

Proposed statutory levy for the research, education and treatment of problem gambling
Table showing estimated costs to operators

This table has been designed to illustrate likely costs to operators if a statutory levy were to be introduced.

		Band	Option 1		Option 2	
			2009	2010	2009	2010
Betting shop operator	1 shop	AR1	150	291	155	287
	10 shops	BR2	1496	2908	1550	2870
	100 shops	DR1	11318	22003	15500	28700
1968 Act Casino	GGY* of £3.5m - £5m	AR3	2077	4039	2015	3988
	GGY* of £12m - £18m	BR2	7479	14539	7255	14105
	GGY* of £50m - £75m	CR2	31161	60580	30230	58769
	GGY* of £75 - £100m	CR3	43626	84812	42321	83751
Adult Gaming Centre	1 centre	AR1	107	208	104	205
	10 centres	BR2	1068	2077	1040	1025
	50 centres	CR3	4736	9208	5200	10250
Family Entertainment Centre	1 centre	AR1	0	0	0	0
	5 centres	BR1	285	554	205	410
Bingo operator	1 hall	AR1	96	187	104	205
	10 halls	BR2	962	1869	1040	2050
	200 halls	ER1	40064	77889	20800	41000
Remote	GGY of £3.5m - £5m	FR3	2077	4039	2580	3988
	GGY of £135m - £170m	HR2	74787	145393	92886	143573

Notes:

Bands are taken from the propose bandings set out in Appendix D of the condoc

2009 figures are for initial period June - December 2009 and assume that the operators made contributions in line with Responsibility in Gambling Trust (RIGT) suggestions in 2008/09

*Gross Gaming Yield is, broadly speaking, amount staked less winnings.

2010 figures show costs for the first full year of levy

Figures assume levy raised is in line with forecast need as set out in the condoc.

Option 1: Setting a fee for bands of operators, based on the average number of premises within the band.

Option 2: Setting a flat rate levy per premise, based on the number of premises at 1 April of the year in question.

These numbers are for illustrative purposes only.