of the procedure
- 3 being indicated.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: It's not too fast a ball. We won't
- 5 debate it. Don't worry.
- 6 I am very happy to continue to hear you on the
- 7 subject, because I am conscious that it wasn't in the
- 8 note that Mr Jay circulated, because this is an issue
- 9 which has only comparatively recently arisen.
- So if on Monday you want to say something else about
- the subject, then you'll be absolutely at liberty to do
- so and I won't do anything that runs counter to that in
- 13 advance of Monday.
- 14 MS PALIN: I am grateful.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Except I might start to prepare
- a protocol that deals with it.
- 17 MS PALIN: I think that would be --
- 18 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: When I say "I might start", I don't
- 19 actually mean that. Right. Does anybody else want to
- 20 say anything on this subject?
- 21 Just Mr Sherborne, you ought to pick up the point
- 22 that's been made, and the possibility is that you may
- say: well, actually, I want this person to give evidence
- but that might just have to be the quid pro quo.
- 25 MR SHERBORNE: It may be. You'll appreciate that in Page 63

- MR GLEN: I think that maybe is the fundamental --
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, I understand. Yes.
- 3 MS PALIN: Sir, the last point your Lordship made is the key

way forward is for us to consider what your Lordship and

- 4 point here; this is a fast ball and I wonder whether the
- 6 the inquiry have said this morning and then make further
- 7 submissions on Monday, if so minded.
- 8 Our concern is that in receiving the evidence -- but
  - obviously your Lordship will need to see the application
- 10 for anonymity and the reasons that lie behind it first
- of all, but we are concerned that while you should have
- to see the evidence, the anonymous evidence that the
- witness wishes to give at that stage, in order to --
- when at that stage all that needs to be considered are
- 15 the reasons why the applicant wishes to have anonymity.
- 16 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But the reasons might be balanced 16
- but the evidence potentially to be given may be of
- significance, even if only limited weight can be
- 19 attached to it. I am not troubled about looking at
- 20 material which I am later going to exclude from my mind.
- I spent a lifetime doing that. So nobody need have
- concerns about it, but I am perfectly happy if you want
- 23 to say something else about this subject on Monday for
- you to do so.
- 25 MS PALIN: I think that would be the appropriate way

# Page 62

- 1 relation to the core participants there is only one, and
- 2 we've already debated this, or ventilated this --
- 3 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Oh no, I'm not talking about the core
- 4 participant who is himself or herself anonymous. That's
- 5 quite different. I'm not talking about that all.
- 6 MR SHERBORNE: I appreciate the point. I just wanted to be
- 7 clear in case there was any suggestion it was somehow
- 8 core participant victims.
- 9 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you for making that point.
- 10 Right. What else am I dealing with, Mr Jay?
- 11 MR JAY: The uploading of material on the system and
- 12 dissemination.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 14 MR JAY: The first stage, and this can be completed almost
- immediately, is that the core participants will be
- 16 invited to sign a confidentiality undertaking. That is
- being prepared in draft. I have approved it. It is in
- 18 a standard form. It is based on a similar undertaking
- 19 given in a previous inquiry, and I imagine will be
- 20 entirely uncontroversial.
- 21 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, because obviously one can't have
- what one is showing to core participants in advance
- 23 enter the public domain.
- 24 MR JAY: Yes. Sir, the most interesting evidence from the
- core participants' perspective, save for a category of

	l l		
1	evidence I'll need to address in a moment, are the	1	participants have very similar bonus structures.
2	witness statements from other core participants and	2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You had better be careful about this,
3	indeed from ordinary members of the public.	3	Mr Jay.
4	It occurs to us or appears to us that those	4	MR JAY: Perhaps I've already given away too much. It is
5	statements can probably be provided in full to the core	5	hardly of surprise, because the range of possibilities
6	participants, save that essential personal data can be	6	here is not going to be too plentiful. The concerns
7	redacted, and by that I mean the address at the start of	7	which have been expressed, I can see one or two
8	the statement, where it's not a professional address.	8	instances where they might have force, but generally
9	So that process can occur almost immediately in	9	I don't see the strength of the point, but I would
10	relation to the witness statements. There are then	10	invite the core participants in relation to these
11	two	11	exhibits just to be more specific.
12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: The other one yes, you might	12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, you're not talking about the
13	MR JAY: There are two categories of documentary evidence	13	core participants; you're talking about the witnesses.
14	which are slightly more tricky. The first category are	14	MR JAY: Yes, they are we're talking about press
15	the exhibits to many of the press witness statements,	15	witnesses who are
16	which are multifarious but many of them contain what are	16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, but the BBC might be in the same
17	said to be commercially sensitive material, for example	17	position, I don't know.
18	details of bonuses, details of disciplinary procedures,	18	MR JAY: Yes, they are. That's true.
19	the minutiae of contractual matters, et cetera, and it's	19	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So you disclose the statements, you
20	said that to provide that material to competitors might	20	disclose the exhibits about which no complaint or
21	be commercially damaging.	21	concern has been raised by the maker of the statement,
22	Now, the strength of that objection is difficult to	22	and you ask the maker of the statement to identify
23	assess, certainly from an outsider, but what I would	23	precisely how the documents which he wishes to be
24	suggest in order to accelerate the process and without	24	redacted should be redacted, and then you make
25	prejudice to the protocol is that if individual members	25	a decision?
	Page 65		Page 67
1	of the press can identify more precisely and as quickly	1	MR JAY: Yes.
1 2	of the press can identify more precisely and as quickly as possible what redactions are sought in relation to	1 2	MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.
	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to		LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.
2	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material,	2	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which
2 3	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in	2 3	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps
2 3 4	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done	2 3 4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear
2 3 4 5	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be	2 3 4 5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which
2 3 4 5 6 7	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the	2 3 4 5 6 7	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of
2 3 4 5 6	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in whole or in part. But I would have thought that much of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core participants will have to await your ruling as and when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in whole or in part. But I would have thought that much of the material to which you refer would not be of primary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core participants will have to await your ruling as and when you give it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in whole or in part. But I would have thought that much of the material to which you refer would not be of primary interest to the core participants, the history of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core participants will have to await your ruling as and when you give it.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Absolutely. That must follow. Bu
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in whole or in part. But I would have thought that much of the material to which you refer would not be of primary interest to the core participants, the history of it might be of interest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core participants will have to await your ruling as and when you give it.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Absolutely. That must follow. Bu the witness that I was going to refer to and wondered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as possible what redactions are sought in relation to what I am calling the commercially sensitive material, insofar as redactions have not already been made in material supplied to the inquiry, if that could be done as soon as possible, well, then, rapid decisions can be taken by the inquiry as to whether to accept the proposed redactions or if not to accept them what how the matter can be resolved, hopefully consensually and without the need to trouble you.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Is it possible to provide the statements without those exhibits that MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: about which concern is expressed by the makers of the statements?  MR JAY: Yes.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And then ask the makers of the statements to identify the redactions they seek, given that they've asked for it to be redacted, whether in whole or in part. But I would have thought that much of the material to which you refer would not be of primary interest to the core participants, the history of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: That seems eminently sensible.  MR JAY: There is another category of documentation, which is more problematic because the issue precisely overlaps with the one you're going to determine or at least hear argument about next Monday. There's documentation which has been provided pursuant to the order of Mr Justice Vos in the civil proceedings, has been provided to the inquiry either by the Met Police or by the claimants to those proceedings, it matters not which. Some material has been provided to the inquiry in entirely unredacted form, where the police might wish to say, in line with the submissions they've been making, that that material should not be published in that form or at all.  So all I can say at this stage is that that material, and there isn't a huge amount of it, but there's certainly a fair amount of it, the approach to that material and its provision to the other core participants will have to await your ruling as and when you give it.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Absolutely. That must follow. Bu

Page 66

- 1 MR JAY: Yes.
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And his predecessors.
- 3 MR JAY: Yes.
- 4 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Has anybody sought redactions in
- 5 relation to that?
- 6 MR JAY: I don't believe so. I think we can provide all of
- 7 that
- 8 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: So that's a fair amount of material.
- 9 MR JAY: Yes.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I mean, I am just concerned about the 10
- 11 free time of the core participants.
- 12 MR JAY: Yes.
- 13 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: And to use it sensibly.
- 14 MR JAY: Well, the witness statements I am referring to,
- there are a significant number of witness statements.
- 16 The redaction of the personal data can take place
- 17 reasonably quickly; in most cases only a professional
- address has been provided. I can assure the core
- 19 participants that to read all that will take just a bit
- of time. It's the exhibits. Most of the exhibits are,
- 21 with respect, particularly interesting, need to be other
- than skim read.
- 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You mean they're not particularly
- 24 interesting.
- 25 MR JAY: They're not, no. But they provide evidence of
  - Page 69

- 1 argument on Monday, because it strikes us that there may
- 2 be -- we haven't seen it so we don't know -- there may
- 3 be material in those statements that would be
- 4 prejudicial to police investigations.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, are you suggesting that I can
- 6 redact things that the victims themselves wish to say?
- 7 MR GARNHAM: I don't want to foreclose on the use to which
- 8 those statements are made before you've heard the
- 9 argument, sir.
- 0 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, it may be you should have
- 11 a discussion with Mr Sherborne, because I am going to
- require a lot of convincing to shut out those who allege
- 13 that they are victims --
- 14 MR GARNHAM: I understand that, sir.
- 15 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: -- from saying what they want to say
- 16 MR GARNHAM: Absolutely, and I would be slow to make the
- point but I don't want to make it impossible to make the
- 18 point.
- 19 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But I don't think that we'll be
- 20 putting any statements -- as I understand it, we don't
- 21 yet have any, have we, Mr Sherborne?
- 22 MR SHERBORNE: Well, I am conscious of not taking up
- 23 Mr Garnham's invitation to put back any statements any
- 24 further as a result.
- 25 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No.
  - Page 71

- 1 systems and of corporate governance --
- 2 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, that's important.
- 3 MR JAY: -- which you do need to consider. I am not seeking
- 4 to diminish their importance.
- 5 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I think that's interesting.
- 6 MR JAY: What is really interesting, and I mean it, is the
- 7 material I've just been referring to; indeed I was
- 8 reading some of it this morning and I am going to say
- 9 nothing more about it.
- 10 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No, I think you shouldn't. All
- 11 right. Well, I understand that and there are all sorts
- of decisions which will have to be made before one gets
- down the territory of revealing anything with which
- we've been provided that the police or the Director is
- expressing concern about. That's the subject of the argument we're going to have on Monday, and will lead to
- a ruling and will doubtless lead to at least further
- 18 consideration, or may do in any event. I'm not jumping
- 19 any particular gun.
- 20 So everybody will just have to wait for that.
- 21 MR JAY: Yes.
- 22 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: But -- sorry, Mr Garnham.
- 23 MR GARNHAM: I was only going to say in that context that we 23
- 24 would invite the inquiry not to upload the witness
- 25 statements from the "victims" until you've heard the
  - Page 70

- 1 MR SHERBORNE: Can I say this: we're alive to this concern,
- 2 not least because of our involvement in the civil
- 3 litigation in the Chancery division. It is not the
- 4 intention of any of the core participant victims who are
- 5 giving evidence to prejudice the police investigation or
- 6 the proceedings.
- 7 I understand that's a general statement and there
- 8 may be specific points that Mr Garnham wants to raise.
- 9 I understand what you're saying, sir. All I can say at
- this stage is we're very alive to it.
- 11 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Right. But the answer is that this
- isn't even going to be in the first tranche of
- statements to be uploaded, not least because the inquiry
- doesn't have them yet.
- 15 MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I was trying to do that calculation
- whilst I was sitting here. I think it is right to say
- that none of us -- actually, it may not be right to say
- 18 that -- I think there are statements, at least one
- statement in the first tranche as envisaged is going to
- 20 be delivered, which will come from someone who was
- a victim of phone hacking. But it is something that
  we're very alive to.
- 23 LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes.
- 24 MR SHERBORNE: I can have a discussion perhaps with Mr
- 25 Garnham.

1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: You're very welcome to do that,	1	rooms.
2	provided you make your decision about what you want to	2	Mr Garnham, do you see any problem with that?
3	put in, and then if somebody wants to rule that	3	MR GARNHAM: No.
4	I haven't got to hear it, then they can make an	4	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, I don't ask any of the media
5	application.	5	representatives. If any feel that it would be useful
6	I would, for my part, be slightly surprised if	6	for me to visit them, then communicate with the inquiry.
7	anything that the victims wanted to say, given that	7	I will not consider it amiss if they don't, and I will
8	they're at the very end of this process, of whatever	8	not draw any inferences from that.
9	process there has been, would itself create a risk of	9	I would quite like, I think if I am going to do it,
10	substantial prejudice to an investigation or a trial.	10	to visit one at least regional newspaper. The point has
11	It may very well identify how significant it's been, and	11	been made that nationals are different from regionals.
12	it may very well talk about the impact that conduct has	12	One of the invitations that I received was from a core
13	had, but I don't consider that as likely to engage the	13	participant who also owns regional titles. So that's
14	issues of substantial interference in the process of	14	not terribly difficult to work out who that is. But
15	investigation or any potential prosecution.	15	otherwise nobody need feel it necessary to fall over
16	MR SHERBORNE: Sir, I agree. It's extremely unlikely, but	16	themselves to offer me facilities. Equally, nobody
17	it is something that we want to reassure the police	17	should feel inhibited. All right?
18	about, because we have no interest at all, I think	18	Is there anything else that I can do to encourage
19	that's clear from everything I've said this morning, we	19	the process of discussion that I know has been taking
20	have no interest at all in prejudicing	20	place, so as to ensure that we can start now on Monday,
21	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: No I understand. Right. Well,	21	I think it's 14 November, and proceed at a steady pace,
22	that's a useful exchange of views. Does anybody else	22	without interruption, hesitation or deviation
23	want to enter into this discussion? (Pause)	23	thereafter?
24	Right. Anything else, Mr Jay?	24	MR JAY: Sir, only one minor point.
25	MR JAY: Sir, no.	25	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, Mr Jay.
	Page 73		Page 75
1	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Well, there is one and that's the	1	MR JAY: The hearing next Monday, 31 October, I will be
2	question of the final item on your note, which	2	putting in a submission in writing. I want to do so by
3	concerned visits.	3	4.00 pm this Friday. It may be appropriate for you to
4	MR JAY: Yes.	4	rule that anybody who wishes to put in a submission in
5	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: I've said that I've received	5	writing should do so by the same date.
6	invitations, possibly because the comment has been made	6	LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Thank you very much. That's a good
7	that I don't understand the media, and I am prepared on	7	
0		′	time. 4.00 pm Friday is a good time. Thank you for
8	a low-key basis to accede to them, but would not accede	8	time. 4.00 pm Friday is a good time. Thank you for arranging my weekend, Mr Jay.
9	a low-key basis to accede to them, but would not accede to them if there was any objection from either from		
		8	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay.
9	to them if there was any objection from either from	8 9	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm)
9 10	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am	8 9 10	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he	8 9 10 11	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.	8 9 10 11 12	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low	8 9 10 11 12 13	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.  MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, we have no objection. I am sure	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.  MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, we have no objection. I am sure they will look very pristine when you go.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.  MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, we have no objection. I am sure they will look very pristine when you go.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, at the moment there hasn't	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 t24	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.  MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, we have no objection. I am sure they will look very pristine when you go.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, at the moment there hasn't been agreement about the language that's used in these	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay.  (12.33 pm)  (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am on Monday, 31 October 2011)
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to them if there was any objection from either from any of the core participants, and of course I am particularly focused on Mr Sherborne here, because he doesn't run a newsroom.  What I would do is arrange a visit, as I say, low key with one member of the team, not one of the counsel but one member of the team, and I would not listen to I mean, I don't want presentations, I just want to see I am happy to see how it works, which is what we're talking about, and take that away.  Mr Sherborne, your note said you had no observations to make about the proposed visit, if I believed it would be of assistance.  MR SHERBORNE: Sir, yes, we have no objection. I am sure they will look very pristine when you go.  LORD JUSTICE LEVESON: Yes, well, at the moment there hasn't	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 t24	arranging my weekend, Mr Jay. (12.33 pm) (The inquiry adjourned until 10.30 am

_	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	INDEX PAGE
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Directions Hearing1
4	
5	
6	
/ 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
21	
22	
$\begin{vmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	
$\begin{vmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{vmatrix}$	
	Page 77

						•
A	26:14 27:18	58:19 59:14	assisted 31:8	<b>believe</b> 6:1 38:7	caution 2:12	coming 1:14
able 1:15 6:22	38:13 39:24	appearing 30:17	associated 25:14	69:6	censorship 46:3	26:25 54:6
13:21 15:18	56:3 59:17	appears 8:7 65:4	25:21 48:16	believed 74:20	certain 60:14	56:20 61:12
22:6,17 26:11	73:16	applicant 62:15	assumes 28:9	beneficial 47:17	certainly 1:19	comment 42:16
32:3,5 34:5	agreed 41:15	application 9:12	assure 69:18	benefit 50:13	7:18 11:17	74:6
40:8 60:6,20	agreement 74:25	27:25 39:20	attached 61:23	better 22:7 58:22	12:1,15 14:18	commercial
61:17	ahead 47:5	62:9 73:5	62:19	67:2	37:13 44:4	27:22
absolute 34:4	aim 21:21	applies 33:3	attaching 38:16	beyond 29:13	45:11 47:16	commercially
absolutely 6:7	aiming 10:22	apply 12:25	attempts 39:8	44:13,20	53:7 54:13	65:17,21 66:3
11:12 13:1	alive 72:1,10,22	appreciate 13:2	<b>attend</b> 46:15	bigger 43:7	57:16 65:23	Commissioner
25:20 39:2	allegations 60:14	13:13 28:20	attention 35:9	<b>bit</b> 3:11 9:5,15	68:18	68:25
42:15 44:2	61:18	63:25 64:6	55:17	15:19 17:17	cetera 20:10	Commissioner's
52:16 63:11	allege 71:12	approach 7:11	attractive 23:22	24:14 48:1	65:19	43:13
68:22 71:16	alleged 31:24	16:9 51:19	availability 7:14	69:19	challenge 40:13	commitments
abstract 39:23	<b>allow</b> 17:16	54:7,9,18	available 5:16	blame 53:18	challenging 40:6	23:7,8
54:8 57:20	51:15 52:12,22	56:19 68:18	10:2,18 11:2,3	blush 55:14	chance 39:12	common 56:6
60:12	allows 21:16	approaches 57:1	27:13 31:1	<b>bogged</b> 43:20	Chancery 72:3	common-law
abuse 35:2,13	amiss 75:7	approaching	33:13	bolts 1:24	chances 22:5	49:7 53:13
47:21	<b>amount</b> 68:17,18	21:3	avenue 40:7	bonus 67:1	charged 34:5	communicate
accede 2:19 3:10	69:8	<b>appropriate</b> 5:25 9:24 16:14	avoid 22:15	bonuses 65:18 book 49:3	choose 53:1 chooses 38:19	75:6 communication
51:18 74:8,8	ample 15:20 ancillary 51:1	9:24 16:14 32:10 37:7	39:16 43:21,23 56:19	bottom 51:20	chunk 30:2	9:3
accelerate 65:24	and/or 49:16	46:19 50:7,25	await 68:20	bounds 16:14	cipher 59:12	comparatively
accept 66:7,8	anonymity 48:22	54:14 59:3	aware 7:15 46:4	bow 14:6	circulated 2:2	63:9
access 19:21,25	49:15,25 50:9	62:25 76:3	55:8 59:8	breach 51:11	39:2 56:10	compel 55:4
20:5,7,12 22:7	50:11,15,17,22	appropriately	awful 60:25	break 28:16	63:8	competing 2:6
22:9,11 25:1	51:11,11,19,23	31:8,14	axiom 51:20	32:10 48:11,14	circulating 5:21	6:17 34:6 39:3
account 50:9 ace 2:17	51:24 53:9	approval 9:22	W01120	<b>brief</b> 9:14	circumstances	39:9 49:21
ace 2:17 achieving 52:3	55:15 56:11,12	51:12	B	briefly 18:13	14:21 56:14	competitors
act 33:8 34:22,22	56:15,21 58:17	approved 64:17	baby 42:11,12	broad 5:21	58:5 60:14	65:20
34:25	58:25 59:9	area 7:5 35:12	back 3:6,7 19:9	broadly 21:6	civil 13:14,19	complaint 67:20
acted 3:4	60:3,12 62:10	35:15	19:13 25:3	<b>burden</b> 29:12	36:16 68:8	complete 58:17
activated 21:20	62:15	areas 7:4 21:18	32:7 38:15	<b>bus</b> 40:9	72:2	58:25
active 32:20	anonymous	35:11	43:12 53:16	business 48:18	claim 34:25 50:8	completed 64:14
activity 34:14	56:10 59:10,11	argue 37:11	56:2,20 71:23	61:1	50:11	complied 28:13
acute 40:19	61:12 62:12	argued 37:14	<b>Baha</b> 53:14		claimant 10:11	concern 2:24 3:5
add 24:22 59:7	64:4	argument 34:3	balance 9:25	C	claimants 68:10	24:3 34:10
address 8:23	anonymously	35:2,3 37:21	57:14 61:20	calculation	claimed 27:23	42:5,15 62:8
10:13 13:8	50:1 54:24	41:5 52:23	balanced 62:16	72:15	clear 7:21 8:24	66:14 67:21
36:20 37:8	55:3 58:5	57:16,20 68:6	balances 46:25	call 5:12,15	16:16,17 17:8	70:15 72:1
39:25 40:8,8	answer 37:20	70:16 71:1,9	balancing 34:3	21:12 24:9	20:21 21:8	concerned 15:3
65:1,7,8 69:18	61:17 72:11	arisen 4:7 63:9	54:11	27:16 56:21,23	26:2 40:25	17:17 34:13
addressed 14:16	anticipate 10:4	arrange 19:8	<b>ball</b> 54:4 57:10	called 5:3 6:11	44:24 46:15	42:17,24 43:9
28:13	13:3 18:1 20:9	74:13	62:4 63:1,4	7:6 43:25 54:3	59:20 64:7	59:13 60:7
addresses 17:24	20:22 29:22	arranging 76:8	bandied 58:19	calling 66:3	73:19	62:11 69:10
59:14	anticipated 4:4	arrest 33:1	Bar 32:3	camp 8:20	clearer 4:23 13:25	74:3
adds 61:21	anticipation 40:3 antithetical 57:5	arrested 32:17 32:24	based 26:4 64:18	capable 32:3		concerns 1:19,19
adduce 46:1	anxious 39:16	Article 49:1,2,6	<b>basis</b> 9:7 10:24	careful 67:2 carries 9:25	clear-cut 53:15 click 30:21	25:1 26:15,18 39:5 45:9
adduced 55:7	anybody 1:16	49:8,11,20,21	21:2 26:3 29:6 31:6 49:19	carries 9:25 carrot 21:7	clients 15:3,4	48:19 49:1,2
adduces 46:1	8:25 9:2 26:7	50:18,19 53:3	50:24 51:14	44:18	37:3,3 44:15	49:20 50:4
adjectival 51:1	29:20,23 33:16	53:11,11,14	52:18 60:20	case 3:19,20 9:13	46:6 61:12	62:22 67:6
adjourned 76:10	33:20 34:4	54:12 57:14	74:8	12:5 27:24	client's 45:11	concert 47:25
Administrative	40:6 41:6	aside 38:14	bath 42:12	49:18 50:15,23	cloak 49:24 53:9	concertina 3:7
40:16	46:12 47:3	40:22	batting 4:25 8:16	51:7,24 53:10	close 41:19	conclude 5:7
admit 52:23 admitted 4:16	48:6 51:10	asked 4:6 66:19	BBC 27:6,14,19	57:18 64:7	coded 44:5	conclusion 52:21
admitted 4:16 admittedly 49:8	54:21 55:16	asking 14:3	30:3 67:16	cases 50:6 69:17	cohesive 23:14	conclusions
admittedly 49.8 adopt 25:22	59:5 63:19	42:15,16	bearing 29:14	case-by-case	come 9:12 12:16	54:18
adopt 23.22 advance 11:18	69:4 73:22	aspect 42:14	becoming 12:6	31:6 49:19	14:12 19:9,13	conditions 48:22
63:13 64:22	76:4	assess 65:23	Beer 53:7	60:20	25:3 32:7	conduct 73:12
advances 29:21	anyway 30:14	assimilation	Beer's 49:3	cast 53:18	37:12 49:11,12	confess 52:9
advances 23.21 advice 44:14	45:24	28:23	beginning 4:11	categories 65:13	72:20	confidence 27:22
afoot 32:15	apologise 1:8	assist 14:9 22:6	46:24 48:3	category 64:25	comes 31:18	confidentiality
<b>afraid</b> 13:16	apparent 30:16	26:24 27:8	<b>behalf</b> 25:14	65:14 68:3	34:17 48:17	52:6 64:16
agenda 4:22	appear 10:16	28:17 31:2	33:14 38:12	cause 7:25 36:20	68:25	connection 15:24
ago 39:18	38:20	assistance 56:4,7	45:8	45:12	comfortable	45:25
	appearance	74:21	behaviour 4:19	causing 2:11	10:14	conscious 10:1
agree 20:15 22:9	appearance	,				
<b>agree</b> 20:15 22:9	appearance	,		g .		

		_			_	_
16:1 23:4	8:2 10:9 12:25		54:18	discuss 7:11	E	22:14 52:7
26:16 47:24	17:4 20:5,18		delayed 1:3	28:15		70:18
63:7 71:22	22:13,20 25:12	<b>Dacre</b> 25:22	deliver 12:1	discussed 15:2	earlier 16:20	eventual 35:2
consensually	26:22 27:13	26:10	delivered 11:23	35:24,24 41:19	early 3:12 23:15	everybody 2:7
66:9	28:3 30:17	<b>daily</b> 7:17	72:20	50:5 57:23	37:9	2:11,16,23
		damaging 65:21			earlyish 5:8	, ,
consider 40:18	31:3,12 33:12	data 65:6 69:16	delve 50:6	discussing 33:10	easier 30:14	3:11 6:12 8:8
43:19 51:6	35:8 41:1,1,3	database 32:1	demands 51:6	discussion 30:12	58:24 59:1	8:15,17 9:9
62:5 63:1 70:3	45:4,14 51:21	date 2:6 10:20,22	depends 23:19	58:16 71:11	easy 11:7 30:18	14:22 18:10
73:13 75:7	52:6,8 53:1	11:22 16:17,24	deployed 1:18	72:24 73:23	31:20 37:20	19:12 21:12,14
consideration	55:2,6 56:11	16:25 17:10	description	75:19	<b>edited</b> 27:12	21:24 24:3
5:17,18 25:17	56:19 59:12	19:20 20:21	22:18	disseminated	<b>effect</b> 36:5,6	31:14 33:4,5
27:11,24 39:9	60:4,5 64:1,3,8	23:4 24:21	desirability	50:24	effective 30:20	37:16 46:5
44:11 48:17	64:15,22,25	33:1,1 76:5	49:22	dissemination	effectively 34:1	70:20
49:2 53:11	65:2,5 66:22	dates 2:6	desirable 29:12	64:12	56:4	everybody's
70:18	66:25 67:10,13	<b>Davies</b> 14:25	desire 45:11	distance 5:3,10	<b>effort</b> 22:23	57:21
considerations	68:19 69:11,18	15:1,9,13,17	detail 9:6 28:17	distinct 36:7	<b>eight</b> 27:1	evidence 4:9,12
6:18 49:21	72:4 74:10	16:1,11,16,20	43:2,8,9,21,24	39:8	either 3:7 4:16	5:7 6:2 7:14
50:19 53:3,13	75:12	17:16 18:13,23	detailed 7:16	distinction 38:5	4:16 5:13	8:12 10:10,16
53:15	corporate 70:1	19:1,14 26:15	22:18	division 72:3	27:12 31:20	10:17 15:5
considered 49:18	<b>corpus</b> 61:22	37:3 41:8,9,15	details 18:5	Divisional 39:14	44:4 51:22	20:25 26:1
52:10 62:14	Correct 33:2	41:23 42:8,13	65:18,18	40:16	61:11 68:9	27:17 30:22,23
considers 60:2	counsel 3:18	42:22 43:15	determine 68:5	document 21:14	74:9	45:5,6,15,25
constitute 26:1	15:22 74:14	44:9,16,22,25	determined	22:19 24:24	elaborate 25:4	48:21 49:14,23
contact 54:25	counter 63:12	48:4,5 57:8,9	50:12	25:3 26:20	element 43:6	50:1,16,20,23
contacting 55:7	couple 19:10	57:13 58:1,9	develop 35:7	37:11 50:11	emails 40:2	51:2,4,25
contain 65:16	course 3:9,20	58:12,14,16,24	deviation 75:22	56:9	embrace 57:19	52:18,23 53:9
contained 28:24	10:15,21,22	59:7	different 9:16	documentary	eminently 68:2	53:20 54:10,24
contemplate	14:25 27:18	day 4:8 5:4 46:22	10:7 21:23	65:13	employment	55:4,5,6 56:24
56:8	31:1 32:20	days 4:5 6:16,18	24:5,6 31:12	documentation	49:16	56:24,24 58:4
contempt 32:21	36:19 39:12	6:21 12:4 13:6	38:25 58:18	27:20 68:3,6	encourage 57:1	59:3,9,10,11
33:8 35:16	40:22 41:24	17:16 23:15,20	61:24,25 64:5	documents 7:17	75:18	60:15 61:11,13
47:22	45:9,24 50:14	23:24 24:8	75:11	7:18,22 8:1	encouraged 54:9	61:23 62:8,12
contend 4:20	55:5 56:16,25	deal 7:8,10 17:3	difficult 48:4	19:18,21,25	encouraging	62:12,17 63:23
content 8:24	74:10	17:18 20:25	57:14 60:11	20:8,10 22:18	18:19 56:7	64:24 65:1,13
18:6 26:9 36:1	court 1:11 11:4	23:4 26:20	65:22 75:14	22:20,22 25:1	endorse 54:7,8	68:25 69:25
40:23 51:15	23:16 24:10	33:17 37:24	difficulties 11:9	26:21,22,25	engage 73:13	72:5
contentious	32:21 33:8	38:14,18 39:23	29:15 52:4	27:2,5 28:1,6	engine 30:20	evidently 5:6
14:13	39:14 40:16,16	40:15 48:10,11	diminish 70:4	31:2,21 39:21	engines 31:19	exact 52:3,9
context 16:8	courts 54:13	56:2	Dingemans	40:2,19 42:1,4		exactly 7:25
70:23	covering 9:15	dealing 1:22	19:15,17 20:1	42:4 67:23	ensure 10:15 75:20	55:11 57:5
continue 2:18	covers 53:8		20:7,12 22:5	dogmatic 30:11		example 27:4
63:6	<b>CPS</b> 33:14 43:23	22:11 64:10	22:11 23:2,6	doing 11:19	enter 41:4 64:23 73:23	30:20 31:24
continues 32:19	create 1:19 29:15	deals 49:6,8	23:12,18 24:2	13:21 62:21		50:17 58:21
continuing 39:7	73:9	63:16	24:11,18 26:15	domain 32:18	entirely 9:24	65:17
contractual	created 61:17	dealt 17:22 36:4	54:23 55:18	36:15,16 64:23	10:14 23:19	examples 61:14
65:19	creates 23:5	39:10	56:6,25 57:5	doubt 2:17 5:12	28:10 33:22	exceed 18:21
contributing	creating 24:16	debate 3:2 22:3	57:24 58:3	13:20 15:7,19	38:21 39:23	exceedingly
61:13	45:10	41:3,5 63:5	direction 20:20	46:5	40:22 51:11,15	40:12
control 17:10,11	criminal 45:10	debated 3:2 58:2	Directions 1:5	doubtless 49:13	59:17 61:24,24	exception 9:11
controls 46:2	critical 1:16	64:2	77:3	70:17	64:20 68:12	18:17 24:4
61:3	19:22 20:13	debates 3:8	directly 39:19	<b>DPP</b> 38:15 41:16	environment	exceptions 24:6
controversial	22:3 59:18	decide 27:15	Director 35:6	47:25	26:6	exchange 73:22
27:3	Crossley 12:10	31:5 38:17	38:1,3,7 39:5	draft 64:17	envisage 12:4	exchanged 20:10
convenient 4:8	16:13	45:21 50:14,17	70:14	draw 35:9 75:8	18:14	exclude 59:2
5:1 18:9	cross-examina	50:20,22 54:11	<b>Director's</b> 37:19	drawn 52:12	envisaged 41:25	62:20
conventional	50:2	54:25	discern 60:6	57:15	72:19	exercise 2:11
3:17	cross-examined	decided 3:23	disciplinary	drop 51:15	equally 16:8	15:23 16:2
conversations	26:3	42:12	65:18	due 50:14	17:17 75:16	27:24 46:3,8
38:1	Crown 1:20	deciding 5:14	disclose 52:8	duplication	equipment 32:4	54:12 56:1
convey 38:15	24:10	decision 40:13	67:19,20	22:23	erected 51:3	exercised 34:21
convincing 71:12	culture 34:12,13	50:7 58:13	disclosed 10:17	dust 21:16	essential 65:6	exhibits 27:21
_	42:17 53:20	67:25 73:2	29:3 55:16	duties 6:23	establish 8:16	30:1 65:15
cooperation 30:16		decisions 66:6			59:19	
	61:10,16	70:12	<b>disclosing</b> 21:21 29:3	duty 34:21	et 20:10 65:19	66:12,25 67:11
cope 11:9 15:15	current 5:6 18:23	deem 50:25		dwelt 35:5	ethics 34:12	67:20 69:20,20
core 2:2,4,18		defeats 60:8	disclosure 19:17	<b>dynamic</b> 18:18	42:17 53:20	existing 27:12 expect 15:4 49:6
3:24 4:13,24	currently 13:3	definition 20:16	discourage 56:4	34:6	61:16	
5:24 6:8 7:22		definitive 5:22	discrete 48:10		<b>event</b> 15:19	expectations
1	1	I	1	1	ı	ı

12:12,13	findings 53:18	furnished 33:12	43:4,4,5 44:13	71:8	38:5 53:16	12:8 15:21
expecting 47:9	finds 9:22	further 14:10	44:20 53:16	hearing 1:5 37:7	55:20 56:24	42:25 44:14
expecting 47.3 expeditiously 3:4	fine 14:20 18:25	24:13,23 27:10	61:7 74:23	41:24 46:21	70:2	intend 5:12
expensive 29:7	24:15 25:10	28:9 30:1 35:1	goes 13:20 43:12	48:9 76:1 77:3	impossible 39:22	12:15 41:21
experiences	32:9	37:7 47:10	45:20	hearings 46:17	71:17	intended 5:2
45:13	fire 14:6,7	62:6 70:17	going 1:14 2:10	hears 16:12,13	impression	8:17
explain 16:23	fired 14:5	71:24	3:8 5:17 9:22	help 24:15 55:11	11:13 61:15	intending 46:3
		future 38:15				intending 46:3
express 51:12	<b>first</b> 2:5 3:13,16 3:18 4:4 7:11	1uture 58:15	10:16,23 12:22	helpful 22:17	inappropriate 51:24 55:14	
expressed 26:15		G	14:12 16:24	31:13		interest 48:20
48:20 66:14 67:7	12:22 18:11		17:19 18:1,18	hesitation 75:22	include 49:22 inconsistent 26:3	60:2 66:22,23
	19:11 35:11	game 47:5	18:20 19:18,20	highly 5:17		73:18,20
expressing 70:15	50:8 54:6	<b>Garnham</b> 14:14	21:4,6 22:1,7	43:21	inconveniences	interested 10:19
extend 24:14	55:14 57:24	14:16 37:18,25	24:24 25:5	history 21:17	13:23	37:1,2 42:5
extent 5:25 10:7	61:7 62:10	38:10,22 39:2	28:18 34:2,2	66:22	INDEX 77:1	51:9
25:19,22 26:24	64:14 65:14	39:5,16 40:10	35:10,12 36:8	holding 44:18	indicate 28:6,14	interesting 25:20
29:6,16 35:19	72:12,19	40:22 41:24	37:13 41:19	hoof 33:17	28:17	64:24 69:21,24
42:24 45:19	fit 10:1 23:9,16	47:4,6,9,16,24	42:12 45:1,15	hope 6:25 8:17	indicated 6:8	70:5,6
extinction 33:25	48:2 59:15,17	59:6,7,23,24	45:21 51:2	9:11,21 11:12	14:18 25:7,18	interface 7:6
extra 17:15	focus 27:8	70:22,23 71:7	58:6 59:25	11:16 12:10,16	27:16 50:5	32:11
29:12	focused 43:23	71:14,16 72:8	60:22,23 61:1	15:12 17:5	63:3	interfere 44:1
extremely 35:3	74:11	72:25 75:2,3	61:10,14,21	20:14	individual 31:22	interference
73:16	focusing 33:23	Garnham's 37:3	62:20 67:6	hoped 11:23	31:25 50:6,15	73:14
	follow 68:22	71:23	68:5,23 70:8	hopefully 66:9	50:23 51:6	interim 50:13
<u>F</u>	following 4:9	gather 21:16	70:16,23 71:11	hopes 15:11,11	61:17 65:25	internal 31:2
face 38:25 56:9	23:11 49:5	general 2:24	72:12,19 75:9	40:14	individuals 4:12	internally 27:9
faces 39:1	follow-on 61:2	5:19 30:16	good 8:8 11:16	hoping 9:25 15:8	32:17	International
facilities 75:16	foolish 35:18,23	31:20 41:7,10	11:21 16:5	hostages 24:16	industry 21:11	36:18 43:11
fact 6:11 22:20	force 37:21	49:9 50:5	18:25 24:2	hour 9:13 14:18	industry-wide	44:7
34:19 36:4	40:18 67:8	57:22 72:7	25:10 43:10	14:19 18:15	21:9	Internet 9:2
41:1 43:25	foreclose 71:7	generally 10:11	48:6,8 76:6,7	hours 3:21 9:8	inevitable 61:11	interruption
46:16 47:24	foreshadowed	23:25 67:8	governance 70:1	huge 68:17	inferences 75:8	75:22
48:19 53:19	28:12	generated 2:2	grandchildren	human 2:7	information	intervene 38:8
facts 50:6 59:16	form 10:6 27:12	generic 53:22	42:23	hurdle 57:25	28:24 43:12	intuitions 31:18
factual 43:18	29:2 42:19	generically	grant 55:14		55:21 68:25	intuitive 31:17
fair 22:25 33:16	54:10 64:18	20:23	granted 50:15,18		informed 13:21	investigate 34:12
34:5 35:15	68:12,15	getting 11:13	51:23	idea 16:5 48:8	23:17	investigation 7:7
38:21 68:18	formally 38:2	give 3:25 8:11	grateful 13:24	identified 7:12	inhibited 75:17	32:16,19 33:23
69:8	fortnight 23:24	10:16 21:25	14:7 23:18	18:16 23:5	initial 9:8	33:24 39:7
fairly 34:21,22	Fortunately 30:19	27:4 35:6	24:11,18 63:14	36:23 39:18	Inquiries 34:22	41:13 44:2
fairness 63:2		44:14 45:15	greater 35:5	57:23	inquiry 1:18	45:10 72:5
fake 58:22	fortune 24:16	49:14,23 50:9	ground 56:6	identifies 47:13	2:25 3:14,16	73:10,15
fall 75:15	forward 2:6 22:3	50:20,22 54:9	groups 68:24	identify 5:4,25	3:18,20 4:23	investigations
familiar 47:20	28:11 49:13	55:4,5,22	<b>gun</b> 70:19	10:1 13:12	6:1 7:6,18	21:18 71:4
47:21,23 54:12	57:2 61:11,13	58:10 62:13	Н	19:12 22:17	12:18 16:9	invitation 71:23
far 1:22 7:15	61:14 62:5	63:23 68:21		30:8 35:19	17:1,2,5,9	invitations 19:11
10:24 15:2,2	63:1 found 21:10	given 6:9 9:18	habit 1:10	66:1,18 67:22	22:17,21 25:18	74:6 75:12
42:6 43:9	found 31:19	10:20 11:4	hacking 45:12	73:11	25:25 26:4	invite 67:10
47:25	foundation	18:21 19:21	72:21	identity 52:9	28:4 29:13	70:24
fast 8:3 54:4	43:19 <b>four</b> 36:22	27:24 37:23	half 3:21 4:8	59:13 60:7,13	31:12 32:11	invited 50:9
57:10 62:4	fourth 36:1	52:1 54:24	14:19 18:15	60:18	33:10 34:11	64:16
63:1,4		55:2 58:5,6	46:22	ignore 34:18,19	36:24 41:12,16 42:3 43:18	inviting 40:17 involved 21:7
fear 21:17 49:15	<b>four-day</b> 4:11 6:20	59:10,11 60:20	hand 21:21 25:2	illustration 42:2	42:3 43:18 45:6 48:21	31:7
feast 5:7 12:6	6:20 <b>free</b> 69:11	62:17 64:19	41:18 50:22	illustration 42:2	50:10 51:3,9	involvement
February 5:8	Friday 15:5	66:18,24 67:4	handed 17:12	imagine 64:19	50:10 51:3,9	72:2
feed 15:21	23:21,25 24:1	73:7	happening 23:16	immediately	55:7 56:16	involves 11:6
feeding 16:2	76:3,7	giving 10:10 15:5	46:6	23:22 30:24	57:6 62:6	28:3 36:18
feel 26:11 41:4	Fridays 6:22	45:5 50:1 53:9 72:5	happy 19:7 25:17 62:22	33:22 64:15 65:9	64:19 66:5,7	43:13
43:3 46:14	friend 20:1,8				68:9,11 70:24	irritating 1:9
55:13 75:5,15	front 46:1	glare 49:24	63:6 74:17	impact 73:12	72:13 75:6	issue 2:5 6:16
75:17	full 49:24 59:9	Glen 24:20,21	hard 40:2	imperatives 39:3	72:13 73:0 76:10	28:7 32:11
feeling 37:22	65:5	25:6 59:24	hasty 37:1	importance	insofar 28:8 66:4	36:14 37:2,8
fees 22:15 felt 19:11	fully 4:3	60:10,18 61:5 61:9 62:1	hear 4:5,12 6:1	38:16 39:19 41:20 70:4	instance 50:8	44:6,7,7 47:1
	fundamental		20:25 51:10		instances 67:8	48:10 50:12
final 8:17 74:2	62:1	global 21:2	53:5 63:6 68:5 73:4	important 3:8	instructed 38:11	55:12 63:8
finalised 28:2	funded 22:14,16	<b>go</b> 3:18,23 18:5	73:4 heard 9:7 51:4	15:23 16:10	38:18	68:4
<b>find</b> 1:6 30:21 37:10	22:16	24:9 30:10 37:22 42:7	55:1 70:25	27:3 31:6 36:21 37:8	instructions 7:16	issues 1:17,23
37.10	22.10	31.22 42.1	33.1 /0.23	30.21 37.8	mstructions /.10	100uco 1.11,40
	1		•	1	1	•

4.67.10.13	10.00.11.10	20.22.44.1	10 15 24 22 4	Part. 2 11 0 5 15	50.00.50 1.15	10.04.00.17
4:6,7 10:12	10:22 11:1,8	29:23 44:1	19:15,24 20:4	little 3:11 9:5,15	58:23 59:1,17	19:24 20:17
15:22 17:21	11:15,20,25	59:18	20:11,15 22:9	15:1,19 18:1	60:8,17,19	23:25 25:19
25:21 34:6	12:6,13,20	keep 6:25 16:13	22:25 23:3,7	18:20 48:1	61:6,19 62:2	26:8 29:20
38:24,25 40:8	13:1,5,11,15	23:17 34:23	23:13,23 24:3	lodged 2:20	62:16 63:4,15	37:2 38:6 41:2
45:3,20 57:14	13:19 14:2,5,8	kept 13:21	24:15,19 25:3	long 3:24,25 7:25	63:18 64:3,9	45:9 55:11
58:24 59:2	14:11,20 15:7	key 62:3 74:14	25:10,19 26:19	17:6,19 28:18	64:13,21 65:12	63:19 65:7
73:14	15:10,14,18	keys 31:23	28:20,23 29:2	46:19	66:11,14,17	69:10,23 70:6
item 36:1 74:2	16:7,12,19,21	know 2:1,4 5:2	29:5,10,19	look 3:13 18:10	67:2,12,16,19	74:16
	17:5,11,15,23	7:16,19 8:5	30:5,8 31:5,11	24:13 27:15	68:2,22 69:2,4	meaning 32:21
J	18:16,25 19:9	16:23 20:1,5	32:2,9,13,16	33:8 35:19	69:8,10,13,23	means 1:10 33:4
January 13:14	19:15,24 20:4	30:10 31:11,15	32:20,23 33:2	40:1 43:8,9	70:2,5,10,22	33:6 37:14
<b>Jay</b> 1:25 2:1,13	20:11,15 22:9	32:17 33:11	33:5,16,19	61:20 74:23	71:5,10,15,19	39:15
2:17 3:3,13,16	22:25 23:3,7	34:12 44:10	34:15,17 35:10	looked 55:1	71:25 72:11,23	meant 11:20
4:3,18,21 5:6	23:13,23 24:3	47:9 57:19	35:15,18,22	looking 62:19	73:1,21 74:1,5	measured 26:5
5:20,23 6:4,7	24:15,19 25:3	59:12 67:17	36:3,5,7,10,14	looks 21:17	74:24 75:4,25	Measures 49:4
6:14,16,24 7:2	25:10,19 26:19	71:2 75:19	37:10 38:6,21	<b>Lord</b> 1:6,8,9,12	76:6	mechanics 7:11
7:4,9,15,25	28:20,23 29:2	knowing 60:13	38:23 39:4,11	2:9,15,22 3:4	Lordship 10:4,8	17:2,9 52:3,10
8:10,13 9:7	29:5,10,19	60:18	40:5,20,25	3:15 4:2,15,19	10:12 60:2	media 9:10 22:13
14:16 16:24	30:5,8 31:5,11	knowledge 42:25	41:14,22 42:6	5:5,20,24 6:5	62:3,5,9	74:7 75:4
17:3,18,19,20	32:2,9,13,16	61:22	42:9,14,23	6:12,15,21,25	losing 49:16	meet 12:14
19:4 22:10	32:20,23 33:2	known 58:22	43:16 44:12,17	7:3,8,10,24 8:5	lot 27:6 28:20	member 74:14
25:4 26:20,24	33:5,16,19	knows 28:4	44:23 45:1,17	8:11,14,22	30:25 57:20	74:15
28:22 29:1,4,9	34:15,17 35:10		45:22,24 46:10	9:17,18,20,24	71:12	members 65:3
29:15 30:4,7	35:15,18,22	L	46:12,23 47:3	10:14,22 11:1	lots 24:5	65:25
30:19 31:10,15	36:3,5,7,10,14	lands 5:9	47:8,12,19	11:8,15,20,25	low 35:3 74:13	mention 19:3
32:7,10,14,17	37:10 38:6,21	language 74:25	48:3,6,16 50:3	12:6,13,20	low-key 74:8	mentioned 48:19
32:22,25 33:3	38:23 39:4,11	large 9:10 10:6	51:8,18 52:2	13:1,5,11,15		53:4
33:7,18 34:11	40:5,20,25	46:7	52:11,15,17,21	13:19 14:2,5,8	M	merely 21:11
34:16,18 35:14	41:14,22 42:6	largely 31:17	53:7,12,16,22	14:11,20 15:7	machine 30:23	28:23 37:14
35:17,21 36:1	42:9,14,23	law 35:11,12,15	53:25 54:3,16	15:10,14,18	Mahmood 58:21	40:2 44:18
36:4,6,9,13,22	43:16 44:12,17	46:4 47:14,20	54:21 55:10,19	16:7,12,19,21	maintain 38:5	Met 1:20 38:1,4
39:18,24 40:23	44:23 45:1,17	47:21	56:23 57:3,7	17:5,11,15,23	56:21	68:9
46:1,21,24	45:22,24 46:10	lawyers 29:7,13	57:12,22 58:7	18:16,25 19:9	maker 67:21,22	method 61:2
47:9 48:19,22	46:12,23 47:3	<b>lead</b> 70:16,17	58:10,13,15,23	19:15,24 20:4	makers 66:15,17	Metropolitan
48:24 50:4	47:8,12,19	learned 20:1,8	59:1,17 60:8	20:11,15 22:9	making 18:14	33:15
51:17,20 52:3	48:3,6,16	learning 31:8	60:17,19 61:6	22:25 23:3,7	19:1 20:21	Met's 38:19
52:14,16,20,25	49:22 50:3	leave 41:5	61:19 62:2,16	23:13,23 24:3	24:4 54:18	Middlesex 24:10
53:10,13,21,24	51:8,18 52:2	leeway 17:16	63:4,15,18	24:15,19 25:3	60:13 64:9	mildly 27:12
54:2 57:13	52:11,15,17,21	legal 22:15,23	64:3,9,13,21	25:10,19 26:19	68:14	mind 18:17
63:8 64:10,11	53:5,7,12,16	legislation 32:21	65:12 66:11,14	28:20,23 29:2	management	21:22 26:18
64:14,24 65:13	53:22,25 54:3	40:7	66:17 67:2,12	29:5,10,19	24:24 25:4	29:14 38:2
66:13,16,24	54:16,21 55:10	leisure 35:5	67:16,19 68:2	30:5,8 31:5,11	26:21	58:21 62:20
67:3,4,14,18	55:19 56:23	length 9:19	68:22 69:2,4,8	32:2,9,13,16	manner 36:25	minded 62:7
68:1,3 69:1,3,6	57:3,7,12,22	18:19	69:10,13,23	32:20,23 33:2	map 29:7	mindful 52:18
69:9,12,14,25	58:7,10,13,15	letter 24:25	70:2,5,10,22	33:5,16,19	material 1:18	mine 20:3 32:4
70:3,6,21	58:23 59:1,17	let's 1:22 7:8,10	71:5,10,15,19	34:15,17 35:10	18:4 22:8,10	40:6
73:24,25 74:4	60:8,17,19	8:14 22:25	71:25 72:11,23	35:15,18,22	22:12 24:13	minimise 33:24
75:24,25 76:1	61:6,19 62:2	26:20 37:16	73:1,21 74:1,5	36:3,5,7,10,14	27:7,10,14	minimum 7:1
76:8	62:16 63:4,15	53:5	74:24 75:4,25	37:10 38:6,21	28:25 55:13	minor 75:24
<b>Jay's</b> 38:13	63:18 64:3,9	LEVESON 1:6,9	76:6	38:23 39:4,11	59:21 62:20	minutes 9:23
jet 5:9	64:13,21 65:12	1:12 2:9,15,22	liability 33:3	40:5,20,25	64:11 65:17,20	24:13 25:9
jigsaw 52:13	66:11,14,17	3:4,15 4:2,15	liberty 63:11	41:14,22 42:6	66:3,5,21	28:17 39:15
<b>job</b> 60:25,25	67:2,12,16,19	4:19 5:5,20,24	lie 62:10	42:9,14,23	68:11,14,17,19	minutiae 65:19
<b>join</b> 17:6	68:2,8,22 69:2	6:5,12,15,21	<b>life</b> 11:10 49:10	43:16 44:12,17	69:8 70:7 71:3	misleading 61:15
joined 8:20	69:4,8,10,13	6:25 7:3,8,10	lifetime 62:21	44:23 45:1,17	matter 2:13	missing 15:15
<b>joint</b> 33:11	69:23 70:2,5	7:24 8:5,11,14	<b>light</b> 57:1	45:22,24 46:10	25:16 28:15	module 5:7
judgment 46:18	70:10,22 71:5	8:22 9:18,24	limit 25:17	46:12,23 47:3	46:18 49:3	modus 41:15
<b>jumbo</b> 5:9	71:10,15,19,25	10:14,22 11:1	limited 62:18	47:8,12,19	50:21 51:22	moment 5:11
jumping 70:18	72:11,23 73:1	11:8,15,20,25	line 3:5 51:20	48:3,5,6,16	53:2 54:10	6:17 7:10 11:8
justice 1:6,9,12	73:21 74:1,5	12:6,13,20	68:13	50:3 51:8,18	55:1 66:9	14:12,13 24:12
2:9,15,22 3:4	74:24 75:4,25	13:1,5,11,15	lines 57:23	52:2,11,15,17	matters 13:9,25	27:11 36:17
3:15 4:2,15,19	76:6	13:19 14:2,5,8	list 4:25 5:21,22	52:21 53:7,12	14:12,16 29:21	39:18 54:16
5:5,20,24 6:5	justified 60:12	14:11,20 15:7	19:13 30:24	53:16,22,25	35:9 41:18	65:1 74:24
6:12,15,21,25	justify 30:1	15:10,14,18	listen 33:20	54:3,16,21	51:3 65:19	Monday 4:23
7:3,8,10,24 8:5		16:7,12,19,21	74:15	55:10,19 56:23	68:10	48:10 62:7,23
8:11,14,22	K	17:5,11,15,23	litigation 13:20	57:3,7,12,22	maximise 18:9	63:10,13 68:6
9:18,24 10:14	keen 2:10 16:13	18:16,25 19:9	36:16 72:3	58:7,10,13,15	mean 2:20 4:15	70:16 71:1
	I		I	I		
-						

75,00 76 1 11	morrows 10 4 7	ongoin = 7:7	62.14.17	19.10.22.20.22	10.11 41 11	67.14
75:20 76:1,11	newsroom 19:4,5	ongoing 7:7	63:14,17	18:19,22 20:22	10:11 41:11	67:14
month 11:24 12:3	74:12	opaque 36:14	<b>paper</b> 17:24 21:14	21:5 29:22	43:17 49:7	pressing 12:20 12:22
months 3:9	Nobody's 20:20 normally 1:10	open 3:19 9:13 59:8	paradigm 53:10	37:10 41:8 53:19	67:17 <b>possibilities</b> 67:5	pressures 37:23
morning 7:19	18:5	opening 3:17,22	paradigii 33.10 paragraph 13:12	people's 31:17	possibility 23:19	presumably 5:20
33:12 62:6	note 2:1,6 6:7	9:4,19 14:17	49:5,8,10	perfectly 18:6	50:2 55:8 59:2	17:23 49:15
70:8 73:19	10:5 11:6	18:13,14 19:1	parameter 14:22	62:22	63:22	50:20 52:17
Mousa 53:14	13:10,12 19:4	20:17,24 23:20	Parliament	perils 1:12	possible 2:11	pretty 20:19
movable 5:6	19:10 27:9,12	25:7,15	35:20	period 6:19 10:2	4:14 5:3 10:25	47:20,21
move 22:3 28:11	27:15 39:2	openings 7:11	part 15:23 25:25	<b>permit</b> 44:14	16:18,20 27:2	prevent 29:7
32:10	40:12 47:7,14	operandi 41:15	26:9 34:11	person 6:2 51:12	29:6 30:18	previous 64:19
moving 12:6	49:9 63:8 74:2	operate 2:25	41:2 42:21	51:15 54:19	31:3 36:25	pre-empted
multifarious	74:19	24:25 30:18	43:18,22 44:19	55:3,5,7 56:16	37:9,15 38:10	59:24
65:16	notes 7:6 20:9	operation 31:9	45:6,13,15,16	56:22 59:13,21	40:15 44:3	pre-read 47:19
	November 2:7,8	opportunity 6:9	46:7 53:18	63:23	47:2 56:8 66:2	<b>Price</b> 43:12 44:8
N	2:19 4:10,11	15:20 35:7	66:20 73:6	personal 18:5	66:6,11	primary 66:21
name 30:8 31:23	8:20 10:20	51:25	participant 3:24	65:6 69:16	possibly 8:4	principle 40:1
55:23 59:14	11:17,22 15:6	opposed 6:2	4:13 10:10	persons 32:23	23:15 74:6	48:25 51:23
narrative 43:25	16:17 75:21	60:22	25:12 41:1,2	48:20	potential 23:5	53:2 57:16,19
45:13	<b>number</b> 9:10 11:4 12:2	options 59:8	45:5,14 51:21	person's 49:10	73:15	57:22
nationals 75:11	11:4 12:2 18:22 45:4,14	oral 3:17,24,25 4:5 9:4 10:8,16	52:7,8 64:4,8 72:4 75:13	perspective 37:20 45:18	<b>potentially</b> 4:19 21:3 45:4,6	principles 28:1 prioritise 17:20
nature 2:7 51:4	48:20 49:12	18:13,14 25:7	participants 2:3	64:25	62:17	prioriuse 17:20 pristine 74:23
near 38:14 nearer 13:24	58:20 69:15	47:11	2:4,18 4:24	persuade 56:13	power 27:25	Privacy 43:12
23:15	nuts 1:23	orally 25:16	5:24 6:8 7:23	persuaded 58:4	48:25 54:23	44:8
necessarily 8:17	11413 1.23	47:17	8:2 12:25 17:4	phone 45:12	55:4,24,25	private 9:3 51:4
9:6 22:18	0	order 4:25 8:16	20:5,19 22:13	72:21	56:1	privately 22:15
29:18 36:17	object 46:7	14:25,25 28:19	22:20 26:23	pick 29:25 41:7	powerful 30:20	privilege 36:2
37:22	objection 19:5	42:10 43:6,18	27:13 28:3	63:21	powers 30:25	privileges 34:24
necessary 7:25	27:19 47:4	54:24 59:9,13	30:17 31:4,12	picture 42:25	34:20,21	<b>pro</b> 63:24
18:2 39:20	58:1 65:22	62:13 65:24	33:13 35:8	43:7	practice 42:17	probable 52:8
41:4 42:1,9	74:9,22	68:7	41:4 53:1 55:2	<b>piece</b> 21:14 36:7	53:20 61:10	probably 18:15
44:2 59:3	obliged 46:14	ordinary 65:3	55:6 56:11,19	place 8:1 26:22	practices 34:12	28:16 30:11
75:15	observations	organise 13:22	59:12 60:5,6	28:9,16 31:15	34:13 61:16	31:4 34:7 47:4
need 1:21 7:15	13:10 39:10	30:13 48:1	64:1,15,22,25	31:16 34:8	precise 15:3 16:4	57:20 65:5
8:8,23 11:10	41:21 74:19	original 17:13	65:2,6 66:22	35:25 61:3 69:16 75:20	precisely 13:21 31:23 66:1	problem 2:10
18:20 22:21	obstruct 41:11	originally 17:6 originators	67:1,10,13 68:20 69:11,19	plan 5:19 24:2,3	67:23 68:4	21:5,9,10 23:5 29:11 34:1,10
23:13 27:15,18 28:9,11 31:13	41:12 <b>obtain</b> 53:19	27:23	74:10	plan 5.19 24.2,5 play 53:14	precision 8:3	39:11,17 75:2
35:4,6,19	obvious 22:2	ought 36:10 55:6	participate 48:7	plentiful 67:6	predecessors	problematic
36:11,19,20	41:7 49:25	56:14 63:21	participation	plumped 2:8	69:2	68:4
37:10 39:25	50:4	outline 11:4	28:3	<b>pm</b> 76:3,7,9	prefer 24:7	problems 12:21
40:1,13 44:20	obviously 2:13	outsider 65:23	particular 5:4	point 15:6 17:18	43:20	13:11,16,17
46:14,16 47:1	5:8,14 8:7 9:20	outweigh 50:19	13:9 14:24,25	18:3 22:12,25	prejudice 44:4	42:2
49:19 50:6	13:25 18:5	overarching	27:20 30:2,14	25:6 33:9,24	46:6 65:25	procedure 35:22
51:5 52:3,7	19:21 22:12	43:16	30:22 31:23	40:5,21,21	72:5 73:10	53:2 58:2 63:2
53:4 55:8 56:7	26:25 29:5	overlaps 68:4	37:8 39:21	43:10 44:9,21	prejudicial 71:4	procedures
62:9,21 65:1	37:4 43:22	override 53:4	41:18 42:1	49:25 52:15	prejudicing	65:18
66:10 69:21	47:22 62:9	overriding 5:16	51:24 57:18	57:7 59:18	59:21 73:20	proceed 27:16
70:3 75:15	64:21	34:21	58:20 59:15,15	60:5,10,19	preliminary 20:9	28:5 33:11
needn't 18:7	occasional 6:21	oversight 34:15 34:16	70:19 particularly	61:19 62:3,4 63:21 64:6,9	27:4 35:4 37:7	47:11 75:21 <b>proceedings</b> 1:3
47:20	occasions 39:20 occupation 30:9	overwhelming	15:24 16:10	67:9 71:17,18	<b>prepare</b> 40:23 46:25 63:15	32:15,20 35:21
needs 3:23 27:24 36:24 51:6	occupation 30:9 occupied 3:16	3:10	41:23 69:21,23	75:10,24	prepared 6:8	45:11 68:8,10
62:14	occupied 5:16 occur 58:20 65:9	over-descent	74:11	points 2:23 18:13	9:14 23:14	72:6
neutrally 3:19	occurs 65:4	43:2	parties 37:1,2	19:3 35:8	27:9 31:1	process 11:5,7
never 39:13	October 1:1 6:8	owns 75:13	parts 27:15	38:19 40:1,11	39:14 43:5	22:6 24:17
40:14 53:4	11:20,25 17:7	o'clock 15:5 24:8	43:19	40:18 72:8	56:1 58:7,9	27:18 28:12
57:4	17:13 19:19		passed 60:4	police 1:20 7:7	64:17 74:7	32:8 35:2,13
News 36:18 43:1	76:1,11	P	path 19:22 20:13	32:12,14,16	present 9:10	36:21 47:21
43:11 44:7	offer 75:16	pace 75:21	Pause 25:11	33:15 35:5	44:23	60:1 65:9,24
newspaper 30:22	offered 52:18	paddling 28:21	36:13 46:13	38:1 39:6	presentations	73:8,9,14
31:22 60:14,15	<b>Oh</b> 20:11 53:10	page 49:7 77:2	49:11 73:23	41:12,16 43:23	74:16	75:19
60:21,24,24	64:3	paint 43:7,7	pay 55:17	68:9,12 70:14	presently 47:15	produce 3:1
75:10	Okay 7:24	painted 43:1	PCC 61:3	71:4 72:5	press 2:18 21:25	21:13,22 47:10
Newspapers	once 17:1,11	PALIN 25:14	PDQ 33:8	73:17	34:13 61:16,17	47:13 60:23
25:14,21	20:17	26:13 62:3,25	people 11:5	position 7:13	65:15 66:1,25	producing 19:22
	1		1	1		

20:14	<b>purpose</b> 9:4 10:7	reads 21:14	<b>relation</b> 7:12,13	result 52:24 61:1	scene 10:9	13:24 14:3,7,9
profession 21:11	10:7 57:6 60:8	ready 11:16,18	10:12 26:16	71:24	schedule 15:18	16:12,22,23
32:3	purposes 43:17	37:11	27:5 32:23	retain 58:3 60:3	18:7 32:25	17:8,14 18:9
professional	44:19	real 13:16 45:13	35:21,22 39:6	retreading 29:10	scope 36:2 49:11	45:2,3,19,23
23:7,8 46:18	pursuant 68:7	48:20	39:7 42:10	return 14:7	screen 59:11,12	46:9,11,16
49:10,16 65:8	push 3:6,7	really 9:4 14:21	53:8 64:1	55:15 56:17	screens 51:2	54:4,6,20
69:17	put 2:6,16 6:5	17:2 18:21	65:10 66:2	returning 14:6	search 30:20	63:21,25 64:6
proper 37:5	10:11 17:25	20:18 21:1	67:10 69:5	revealing 70:13	31:19	71:11,21,22
properly 8:1	18:6 19:10	22:5 48:17	relative 32:4	right 1:9,25 7:3	secondly 19:13	72:1,15,24
36:19	20:1 21:19	56:18 60:10	release 31:3	8:14 10:4 13:5	section 17:21	73:16 74:11,19
proposals 23:20	26:22 29:12	61:2 70:6	released 7:22 8:2	14:23,24 18:12	27:25 28:12	74:22
propose 3:18	37:4 38:14	reason 19:10	relevant 5:17	19:11,15 20:15	32:7 34:22,25	Sherborne's
proposed 53:2	46:2 52:13	24:2 26:1	27:17 29:17	23:10 24:20	42:10 48:25	15:2 61:12
57:2 66:8	54:8 61:11,14	27:11 48:9	30:22 33:1	25:11 26:19,20	49:4 50:13	<b>short</b> 19:22
74:20	71:23 73:3	reasonable 29:13	39:19 43:11,22	32:6,25 37:16	53:8	28:15 48:11,14
prosecution 1:20	76:4	reasonably 7:4	45:4,4,21	40:25 46:10,14	see 1:22 8:8,14	shorthand 42:19
32:12,14 39:6	puts 21:15	69:17	remain 56:10	46:19 47:3	15:16 16:14	shortly 22:10
42:3 44:3	putting 17:20	reasons 24:5	remains 3:6	48:3,8,11,16	20:11 22:25	32:8
73:15	29:2 71:20	50:11 62:10,15	17:14 48:12	48:24 53:25	37:16 42:6	shot 14:6
Prosecutions	76:2	62:16 reassure 73:17	remit 45:16 remote 55:9	54:3,21 57:17 58:3 4 8 15	59:4 60:10,25	<b>showing</b> 64:22 <b>shut</b> 34:1 71:12
35:6 38:4,8 <b>protection</b> 49:15	Q	reassure /3:1/ receive 8:24 12:9	remote 55:9 remotely 59:3	58:3,4,8,15 63:19 64:10	61:15,21,21 62:9,12 66:25	shut 34:1 /1:12 shy 20:21
50:13 52:5	quantity 34:14	34:5 51:13	rendered 36:14	70:11 72:11,16	67:7,9 74:16	side 41:16
protections	quantity 34:14 question 16:4	55:13 56:8	report 43:13	70:11 72:11,16	74:17 75:2	sign 52:7 64:16
50:24	45:17 47:12,16	59:20	60:23	75:17	seek 60:21 66:18	significance
Protective 49:4	58:18 61:7,24	received 11:13	reporting 35:16	rights 46:4 49:11	seeking 43:17	62:18
protocol 6:7 28:1	61:25 74:2	19:10 51:2	represent 38:4	risk 3:7 33:25	44:21 53:19	significant 69:15
28:12 63:16	questions 16:3	74:5 75:12	representation	35:2,23 36:21	70:3	73:11
65:25	51:1	receives 60:2	38:17	39:13 45:10,12	seen 11:6 20:2,3	similar 53:13
provide 4:24 5:1	quickly 2:10	receiving 62:8	representations	52:19 73:9	24:25 71:2	64:18 67:1
10:23 11:18	11:19 12:18	recognise 2:23	13:6 56:12,13	rolling 10:24	self-incriminat	simply 6:5 14:14
12:18 14:22	28:11 29:25	12:21 13:15	representatives	room 33:5 51:3	36:2	22:17,23 26:14
16:25 17:9	66:1 69:17	18:18 35:23	9:10 75:5	rooms 75:1	seminar 25:23	51:23 53:2
29:7,17 43:18	<b>quid</b> 63:24	37:21 40:11	representing	route 29:7	26:5	55:15 59:25
55:21 65:20	quite 1:15 15:23	recognises 39:3	38:3	rubric 42:18	seminars 21:8	single 41:3
66:11 69:6,25	21:1 37:19	recommend 33:7	reputations	rubrics 36:22	25:25	sir 2:5,13 3:13
provided 2:1	44:23 49:9	record 25:25	49:17	rule 24:6 33:3	send 56:1	4:3,22 6:7,16
4:14 17:4,24	57:14 60:11	26:9	request 51:10,18	40:14 73:3 76:4	sense 26:12	10:20 11:12,16
18:7 21:6 27:5 28:10,25 32:19	64:5 75:9	redact 71:6 redacted 18:4	require 9:14 23:17 43:2,8,8	rules 35:20	sensible 30:13 68:2	12:24 13:2,8 13:13,18,24
,	<b>quo</b> 63:24		44:13 48:17	ruling 14:4 37:15		15:15,16,24
33.12 34.20 23	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				concibly 60.13	14.4 0 17 15.1
33:12 34:20,23 34:24 51:14		28:7,8 60:5 65:7 66:19			sensibly 69:13	14:4,9,17 15:1 17:8 14 18:13
34:24 51:14	R	65:7 66:19	71:12	40:6 56:18	sensitive 65:17	17:8,14 18:13
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11	R raise 1:23 12:11	65:7 66:19 67:24,24	71:12 required 22:24	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17	<b>sensitive</b> 65:17 66:3	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 <b>redaction</b> 18:2	71:12 required 22:24 46:25	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 <b>rulings</b> 4:6	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8	65:7 66:19 67:24,24	71:12 required 22:24	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12	<b>sensitive</b> 65:17 66:3	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 <b>rulings</b> 4:6	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 <b>provides</b> 40:7	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 <b>provides</b> 40:7 59:21	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 <b>provides</b> 40:7 59:21 <b>providing</b> 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14 publicity 49:24	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23 39:12 66:24	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23 regards 7:18	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24 40:10	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9 39:24 53:7	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21 9:17,20 10:4	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6 72:16
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23 39:12 66:24 69:19,22	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9 39:24 53:7 55:3 57:13	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14 publicity 49:24 publicly 22:13	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23 39:12 66:24 69:19,22 readily 24:4	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23 regards 7:18 regional 75:10 75:13	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24 40:10 response 23:1	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9 39:24 53:7	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21 9:17,20 10:4 10:20,23 11:3	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6 72:16 situation 39:15
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14 publicity 49:24 publicly 22:13 22:16 33:13	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23 39:12 66:24 69:19,22	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23 regards 7:18 regional 75:10 75:13	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24 40:10 response 23:1 60:16	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9 39:24 53:7 55:3 57:13 60:23	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21 9:17,20 10:4 10:20,23 11:3 11:12,16,21	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6 72:16 situation 39:15 56:17 61:15
34:24 51:14 65:5 68:7,9,11 69:18 70:14 73:2 provides 40:7 59:21 providing 3:21 10:5 13:3 18:4 48:21 52:5 provision 68:19 provisional 4:25 9:21 19:19 public 6:23 32:18 34:9 35:6 36:15,15 38:3,7 49:22 53:4 55:23 59:10 64:23 65:3 publication 59:14 publicity 49:24 publicly 22:13 22:16 33:13 published 68:14	R raise 1:23 12:11 12:13 59:1,19 59:25 72:8 raised 15:22 19:4 67:21 raises 58:24 ramifications 63:2 range 6:17 59:8 67:5 rapid 66:6 reach 39:25 43:17 50:7 52:21 reached 33:9 read 2:22 5:13 5:13,15 6:3,10 7:20 9:2 10:18 15:20 22:21,21 27:14,16 29:23 39:12 66:24 69:19,22 readily 24:4 reading 22:20	65:7 66:19 67:24,24 redaction 18:2 32:7 69:16 redactions 8:1 28:9 66:2,4,8 66:18 69:4 refer 42:1 66:21 68:23 reference 34:23 36:20 37:23 39:21 42:18 43:20 references 16:5 31:25 referring 69:14 70:7 refers 17:16 regard 1:17 5:14 5:20 6:13,17 10:3 24:23 regards 7:18 regional 75:10 75:13	71:12 required 22:24 46:25 requirement 34:4 requires 34:11 43:7 requiring 44:12 55:20 resolution 1:21 39:25 resolve 34:6,7 resolved 37:13 37:14 47:1 66:9 respect 34:24 39:25 50:16 51:10 69:21 respected 56:11 respectfully 38:13 39:22,24 40:10 response 23:1 60:16 responses 2:2	40:6 56:18 68:20 70:17 rulings 4:6 run 29:24 74:12 running 36:23 runs 39:13 63:12 Safeguard 48:25 satisfied 49:1 satisfies 34:9 save 64:25 65:6 saying 21:5 25:23 26:7,10 41:24 43:3 44:18 49:13 55:6,22 56:20 61:22 71:15 72:9 says 10:8 12:10 21:15,25 33:9 39:24 53:7 55:3 57:13 60:23 scandal 45:12	sensitive 65:17 66:3 separate 17:24 18:7 50:10 separately 38:18 Service 1:20 33:15 set 5:19 9:5 10:8 10:9 21:1 28:1 34:19 46:20 59:16 sets 22:19 35:20 39:5 settled 24:22 seven 6:18 23:24 share 19:12 sheet 17:24 sheikh 58:22 shelf 21:16,19 Sherborne 1:7,8 1:11 8:7,19,21 9:17,20 10:4 10:20,23 11:3 11:12,16,21 12:1,7,9,15,24	17:8,14 18:13 19:17 22:6 24:11 25:6,14 26:13,24 32:10 36:22 37:25 38:13,22 39:2 39:9,17 40:10 40:17,22,24 41:10 44:9 45:3,16 46:11 48:2,24,24 54:2,11 57:9 59:7,24 60:10 61:9 62:3 64:24 71:9,14 72:9,15 73:16 73:25 74:22 75:24 sit 1:6 6:18,22 23:15,24 sitting 6:16 13:6 72:16 situation 39:15 56:17 61:15 six 22:19 27:1

	•	•	•	•	•	1
sizeable 12:2	75:20	10:6,8 13:9	35:15	17:23 29:14	titles 75:13	1:19 33:22
skim 69:22	starts 4:9 13:14	14:15 19:23	suspect 41:19	47:18,22 55:25	today 1:15 2:5	understanding
slightest 33:25	statement 17:25	20:14,17,24	<b>switch</b> 30:21	59:25 71:6	38:4 39:3	32:25
<b>slightly</b> 5:9 9:16	18:6 25:8,15	21:2 23:2	system 6:6 7:17	think 1:14 6:10	48:18	understands
10:7 38:25	26:4 27:23	26:17 33:11	7:21 9:4 17:21	8:5 9:24 10:5	topic 8:22 33:21	38:24
47:4 58:17	29:20 52:11	35:7 37:5 38:9	20:6,7 21:22	11:20 12:7	37:18 38:20	understood 4:3
65:14 73:6	55:15 56:2,9	38:12 40:3,23	24:24 26:21	13:11 14:11,19	41:7,10 48:16	12:17 44:22
slow 71:16	56:17 60:1,4	45:7 46:25	27:1 30:12,19	15:6,22 16:17	54:1	undertaking
smooth 36:23	65:8 67:21,22	47:11 51:22	31:8,17 34:17	16:19,21 19:2	<b>topics</b> 20:22	52:6 64:16,18
solicitor 28:4,15	72:7,19	55:1 57:17,18	51:16 60:24,25	20:20 21:5	touch 1:17	<b>undue</b> 36:21
50:10	statements 4:14	60:11 62:7	64:11	22:4 23:23	touched 49:3	unethical 4:19
solicitors 31:11	8:6 10:24	68:13	systems 34:14,17	24:7,21 25:21	tough 1:12	unfortunately
32:3	11:18 12:16	submit 53:1	70:1	28:18 29:17,19	trained 31:14	1:11
solution 3:1,1	13:4 14:17	60:15		29:21 30:11	tranche 72:12,19	unpick 60:21
59:15	15:4,25 17:1	subpoena 55:24	T	32:13 33:19	treated 5:13	unrealistic 21:1
solve 44:10	17:20 18:3,11	subsequent 3:14	table 43:13	37:6 40:12	trial 13:14 34:5,7	21:15
solved 21:10	18:11 20:2	substantial 8:25	take 1:25 7:16,25	41:9,10,20,23	73:10	unredacted
somebody 18:8	27:21,22 28:24	73:10,14	8:1 12:3 15:3	42:16,24 44:5	tricky 65:14	28:10 68:12
73:3 somewhat 13:25	29:22 30:1	substratum	15:21 17:5,19	44:17 47:14	tried 47:25	upload 70:24
somewnat 13:25 soon 4:14 27:2	52:5 65:2,5,10 65:15 66:12,15	43:24 succeeding 4:22	18:19,20 28:2	48:8 54:16 55:12 56:10	<b>trodden</b> 29:11 <b>trouble</b> 66:10	<b>uploaded</b> 7:17 7:19 9:1 20:16
36:25 37:8,15	66:18 67:19	35:3	28:9,16,19	57:9,13,15,20	trouble 60:10 troubled 62:19	27:1 72:13
47:1 66:6	69:14,15 70:25	success 1:13	34:8 41:2 44:10 46:22	58:1,16,24	troubling 40:15	uploading 64:11
sooner 22:8	71:3,8,20,23	succinctly 36:4	56:25 57:20	59:1,24 60:23	true 67:18	use 31:8,20 32:4
36:11	71:3,8,20,23	suffered 45:14	61:19 69:16,19	62:1,25 63:17	trump 21:25	32:5 36:24
sorry 23:6 57:12	statutory 34:20	sufficient 10:17	74:18	69:6 70:5,10	58:11	69:13 71:7
70:22	34:20	14:11,19 30:8	taken 31:15,16	71:19 72:16,18	trumped 49:2	useful 20:14
sort 20:9 21:6,18	steady 75:21	37:4 43:24	66:7	73:18 75:9,21	try 19:2 23:9	73:22 75:5
24:12 29:5	steering 36:19	51:8 61:4	takes 47:14 48:1	thinking 18:14	24:11	utterly 21:15
30:23 31:18,21	steps 56:8	suggest 9:22 11:8	talk 18:22 73:12	18:23	trying 12:18	
55:11 56:4	stick 21:7	17:12 39:8,22	talking 9:8 11:21	thinks 9:7	14:21 53:17	V
<b>sorted</b> 36:24	stop 13:17,19	55:19 65:24	54:7 64:3,5	thought 9:3,18	72:15	various 31:2
sorts 70:11	18:8 26:7 40:6	suggested 25:18	67:12,13,14	18:2,16 20:18	turn 12:9	vary 31:18
sought 51:13	stopping 40:9	29:19 40:24	74:18	46:21 52:4	two 2:6 3:20 4:7	ventilated 64:2
66:2 69:4	story 45:18,20	46:24	target 16:16	57:9 66:20	9:7 12:16	vernacular
<b>sound</b> 43:19	45:22,23,24	suggesting 13:17	17:13,14	thoughts 35:4	15:15 23:2	36:25
sounds 25:1	straight 47:11	20:24 30:5	task 21:3	three 3:21 4:5,7	35:11 39:7	victim 4:15
spades 2:17	straightaway	71:5	team 7:18 37:4	9:8 39:15	41:7 65:11,13	31:24 72:21
speak 11:5 38:20	37:25	suggestion 24:1	74:14,15	three-day 6:19	67:7	victims 4:13
speaking 38:2	straightforward	38:13 39:11	teased 46:15	throw 31:24		10:10 45:5,14
specific 20:22	7:4,5	64:7	technologically	thrown 42:11	U	64:8 70:25
53:19,23 56:14	strength 49:20	suggestions	32:2	tie 4:8	ultimate 21:21	71:6,13 72:4
61:14 67:11	65:22 67:9	23:24	technology 31:19	time 3:6 5:15 8:8	58:13	73:7
72:8	strict 33:3	summarise 29:20	tell 13:2	9:19 10:17	ultimately 2:13	view 3:19 5:6
specifically 53:8	strikes 71:1		ten 6:19	11:17,21 13:25	34:4	6:16 9:8,21
speedily 17:22 speeds 47:18	<b>strong</b> 19:8 20:19	summarises 27:10	terms 11:22 14:1	17:17 18:10,19 18:20 25:17	uncertain 5:9 uncontroversial	27:4 56:25
speeds 47.18 spend 29:8	structured 29:6	summary 29:17	24:21 34:23 36:20 37:6,23	28:2,2,17	27:7 64:20	views 19:8 20:19 20:21,23 73:22
spent 62:21	structures 67:1	30:6	42:18 43:20	29:10 37:4	underneath	visit 19:6 74:13
spoken 21:8	struggle 32:5	Sun 19:7	49:9 61:9	38:14 46:25	28:21	74:20 75:6,10
stage 5:8 9:21	subject 3:18 4:16	Sunday 19:7	terribly 75:14	48:1 57:21	understand 8:18	visiting 19:5
14:3 28:4,14	4:20 9:12 34:2	supplied 66:5	territory 9:16	69:11,20 76:7	10:23 12:2,20	visits 19:4 74:3
51:8 52:22	34:3 35:1	supply 16:25	29:10 70:13	76:7	12:24 13:15	Vos 68:8
54:5,15 56:18	41:25 62:23	support 21:24	test 39:20	timeframe 2:25	16:7,8 19:18	votes 2:15
60:6 62:13,14	63:7,11,20	Supreme 23:16	thank 1:14 8:19	6:13 40:9	19:24 23:7	
64:14 68:16	70:15	sure 11:10 12:24	13:5 14:13,23	times 13:6 19:6,7	24:5 33:4,6,7	$\mathbf{W}$
72:10	subjective 29:16	16:7 23:21	15:1 24:19	24:6	37:19,19 38:6	wait 36:11 70:20
stall 9:5 10:9	subjects 39:17	30:16 31:13,15	26:13 46:12	timetable 13:7	38:23,24 40:5	walked 34:10
stand 16:24 17:3	subjudice 35:20	32:2 38:23	48:12 57:7	19:19 37:6	40:10,20,21	want 1:23 9:19
38:3	submission 3:10	41:17 74:22	58:15 59:4	46:20 48:2	41:22 44:6,9	11:5 12:11,17
standard 64:18	20:13 22:5,16	surface 28:21	64:9 76:6,7	timetabling 14:1	44:12 45:9,19	15:22 18:21
stands 13:3	23:3,21 24:9	surprise 67:5	theme 31:21	26:16	54:20 60:1,19	21:12,13 23:8
start 2:5 3:6	26:13 36:23	surprised 29:23	theoretical 51:5	time-consuming	61:5,9 62:2	23:10 24:15,16
10:20 24:8,16	52:25 76:2,4	73:6	54:17	16:2	70:11 71:14,20	26:10,11 29:12
24:21 36:12	submissions 2:20	surprisingly	thing 4:2 21:13	timing 14:14	72:7,9 73:21	29:22 33:24
37:18 40:8	3:17,25 4:1,5	41:13	23:13 31:6	17:3	74:7	38:8 41:9,17
63:15,18 65:7	6:9 8:20,25 9:1	surrounds 35:13	things 13:22	timings 19:17	understandable	43:4 44:1,4
	I		I	I		Ī

						1 age of
	ı		1	1	ı	ı
46:6,12 47:13	we're 1:14 3:8	63:5	<b>4</b> 6:8 15:5,6			
48:7 54:21	11:19 13:21	worst 12:4	16:17			
55:3 57:15,17	16:1 19:18,20	worthwhile	<b>4.00</b> 76:3,7			
59:5 62:22	22:1 25:17	30:12	1.00 /0.3,/			
	26:16 35:10,12		5			
63:10,19,23	,	worthy 27:10				
71:7,15,17	36:8 54:7	wouldn't 26:11	<b>50</b> 21:19			
73:2,17,23	67:14 70:16	60:6				
74:16,16 76:2	72:1,10,22	write 10:3 55:23	6			
wanted 1:16	74:17	writing 8:25 9:6	<b>6.110</b> 49:8			
16:16 17:8	we've 10:1 11:10	25:16 47:10,17	<b>6.111</b> 49:10			
19:3 26:2	13:22 17:1	47:18 76:2,5	<b>6.92</b> 49:5			
30:21 55:12	20:7 22:7	written 2:20	0.52 .5.0			
59:19 64:6	28:25 31:9	3:22 8:19 10:6	7			
73:7	47:6 64:2	19:1,22				
wants 25:12	70:14	wrong 53:3	7 2:6			
33:20 60:3	whilst 22:11	wrong 55.5	<b>7th</b> 3:11			
72:8 73:3	39:23 72:16	X				
			8			
warning 14:5	wider 61:10	<b>X</b> 60:14,15	<b>8</b> 49:1,8,11 53:14			
warranty 55:22	wish 13:8 25:7		57:14			
wasn't 2:10	25:22 27:8	Y	<b>8(1)</b> 49:20 50:19			
16:21 26:11	41:11,12 46:17	years 21:19	53:3 54:12			
29:23 63:7	48:21 50:8	young 32:4	<b>8(2)</b> 49:2,21			
wasting 22:15	58:18 68:12	9 -	50:18 54:12			
way 1:24 9:16	71:6	1	30.10 34.12			
10:12 13:22	wished 26:12	1 5:7 32:25 34:11	9			
17:25 18:2,9	wishes 8:25					
21:10 30:14	51:21 62:13,15	44:19 45:6,15	9 24:8			
31:20 33:25	67:23 76:4	77:3	<b>9th</b> 2:21 19:2			
34:9 37:22	withdrawing	<b>1,021</b> 7:18	<b>90</b> 9:22			
	59:22	<b>10.30</b> 1:2,10,10				
39:9 40:3		46:16 76:10				
41:17 42:2	witness 4:13 6:10	<b>10.34</b> 1:4				
43:1 46:2 52:7	10:24 13:4	<b>11.45</b> 32:8 48:13				
52:12 54:6,8	15:24 16:25	<b>11.52</b> 48:15				
55:21 56:2	27:21,21 30:9	<b>12</b> 13:12				
57:2 59:15	30:10 50:20	<b>12.33</b> 76:9				
62:5,25	52:5,9 58:7,9	<b>130</b> 5:11				
web-based 7:14	58:11 60:1,3,4	<b>14</b> 2:7,8,19 8:20				
Wednesday 1:1	60:7,13 62:13	, ,				
wee 24:14	65:2,10,15	10:20 11:17,22				
week 3:13,16 4:4	68:23 69:14,15	75:21				
4:10,11,12 5:1	70:24	14th 24:22				
5:1,2 12:11	witnesses 4:25	<b>150</b> 7:21 20:8				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b>17</b> 34:22				
15:9,10 23:11	5:2,11,12,15	<b>18</b> 4:12				
37:9 40:4	5:25 7:12 8:11	<b>19</b> 13:3 17:21				
41:25 46:24	15:19 16:9	27:25 28:12				
48:4	19:19 29:24	32:7 42:10				
weekend 76:8	34:25 49:1,4	48:25 50:13				
weeks 3:14 4:8	49:12,23,25	53:8				
4:22 6:19,20	50:8,12 53:9	****	1			
6:20,20 27:1	54:9 58:18,20	2				
week-by-week	61:12 67:13,15	<b>2</b> 42:21 43:22	1			
5:22	wonder 62:4					
weigh 49:20	wondered 68:23	49:6 53:11	1			
weight 61:23	wonderful 60:24	<b>20</b> 13:4 24:13				
62:18	word 21:11	25:8 28:16	1			
welcome 25:20		<b>2011</b> 1:1 76:11				
	words 11:1 42:20	<b>21</b> 4:10,11				
73:1	51:13 58:10	<b>22</b> 34:25				
went 47:7	work 14:22 25:5	<b>26</b> 1:1	1			
we'll 5:14 11:17	30:1,2,13,25	<b>29</b> 13:14				
14:12 15:15,18	36:7 47:6					
16:14 19:13	75:14	3				
22:10 23:10	working-day					
24:11 25:3,4	6:19	<b>3</b> 53:11				
31:13 42:6	works 21:10,23	<b>308</b> 49:7	1			
44:10 48:2,11	74:17	<b>31</b> 19:19 76:1,11				
59:4 60:19	World 43:1		1			
71:19	worry 47:20	4				
/1.1/	,, oii j =1.20		1			
	1		ı	1	I	I