

Data Sharing Review

Richard Thomas and Dr Mark Walport

Consultation paper on the use and sharing of personal information in the public and private sector

List of questions for response

We would welcome responses to the following questions set out in this consultation paper. Please follow the question order as set out in the consultation paper, leaving a blank response box for any questions not answered.

Please email your completed form to contact@datasharingreview.gsi.gov.uk

Alternatively you can send a hard copy response to:

Data Sharing Review Secretariat
5.26 Steel House
11 Tothill Street
London
SW1H 9LJ

Thank you.

Section 1: Background

Question 1.

Comments: I collect relevant business data, encrypted and accessible only by me.

Section 2: Scope of personal information sharing, including benefits, barriers and risks of data sharing and data protection

Question 2.

Comments: Voluntary, non-government sharing is fine. Governmental data sharing is dangerous

Question 3.

Comments: Abuse by those with the knowledge. Potential for corruption and intimidation

Question 4.

Comments: Data to be held and shared on the narrowest terms possible, and destroyed ASAP

Question 5.

Comments: Age - Departments seldom need to know age other than over/under 18, pension entitlement etc. It is harder to steal ID if the age is not known

Question 6.

Comments:

Question 7.

Comments: This is answer 6. You do not allow copy/paste to adjust. Opening a bank account is a nightmare due to banks requiring absurd and unnecessary data. One now needs a passport to obtain a credit card, yet the government intends to interrogate all passport applicants. Refusniks will no longer be able to join in normal financial affairs. No7 - There is no case for increased data sharing not outweighed by the dangers.

Question 8.

Comments:

Section 3: The legal framework

Question 9.

Comments: Q8:Police and councils, NHS, Dna records of innocent people forcibly taken and abused
Q9 Badly. No teeth. No voice. Who has heard of it?

Question 10.

Comments: Badly. They barely know of its existence.

Question 11.

Comments: Their own inability to say boo to a goose.

Question 12.

Comments: They should extract large fines from organisations public and private including government departments, who transgress.

Question 13.

Comments: No

Question 14.

Comments: No. The risk far outweighs the potential benefits

Question 15.

Comments: Yes - requirements of banks and similar for passports, utility bills etc.
Requirements for employers to demand passports from applicants. Scrap the so-called anti terrorist measures.

Section 4: Consent and transparency

Question 16.

Comments: No. It should be.

Question 17.

Comments: None meaningful. It would stop authorities making silly requirements and streamline processes.

Question 18.

Comments: Collect lee data in the first place. Destroy it asap.

Question 19.

Comments: Do not any such policy. Simply allow transactions to take place between individuals and organisations according to arrangements made by themselves and without governemnt or bureaucratic interference or 'guidelines.' The object is to make you redundant.

Section 5: Technology

Question 20.

Comments: Dangerous. Governments, spellbound by technocrats with a cash seeking agendum, want to inflict ID cards and the NIR on the public. They have no idea what this will do, having little or no understanding of technology.

Question 21.

Comments: Yes, but not so as to allow nore data mining.

Question 22.

Comments: No research without express permission.

Section 6: International comparisons

Question 23.

Comments: No

Question 24.

Comments: No

Question 25.

Comments: No

Question 26.

Comments: the Americans and Australians rightly rejected ID cards. SO will we.

Section 7: Additional questions

Question 27.

Comments: Campaign for the abandonment of the ID/NIR scheme.

Question 28.

Comments: Grow some teeth and bite.