



# HM TREASURY

1 Horse Guards Road London SW1A 2HQ

**Brian Glicksman CB**  
**Treasury Officer of Accounts**

For enquiries about distribution of this and other  
DAO letters, please contact  
Mangai Rajasingham  
Tel: 020-7270-5362  
Fax: 020-7270-4311

mangai.rajasingham@hm-treasury.x.gsi.gov.uk  
[www.hm-treasury.gov.uk](http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk)

all extant DAOs are found at  
<http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/dao>

**DAO(GEN)12/05**

Dear Accounting Officer

**16 November 2005**

## **2004-05 FRAUD REPORT – AN ANALYSIS OF REPORTED FRAUD IN GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS**

This is the latest in the series of DAO letters covering the analysis of frauds reported to the Treasury under the arrangements set out in Chapter 5 of '*Government Accounting 2000*'. The Report provides an analysis of certain categories of frauds reported by departments for the financial year 2004-2005 and can be found at [www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/fraud](http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/fraud). The purpose of the Report is to raise fraud awareness within central government and to help managers strengthen systems to counter the risk of fraud.

### **Actions**

2. Accounting Officers are asked to note the following:
  - a) The Report contains an executive summary. The main findings and conclusions can be found in the **annex** to this letter.
  - b) **Section 2** contains details of internal theft and fraud reported in accordance with Government Accounting, Chapter 5. **Annexes B, C and D** provide more information on interesting or high-value cases.
3. Accounting Officers are also asked to bring this letter to the attention of all managers with responsibility for the development and operation of systems and procedures involving the handling of public funds, assets or contracts. Separate copies of this letter will be sent to Finance Directors, Agency Chief Executives and Heads of Internal Audit.



## **Contacts**

4. Enquiries on the content of the Report should be directed to Richard Fennelly, Assurance, Control and Risk team (tel: 020 7270 5792; e-mail: [richard.fennelly@hm-treasury.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:richard.fennelly@hm-treasury.gsi.gov.uk)).

## **Background**

5. Chapter 5 of '*Government Accounting 2000*' requires government departments to make an annual return to the Treasury of all cases of suspected or proven frauds (including attempted fraud) affecting their departments and agencies within the following categories:

- a) Fraud perpetrated by, or suspected to have been perpetrated by, departmental staff;
- b) Frauds perpetrated by members of the public with a value of £20,000 or more and which contain valuable lessons for others; and
- c) Contractor or supplier frauds.

6. The Report aims to inform departments of the scale and nature of certain categories of fraud which have been reported to the Treasury and, where this information is available, the weaknesses that allowed the frauds to be perpetrated, the means by which they were discovered and the action taken against offenders. This information is provided to help departments learn from the experiences of others when reviewing and developing their own control systems. The Report also aims to increase awareness of the risk of fraud and, in some areas, to suggest ways in which the risk can be managed and reduced.

**Brian Glicksman**

Treasury Officer of Accounts

## ANNEX TO DAO(GEN)12/05

**This annex provides a summary of the main findings and conclusions contained in the Fraud Report.**

2. 29 departments reported 450 cases of internal fraud in 2004-2005. 17 other bodies provided 'nil' returns. The total value of fraud was £3,136,900 and included 28 large value cases (£20,000 or more) with total losses amounting to £2,637,700 (84% of total losses reported). Last year's total was £3,907,000.

3. Departments, executive agencies, NDPBs and other central government bodies were also asked to complete a questionnaire about their anti-fraud arrangements. In total 161 questionnaires were completed (by 68 departments and agencies and 93 other bodies). These showed that 89% of all bodies had anti-fraud policies, that most had established clear avenues for staff to report their suspicions of fraud and that a high proportion took positive action to manage the risk of fraud.

4. The highest number of fraud cases occurred in the category **personnel management related fraud** (130 cases, 29% of total). These cases involved very little financial loss (£15,400 in total). In terms of value, the most significant category was **payment related fraud** that accounted for nearly 45% of total value. **Theft of assets and information** was also a significant category both in terms of number of cases reported (96 cases, 21% of total) and their overall value (£788,300, 25%).

5. Our analysis revealed three main areas that departments need to consider:

- a. **Security over assets.** Most **thefts** reported occurred because of poor security over assets with a significant number of personal computers being stolen from desks or classrooms. Thefts of personal computers carry the added risk of losing confidential information that could lead to serious criminal fraud or embarrassment to the departments concerned. Departments are advised to review their security arrangements.
- b. **Segregation of Duties/supervision.** In value terms the most significant frauds arose because the activities of some staff were not monitored closely enough or because there was a lack of segregation of duties between functions such as requisitioning, ordering and payment. Collusion was also involved in a number of the high value cases and again, this year, included collusion between staff and with contractors or members of the public. Good management information, regular and frequent management checks, segregation and rotation of duties and well publicised avenues for reporting suspicions of fraud should go some way to reducing the risk of these types of fraud occurring.

- c. **GPC/Credit cards.** This year saw a big increase in the number of credit card frauds reported. These frauds arose despite strong internal controls with most cases involving the copying of cards or the use of card details to make fraudulent purchases. In most cases the credit card companies made the losses good. It is important that card statements are examined in detail soon after they are received in order to identify frauds quickly and report them to the credit card companies.