

- the words **Codeword 2** in the free-text of the drive (the codeword used for the claim in relation to Mrs Nelson);
- a detailed eyewitness account of events at the scene of the attack on Constable O'Reilly and the first part of the text of the RHD's claim of responsibility in relation to the Constable's murder;
- a Bible in which **Codeword 2** was underlined;
- a copy of a derogatory article on Mrs Nelson with the title "Monster Mashed"; and
- a copy of the **Newspaper 3** article of [REDACTED] 1999 by Martina Devlin. She claimed to have interviewed three RHD members, whom she later refused to identify. However, the article did contain a good deal of descriptive detail. Significantly, she quoted one of the interviewees as saying "if we can put a bomb under Rosemary Nelson's car, we can put one in Dublin, believe me". Our search of [REDACTED] house after his arrest revealed that it fitted in many respects the description of the place where the interview with Ms Devlin had taken place, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

203. Analysis of the claims of responsibility made by the RHD indicated that the first was made on 02.10.98, that 16 such claims were made prior to the murder of Mrs Nelson and that claims on behalf of the RHD continued after her death. For all of these reasons there is no doubt in my mind that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was the person behind the claim of responsibility relating to Mrs Nelson's murder. The difficulty for the investigation was actually proving in court that he was the originator of the illegal material. He could have argued, for instance, that the material found on his hard drive did not originate with him but was downloaded from elsewhere as some of the claims of responsibility had been placed on the Internet later by the press. Consequently, although a file was submitted to the DPP relating to [REDACTED] he was never charged with an offence arising from the RHD claim of responsibility for Mrs Nelson's murder.