

Data Sharing Review

Richard Thomas and Dr Mark Walport

Consultation paper on the use and sharing of personal information in the public and private sector

List of questions for response

We would welcome responses to the following questions set out in this consultation paper. Please follow the question order as set out in the consultation paper, leaving a blank response box for any questions not answered.

Please email your completed form to contact@datasharingreview.gsi.gov.uk

Alternatively you can send a hard copy response to:

Data Sharing Review Secretariat
5.26 Steel House
11 Tothill Street
London
SW1H 9LJ

Thank you.

Section 1: Background

Question 1.

Comments: As part of maintaining a register of Reps for the motorcycle Action Group. But only essential information is kept til the individual ins no longer in post then it is destroyed.

Section 2: Scope of personal information sharing, including benefits, barriers and risks of data sharing and data protection

Question 2.

Comments: A] It is of great benefit to individuals who are too trusting that the data will not be abused by others, sadly this government is one of the worst for such abuse. [Selling data to private companies contrary to DPA
b] It is of no real benefit to society as a whole. How many more databases do we need, Several for the DSS that still do not work, Tax, DVLA [known to be 32% inaccurate]

Question 3.

Comments: The risks are the same to both parts of this question; lack of security of the data, this government has demonstrated that time and again [HMRC, NHS, DSS]

Question 4.

Comments: Greatest risk is from data stored in RFID this was demonstrated a while back at Scippol Airport when 25,000 id's were stolen in an hour. This stupid government now has them in passports! Anyone who is a computer engineer knows that all data storage is hackable on a network.

Question 5.

Comments: DNA database, NHS spine, DVLA , Passport service, Capita, police, GCHQ all hold far too much information which is then abused and used especially as a means of controlling individuals freedoms.

Question 6.

Comments: Commercial companies storing movement data of individuals, Mobile phone companies also is all far too much

Question 7.

Comments: NONE

Question 8.

Comments: DVLA and Capita, DVLA and clamping companies, DVLA and councils

Section 3: The legal framework

Question 9.

Comments: It does not it is abused constantly and breached far too often by Government bodies who could not lie straight in bed.

Question 10.

Comments: The second principle is great but it is never adhered to my government bodies as was demonstrated by the HMRC scandal which showed how careless civil servant are with the data.

Question 11.

Comments: The data commissioner is a lapdog of the government and should have far greater powers to prosecute he should be totally independent of government, there fore not appointed by them.

Question 12.

Comments: As 11

Question 13.

Comments:

Question 14.

Comments:

Question 15.

Comments:

Section 4: Consent and transparency

Question 16.

Comments: No it is not, Even misiters like Blears is trying to trick people into giving information

Question 17.

Comments: None because if a clear request is made then people know that it is for data collection

Question 18.

Comments:

Question 19.

Comments:

Section 5: Technology

Question 20.

Comments:

Question 21.

Comments:

Question 22.

Comments:

Section 6: International comparisons

Question 23.

Comments:

Question 24.

Comments:

Question 25.

Comments:

Question 26.

Comments:

Section 7: Additional questions

Question 27.

Comments:

Question 28.

Comments: It is only fascist goverments who want all the data stored about each individual as a means of control the two best examples are the British government closely followed by the EU government both of which are run by largely unelected dictators who should be shot.