

1. Do you agree that securing plural sources of impartial news for the Nations, locally and in the regions should be a key priority?

Yes	Yes
No	

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

--

2. Do you agree that sustainable, impartial news in the Nations, locally and in the regions is likely to require some top-up public funding?

Yes	
No	No

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

Channel 3 has comprehensively failed its task as it failed to invest for the future in the years when it reaped the best advertising income. I have absolutely no sympathy for its position at all. The BBC should be encouraged to plug the gap in the news coverage.
--

3. Do you agree that the Television Licence Fee should be used to support impartial news in the Nations, locally and in the regions in addition to BBC services?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

I am implicitly against the Licence fee being "top sliced" or any attempt to remove funding from the BBC. In my opinion the viewing public acquiesces to the licence fee on the basis that everyone knows that, although government deny the fact, to finance the BBC. I and I am certain others value the presence of a robust public broadcaster that creates a wide variety of content unblemished by the annoying advertising breaks.

4. Do you agree that any funding within a contained contestable element of the television licence fee not required for impartial news should potentially be available to fund other forms of essential public service content, or should such funding be limited to news?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

Since I do not agree to the licencing fee being "top sliced" to support commercial broadcasters who have comprehensively failed their role why would I agree to it being spent elsewhere.

5. Are there alternative funding mechanisms that you believe would deliver the above objectives more effectively?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

6. Do you agree with the proposal to set a maximum percentage of Television Licence Fee revenue which could be set aside as a contained contestable element?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

I do not agree with ANY of the licence fee revenue being set aside in any way.

7. Do you agree that amending the BBC Agreement could provide the necessary protection to the BBC's future funding and independence?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

I think that it should be left alone.

8. Do you agree that the use of any contained contestable element within the Television Licence Fee should be restricted to the public purposes set out in the BBC Charter?

Yes	
No	NO

Comment (max. 4000 characters)

I think that if the Channel 3 franchise holders can not comply with their franchise terms then they should have them removed and these franchises should be re advertised at perhaps more lenient cost terms, and with more restrictive terms. I did not agree with the amalgamation and the soft handling of these commercial companies that the government has permitted. The government should have placed their broadcasting obligations first and government income second. These are commercial companies and I entirely disagree with them being allowed such leeway.