

## Summary of 2005 Fast Stream Recruitment Report

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes (excluding In-Service Fast Stream Competition)

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>26.1</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>37</b>

### Graduate Fast Stream

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Central Departments <sup>1</sup>	213	5,637	26.5	276	4.9%	28
Clerks House of Commons	3	95	31.7	3	3.2%	0
Clerks House of Lords	3	30	10.0	2	6.7%	0
DFID Technical Development	6	161	26.8	6	3.7%	0
Diplomatic Service	24	1,645	68.5	27	1.6%	3
Fast Stream (Europe)	7	158	22.6	7	4.4%	0
Science/Engineering	17	453	26.6	15	3.3%	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>32</b>

<sup>1</sup> For the Central Departments option, more Fast Streamers are recruited than are needed to fill the vacancies declared by departments. This enables us to meet unforeseen demand. Any successful candidates unassigned by the end of the year are assigned first the following year.

### Other Fast Stream Entries

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Economists	164	1,022	6.2	117	11.4%	4
Statisticians	54	618	11.4	45	7.3%	1
Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)	6	3,138	523.0	6	0.2%	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>4,778</b>	<b>21.3</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>5</b>

### In-Service Fast Stream Competition

	Candidates	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
	<b>107</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>0</b>



## Fast Stream Recruitment Report - In-Service Fast Stream Competition

### Analysis by Gender

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	46	43.0%	61	57.0%	<b>107</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	44	42.7%	59	57.3%	<b>103</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>67.9%</b>	<b>53</b>

### Analysis by Ethnicity

	White		Ethnic Minority		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	93	86.9%	14	13.1%	<b>107</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	89	86.4%	14	13.6%	<b>103</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>86.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	<b>53</b>

### Analysis by Disability

	Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	
<b>Candidates</b>	3	2.8%	<b>107</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	3	2.9%	<b>103</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>53</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment Report - Analysis by Gender

## Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>7,376</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>5,508</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>12,957</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>504</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>4,523</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>3,607</b>	<b>44.1%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>8,179</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	3,992	55.8%	3,138	43.9%	26	0.4%	<b>7,156</b>
Passed Online Test	2,134	59.4%	1,450	40.3%	11	0.3%	<b>3,595</b>
Invited to E-tray	2,021	58.6%	1,415	41.1%	10	0.3%	<b>3,446</b>
Attended E-tray	1,825	58.4%	1,292	41.3%	8	0.3%	<b>3,125</b>
Passed E-tray	573	59.9%	381	39.8%	3	0.3%	<b>957</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	572	59.9%	380	39.8%	3	0.3%	<b>955</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	531	59.7%	355	39.9%	3	0.3%	<b>889</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>55.1%</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>44.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>336</b>

#### Economists

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>63.0%</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>1,022</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	494	62.7%	290	36.8%	4	0.5%	<b>788</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	245	57.5%	179	42.0%	2	0.5%	<b>426</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	161	55.1%	129	44.2%	2	0.7%	<b>292</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>44.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>117</b>

## Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>55.7%</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>44.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>618</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	286	54.5%	239	45.5%	0	0.0%	525
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	98	57.3%	73	42.7%	0	0.0%	171
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	69	63.9%	39	36.1%	0	0.0%	108
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>1,257</b>	<b>40.1%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3,138</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	1,678	60.4%	1,087	39.1%	12	0.4%	2,777
Passed Online Test	54	54.5%	45	45.5%	0	0.0%	99
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	72	53.7%	62	46.3%	0	0.0%	134
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	66	54.1%	56	45.9%	0	0.0%	122
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total applications by gender for each scheme at each stage.

Total: All Fast Stream Schemes								
	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>7,376</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5,508</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>4,523</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3,607</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	3,992	88.3%	3,138	87.0%	26	53.1%	<b>7,156</b>	<b>87.5%</b>
Passed Online Test	2,134	47.2%	1,450	40.2%	11	22.4%	<b>3,595</b>	<b>44.0%</b>
Invited to E-tray	2,021	44.7%	1,415	39.2%	10	20.4%	<b>3,446</b>	<b>42.1%</b>
Attended E-tray	1,825	40.3%	1,292	35.8%	8	16.3%	<b>3,125</b>	<b>38.2%</b>
Passed E-tray	573	12.7%	381	10.6%	3	6.1%	<b>957</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	572	12.6%	380	10.5%	3	6.1%	<b>955</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	531	11.7%	355	9.8%	3	6.1%	<b>889</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

#### Economists

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	494	76.7%	290	78.4%	4	50.0%	<b>788</b>	<b>77.1%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	245	38.0%	179	48.4%	2	25.0%	<b>426</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	161	25.0%	129	34.9%	2	25.0%	<b>292</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>11.4%</b>

## Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>344</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	286	83.1%	239	87.2%	0	0.0%	<b>525</b>	<b>85.0%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	98	28.5%	73	26.6%	0	0.0%	<b>171</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	69	20.1%	39	14.2%	0	0.0%	<b>108</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>1,865</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,257</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	1,678	90.0%	1,087	86.5%	12	75.0%	<b>2,777</b>	<b>88.5%</b>
Passed Online Test	54	2.9%	45	3.6%	0	0.0%	<b>99</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	72	3.9%	62	4.9%	0	0.0%	<b>134</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	66	3.5%	56	4.5%	0	0.0%	<b>122</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment Report - Analysis by Ethnicity

## Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>10,857</b>	<b>83.8%</b>	<b>1,937</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>12,957</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>91.5%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>504</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>6,974</b>	<b>85.3%</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>8,179</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	6,191	86.5%	882	12.3%	83	1.2%	<b>7,156</b>
Passed Online Test	3,216	89.5%	332	9.2%	47	1.3%	<b>3,595</b>
Invited to E-tray	3,077	89.3%	329	9.5%	40	1.2%	<b>3,446</b>
Attended E-tray	2,792	89.3%	296	9.5%	37	1.2%	<b>3,125</b>
Passed E-tray	883	92.3%	63	6.6%	11	1.1%	<b>957</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	881	92.3%	63	6.6%	11	1.2%	<b>955</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	819	92.1%	59	6.6%	11	1.2%	<b>889</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>94.6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>336</b>

#### Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>34.3%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1,022</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	542	68.8%	235	29.8%	11	1.4%	<b>788</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	296	69.5%	125	29.3%	5	1.2%	<b>426</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	201	68.8%	87	29.8%	4	1.4%	<b>292</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>117</b>

## Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>618</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	424	80.8%	99	18.9%	2	0.4%	525
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	145	84.8%	25	14.6%	1	0.6%	171
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	88	81.5%	19	17.6%	1	0.9%	108
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>93.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>2,744</b>	<b>87.4%</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>3,138</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	2,446	88.1%	297	10.7%	34	1.2%	2,777
Passed Online Test	90	90.9%	8	8.1%	1	1.0%	99
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	120	89.6%	13	9.7%	1	0.7%	134
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	109	89.3%	12	9.8%	1	0.8%	122
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total applications by ethnicity for each scheme at each stage.

Total: All Fast Stream Schemes								
	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>10,857</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,937</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>6,974</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	6,191	88.8%	882	80.2%	83	79.0%	<b>7,156</b>	<b>87.5%</b>
Passed Online Test	3,216	46.1%	332	30.2%	47	44.8%	<b>3,595</b>	<b>44.0%</b>
Invited to E-tray	3,077	44.1%	329	29.9%	40	38.1%	<b>3,446</b>	<b>42.1%</b>
Attended E-tray	2,792	40.0%	296	26.9%	37	35.2%	<b>3,125</b>	<b>38.2%</b>
Passed E-tray	883	12.7%	63	5.7%	11	10.5%	<b>957</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	881	12.6%	63	5.7%	11	10.5%	<b>955</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	819	11.7%	59	5.4%	11	10.5%	<b>889</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

#### Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	542	82.9%	235	67.0%	11	64.7%	<b>788</b>	<b>77.1%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	296	45.3%	125	35.6%	5	29.4%	<b>426</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	201	30.7%	87	24.8%	4	23.5%	<b>292</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>11.4%</b>

## Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	424	87.4%	99	76.2%	2	66.7%	<b>525</b>	<b>85.0%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	145	29.9%	25	19.2%	1	33.3%	<b>171</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	88	18.1%	19	14.6%	1	33.3%	<b>108</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of Ethnic Minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>2,744</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online Test	2,446	89.1%	297	83.4%	34	89.5%	<b>2,777</b>	<b>88.5%</b>
Passed Online Test	90	3.3%	8	2.2%	1	2.6%	<b>99</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	120	4.4%	13	3.7%	1	2.6%	<b>134</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	109	4.0%	12	3.4%	1	2.6%	<b>122</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>

# Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Disability

## Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>12,546</b>	<b>96.8%</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>12,957</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>92.5%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>504</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled <sup>1</sup>		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>7,913</b>	<b>96.7%</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>8,179</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online Test	7,092	99.1%	30	0.4%	34	0.5%	<b>7,156</b>
Passed Online Test	3,568	99.2%	12	0.3%	15	0.4%	<b>3,595</b>
Invited to E-tray	3,254	94.4%	179	5.2%	13	0.4%	<b>3,446</b>
Attended E-tray	2,954	94.5%	159	5.1%	12	0.4%	<b>3,125</b>
Passed E-tray	867	90.6%	84	8.8%	6	0.6%	<b>957</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	867	90.8%	82	8.6%	6	0.6%	<b>955</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	805	90.6%	78	8.8%	6	0.7%	<b>889</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>336</b>

<sup>1</sup> Disabled candidates may seek exemption from the Online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

#### Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>96.9%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1,022</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	762	96.7%	20	2.5%	6	0.8%	<b>788</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	409	96.0%	14	3.3%	3	0.7%	<b>426</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	281	96.2%	9	3.1%	2	0.7%	<b>292</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>97.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>117</b>

## Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>97.1%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>618</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Application Assessment	508	96.8%	12	2.3%	5	1.0%	525
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	159	93.0%	11	6.4%	1	0.6%	171
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	98	90.7%	10	9.3%	0	0.0%	108
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>95.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
<b>Applications</b>	<b>3,043</b>	<b>97.0%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>3,138</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Completed Online test	2,752	99.1%	12	0.4%	13	0.5%	2,777
Passed Online Test	99	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	99
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	82	61.2%	52	38.8%	0	0.0%	134
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	75	61.5%	47	38.5%	0	0.0%	122
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of applicants by category.

Total: All Fast Stream Schemes								
	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>12,546</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

### Individual Schemes:

#### Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>7,913</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online test	7,092	89.6%	30	14.0%	34	66.7%	<b>7,156</b>	<b>87.5%</b>
Passed Online test	3,568	45.1%	12	5.6%	15	29.4%	<b>3,595</b>	<b>44.0%</b>
Invited to E-tray	3,254	41.1%	179	83.3%	13	25.5%	<b>3,446</b>	<b>42.1%</b>
Attended E-tray	2,954	37.3%	159	74.0%	12	23.5%	<b>3,125</b>	<b>38.2%</b>
Passed E-tray	867	11.0%	84	39.1%	6	11.8%	<b>957</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	867	11.0%	82	38.1%	6	11.8%	<b>955</b>	<b>11.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	805	10.2%	78	36.3%	6	11.8%	<b>889</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

### Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>990</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	762	77.0%	20	100.0%	6	50.0%	<b>788</b>	<b>77.1%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	409	41.3%	14	70.0%	3	25.0%	<b>426</b>	<b>41.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	281	28.4%	9	45.0%	2	16.7%	<b>292</b>	<b>28.6%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>11.4%</b>

## Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Application Assessment	508	84.7%	12	100.0%	5	83.3%	<b>525</b>	<b>85.0%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	159	26.5%	11	91.7%	1	16.7%	<b>171</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	98	16.3%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	<b>108</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>7.3%</b>

## Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondents applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
<b>Applications</b>	<b>3,043</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>–</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>								
Completed Online test	2,752	90.4%	12	15.8%	13	68.4%	<b>2,777</b>	<b>88.5%</b>
Passed Online Test	99	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	<b>99</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	82	2.7%	52	68.4%	0	0.0%	<b>134</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>								
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	75	2.5%	47	61.8%	0	0.0%	<b>122</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>



## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by University<sup>1</sup>

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GCHQ		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Aberdeen	67		19		5		24		115	0	0.0%
University of Abertay	5		8		1		2		16	0	0.0%
Anglia Ruskin University	14		12		1		5		32	0	0.0%
Aston University	34	2	11		2		11		58	2	3.4%
University of Bath	123	4	20	3	13	1	49	1	205	9	4.4%
University of Birmingham	240	10	29	1	13	1	97		379	12	3.2%
Bournemouth University	11		3				4		18	0	0.0%
University of Bradford	24	1	6		3		10		43	1	2.3%
University of Brighton	17		1		4		6		28	0	0.0%
University of Bristol	246	10	24	7	11		78		359	17	4.7%
Brunel University	48	1	19	1	4		11		82	2	2.4%
University of Buckingham			1						1	0	0.0%
University of Cambridge	542	50	40	12	15	3	155	1	752	66	8.8%
Cardiff University	140	5	15		19	4	76		250	9	3.6%
University of Central England in Birmingham	15	1	3		2		10		30	1	3.3%
University of Central Lancashire	22		1		3		15		41	0	0.0%
City University	24	1	16		4	1	9		53	2	3.8%
Coventry University	27		8	1	3		19		57	1	1.8%
Cranfield University	3						2		5	0	0.0%
De Montfort University	37		3		3		20		63	0	0.0%
University of Derby	13				3	1	5		21	1	4.8%
University of Dundee	28		5		5	1	13		51	1	2.0%
Durham University	361	15	18	4	33	4	128		540	23	4.3%
University of East Anglia	74		8	1	5		31		118	1	0.8%
University of East London	14		3		1		3		21	0	0.0%
University of Edinburgh	261	13	17	3	14	2	71		363	18	5.0%
University of Essex	69		11		4		29		113	0	0.0%
University of Exeter	128	4	9		6		54		197	4	2.0%
University of Glamorgan	15				4		2		21	0	0.0%
University of Glasgow	110	5	10		10		46		176	5	2.8%
Glasgow Caledonian University	13		1		1		10		25	0	0.0%
University of Gloucestershire	11		1		1		14		27	0	0.0%
University of Greenwich	16		6		4		9		35	0	0.0%
Heriot-Watt University	19		8	2	5		8		40	2	5.0%
University of Hertfordshire	29		2		2		16		49	0	0.0%
University of Huddersfield	9				1		3		13	0	0.0%
University of Hull	72		10		8		36	1	126	1	0.8%
Keele University	50	3	1		3		21		75	3	4.0%
University of Kent	75	1	11	1	4		25		115	2	1.7%
Kingston University	30		9		3		8		50	0	0.0%
Lancaster University	123		11		10	1	62		206	1	0.5%
University of Leeds	275	9	17	1	22	2	90		404	12	3.0%
Leeds Metropolitan University	24		6		5		13		48	0	0.0%
University of Leicester	107	3	16		4		39		166	3	1.8%

<sup>1</sup> Blank cells indicate zeros

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GCHQ		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Lincoln	23	2			1		13		37	2	5.4%
University of Liverpool	116	1	8	1	8	1	52		184	3	1.6%
Liverpool John Moores University	20		3		2		12		37	0	0.0%
London Metropolitan University	18		13		4		5		40	0	0.0%
University of London											
Birkbeck College	17		6		1		6		30	0	0.0%
Goldsmiths College	20		1	1	4		7		32	1	3.1%
Imperial College London	106	4	2		6	1	49		163	5	3.1%
King's College London	163	9	1		9	1	52		225	10	4.4%
London School of Economics and Political Science	130	7	51	15	1		27	1	209	23	11.0%
Queen Mary	80	2	16	1	6		29		131	3	2.3%
Royal Holloway	62	1	8		10	1	23		103	2	1.9%
School of Oriental and African Studies	58	1	8	1	3		23		92	2	2.2%
University College London	207	14	33	3	14	2	70		324	19	5.9%
Loughborough University	63	1	14	1	5		27		109	2	1.8%
University of Luton	7				3		2		12	0	0.0%
University of Manchester	261	9	29	1	11		90		391	10	2.6%
Manchester Institute of Science and Technology (UMIST)	24	1	1		3		7		35	1	2.9%
Manchester Metropolitan University	30		5		3		18		56	0	0.0%
Middlesex University	23		5		6		3		37	0	0.0%
Napier University	6		1		1				8	0	0.0%
University of Newcastle Upon Tyne	112	2	8	3	15	2	33		168	7	4.2%
Northumbria University	34	1	3		8		18		63	1	1.6%
University of Nottingham	312	8	31	7	7		134		484	15	3.1%
Nottingham Trent University	60	2	9	1	5		27		101	3	3.0%
Open University	31		1		10	1	13		55	1	1.8%
Oxford University	639	74	26	6	15	4	172		852	84	9.9%
Oxford Brookes University	31		3		2		15		51	0	0.0%
University of Paisley	5		1				2		8	0	0.0%
University of Plymouth	32		2		1		20		55	0	0.0%
University of Portsmouth	34		4	1	4		20		62	1	1.6%
Queen's University Belfast	34		3	1	6	2	17		60	3	5.0%
University of Reading	57		11	1	9		23		100	1	1.0%
Robert Gordon University	2						2		4	0	0.0%
University of Salford	26		5		4		18		53	0	0.0%
University of Sheffield	258	7	19	2	20	3	102		399	12	3.0%
Sheffield Hallam University	40		1		6		22		69	0	0.0%
South Bank University	17		1				6		24	0	0.0%
University of Southampton	142	7	17	4	14	1	55		228	12	5.3%
University of St Andrews	163	3	12	2	6	1	81		262	6	2.3%
Staffordshire University	27				2		14		43	0	0.0%
Stirling University	25	1	10		3		11		49	1	2.0%
University of Strathclyde	65	5	10		13		37		125	5	4.0%
University of Sunderland	10						5		15	0	0.0%
University of Surrey	45		6		7	1	27		85	1	1.2%
University of Sussex	82	3	14	1	5		31	1	132	5	3.8%
University of Teesside	10		1				4		15	0	0.0%
Thames Valley University	2		1				1		4	0	0.0%

1 Blank cells indicate zeros

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GCHQ		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Ulster	13		3		2		7		25	0	0.0%
University of Wales											
Aberystwyth	64	5	7	1	4		46		121	6	5.0%
Bangor	17	1	1		2		7		27	1	3.7%
Lampeter	5						2		7	0	0.0%
Swansea	61		7		7		38		113	0	0.0%
University of Warwick	276	8	31	8	21	1	106		434	17	3.9%
University of the West of England	46	1	8		2		33		89	1	1.1%
University of Westminster	35	1	3		4		19		61	1	1.6%
University of Wolverhampton	20		1		1		14		36	0	0.0%
University of York	134	7	16	3	10		48	1	208	11	5.3%
Overseas	126	6	85	10	23	2	18		252	18	7.1%
Not stated	88	4	37	5	15		36		176	9	5.1%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

1 Blank cells indicate zeros

# Fast Stream Recruitment Report - Analysis by Degree Type<sup>1</sup>

(Data provided by Parity plc)

Degree Type	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GCHQ		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
Allied Medicine	22		2		2		4		30	0	0.0%
Architecture	12		3		2		2		19	0	0.0%
Biological Sciences	497	23	6	1	43	1	172		718	25	3.5%
Business	423	10	54	3	53	1	168		698	14	2.0%
Creative Arts	107	5	2		1		46		156	5	3.2%
Economics	471	14	803	96	67		160		1,501	110	7.3%
Education	13		2		1		7		23	0	0.0%
Engineering	295	14	12	2	24	2	128		459	18	3.9%
Financial	68		11	1	11		33		123	1	0.8%
Humanities	2,144	92	15	1	14		755	2	2,928	95	3.2%
Languages	740	31	6	1			286	2	1,032	34	3.3%
Librarian	4						4		8	0	0.0%
Mathematical Science	266	6	16	2	217	29	128		627	37	5.9%
Medicine & Dentistry	15	1	2		2		7		26	1	3.8%
Multi Discipline	476	23	21	1	19		181		697	24	3.4%
Physical Sciences	584	29	7	1	71	8	254		916	38	4.1%
Social Science	1,911	87	55	8	84	4	715	2	2,765	101	3.7%
Technology	124	1	3		7		86		220	1	0.5%
Veterinary Sciences	7		2				2		11	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,179</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>618</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>3,138</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12,957</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Blank cells indicate zeros

## Total: All Fast Stream Schemes Diversity Trends

### Analysis by Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Male		Female		Non-respondent <sup>1</sup>			Male		Female		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	497	7,376	56.9%	5,508	42.5%	73	0.6%	*12,957	280	55.6%	220	43.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004	507	5,255	61.1%	3,343	38.9%	–	–	*8,598	273	58.5%	194	41.5%	–	–	467
2003	546	10,676	53.3%	9,356	46.7%	–	–	20,032	256	50.2%	254	49.8%	–	–	510
2002	509	7,181	50.1%	7,147	49.9%	–	–	14,328	197	47.9%	214	52.1%	–	–	411
2001	512	6,175	52.4%	5,602	47.6%	–	–	11,777	204	48.5%	217	51.5%	–	–	421
2000	560	7,487	52.0%	6,922	48.0%	–	–	14,409	223	52.3%	203	47.7%	–	–	426
1999	445	5,220	53.2%	4,594	46.8%	–	–	9,814	153	50.0%	153	50.0%	–	–	306
1998	367	4,931	54.6%	4,106	45.4%	–	–	9,037	144	61.3%	91	38.7%	–	–	235

<sup>1</sup> Non-respondent figures only collected from 2005.

### Analysis by Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	497	10,857	83.8%	1,937	14.9%	163	1.3%	*12,957	461	91.5%	36	7.1%	7	1.4%	504
2004	507	7,140	83.0%	1,259	14.6%	199	2.3%	*8,598	428	91.6%	25	5.4%	14	3.0%	467
2003	546	15,702	78.4%	3,275	16.3%	1,055	5.3%	20,032	455	89.2%	40	7.8%	15	2.9%	510
2002	509	11,671	81.5%	2,432	17.0%	225	1.6%	14,328	363	88.3%	40	9.7%	8	2.0%	411
2001	512	9,683	82.2%	1,941	16.5%	153	1.3%	11,777	383	90.9%	32	7.6%	6	1.4%	421
2000	560	12,076	83.8%	2,154	15.0%	179	1.2%	14,409	392	92.0%	29	6.8%	5	1.2%	426
1999	445	8,412	85.7%	1,296	13.2%	106	1.1%	9,814	287	93.8%	18	5.9%	1	0.3%	306
1998	367	7,884	87.2%	1,098	12.2%	55	0.6%	9,037	226	96.2%	8	3.4%	1	0.4%	235

### Analysis by University of First Degree

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Ox		Ox			Non-Ox		Ox		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	497	11,353	87.6%	1,604	12.4%	*12,957	354	70.2%	150	29.8%	504
2004	507	7,216	83.9%	1,382	16.1%	*8,598	300	64.2%	167	35.8%	467
2003	546	18,214	90.9%	1,818	9.1%	20,032	328	64.3%	182	35.7%	510
2002	509	13,122	91.6%	1,206	8.4%	14,328	298	72.5%	113	27.5%	411
2001	512	10,846	92.1%	931	7.9%	11,777	303	72.0%	118	28.0%	421
2000	560	13,289	92.2%	1,120	7.8%	14,409	289	67.8%	137	32.2%	426
1999	445	8,880	90.5%	934	9.5%	9,814	214	69.9%	92	30.1%	306
1998	367	8,142	90.1%	895	9.9%	9,037	154	65.5%	81	34.5%	235

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Further changes, described above, to the selection process in 2005 mean that the sequence of events is no longer exactly comparable to previous years. For 2005, therefore, an application is deemed to have been submitted if the applicant has completed the online self-assessment and decided to proceed to the online test stage.

## Analysis by Disability

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	497	12,546	96.8%	323	2.5%	88	0.7%	*12,957	466	92.5%	34	6.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004	507	8,324	96.8%	274	3.2%	–	–	*8,598	433	92.7%	34	7.3%	–	–	467
2003	546	19,550	97.6%	482	2.4%	–	–	20,032	492	96.5%	18	3.5%	–	–	510
2002	509	14,061	98.1%	267	1.9%	–	–	14,328	395	96.1%	16	3.9%	–	–	411
2001	512	11,510	97.7%	267	2.3%	–	–	11,777	409	97.1%	12	2.9%	–	–	421
2000	560	14,210	98.6%	199	1.4%	–	–	14,409	418	98.1%	8	1.9%	–	–	426
1999	445	9,627	98.1%	187	1.9%	–	–	9,814	299	97.7%	7	2.3%	–	–	306
1998	367	8,875	98.2%	162	1.8%	–	–	9,037	223	94.9%	12	5.1%	–	–	235

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Further changes, described above, to the selection process in 2005 mean that the sequence of events is no longer exactly comparable to previous years. For 2005, therefore, an application is deemed to have been submitted if the applicant has completed the online self-assessment and decided to proceed to the online test stage.

## The Graduate Fast Stream Diversity Trends

### Analysis by Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Male		Female		Non-respondent			Male		Female		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	273	4,523	55.3%	3,607	44.1%	49	0.6%	*8,179	185	55.1%	148	44.0%	3	0.9%	336
2004	255	3,934	59.9%	2,630	40.1%	–	–	*6,564	167	55.5%	134	44.5%	–	–	301
2003	304	9,204	52.1%	8,455	47.9%	–	–	17,659	162	45.0%	198	55.0%	–	–	360
2002	251	5,473	49.2%	5,659	50.8%	–	–	11,132	111	43.4%	145	56.6%	–	–	256
2001	272	4,786	51.4%	4,530	48.6%	–	–	9,316	138	45.8%	163	54.2%	–	–	301
2000	254	5,720	51.0%	5,491	49.0%	–	–	11,211	139	50.7%	135	49.3%	–	–	274
1999	189	3,798	52.3%	3,461	47.7%	–	–	7,259	81	45.3%	98	54.7%	–	–	179
1998	180	3,575	54.2%	3,020	45.8%	–	–	6,595	76	55.9%	60	44.1%	–	–	136

### Analysis by Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	273	6,974	85.3%	1,100	13.4%	105	1.3%	*8,179	318	94.6%	12	3.6%	6	1.8%	336
2004	255	5,600	85.3%	808	12.3%	156	2.4%	*6,564	284	94.4%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	301
2003	304	13,862	78.5%	2,811	15.9%	986	5.6%	17,659	333	92.5%	16	4.4%	11	3.1%	360
2002	251	9,235	83.0%	1,752	15.7%	145	1.3%	11,132	236	92.2%	19	7.4%	1	0.4%	256
2001	272	7,808	83.8%	1,389	14.9%	119	1.3%	9,316	278	92.3%	18	6.0%	5	1.7%	301
2000	254	9,510	84.8%	1,558	13.9%	143	1.3%	11,211	252	92.0%	17	6.2%	5	1.8%	274
1999	189	6,293	86.6%	875	12.1%	91	1.3%	7,259	174	97.2%	4	2.2%	1	0.6%	179
1998	180	5,836	88.5%	721	10.9%	38	0.6%	6,595	131	96.3%	4	2.9%	1	0.8%	136

### Analysis by University of First Degree

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Ox		Ox			Non-Ox		Ox		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	273	6,998	85.6%	1,181	14.4%	*8,179	212	63.1%	124	36.9%	336
2004	255	5,327	81.2%	1,237	18.8%	*6,564	163	54.2%	138	45.8%	301
2003	304	15,979	90.5%	1,680	9.5%	17,659	208	57.8%	152	42.2%	360
2002	251	10,079	90.5%	1,053	9.5%	11,132	165	64.5%	91	35.5%	256
2001	272	8,471	90.9%	845	9.1%	9,316	204	67.8%	97	32.2%	301
2000	254	10,221	91.2%	990	8.8%	11,211	163	59.5%	111	40.5%	274
1999	189	6,427	88.5%	832	11.5%	7,259	108	60.3%	71	39.7%	179
1998	180	5,801	88.0%	794	12.0%	6,595	69	50.7%	67	49.3%	136

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Further changes, described above, to the selection process in 2005 mean that the sequence of events is no longer exactly comparable to previous years. For 2005, therefore, an application is deemed to have been submitted if the applicant has completed the online self-assessment and decided to proceed to the online test stage.

## Analysis by Disability

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2005	273	7,913	96.7%	215	2.6%	51	0.6%	*8,179	303	90.2%	30	8.9%	3	0.9%	336
2004	255	6,332	96.5%	232	3.5%	–	–	*6,564	274	91.0%	27	9.0%	–	–	301
2003	304	17,233	97.6%	426	2.4%	–	–	17,659	346	96.1%	14	3.9%	–	–	360
2002	251	10,919	98.1%	213	1.9%	–	–	11,132	244	95.3%	12	4.7%	–	–	256
2001	272	9,117	97.9%	199	2.1%	–	–	9,316	289	96.0%	12	4.0%	–	–	301
2000	254	11,042	98.5%	169	1.5%	–	–	11,211	268	97.8%	6	2.2%	–	–	274
1999	189	7,119	98.1%	140	1.9%	–	–	7,259	172	96.1%	7	3.9%	–	–	179
1998	180	6,474	98.2%	121	7.8%	–	–	6,595	133	94.9%	3	5.1%	–	–	136

\* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Further changes, described above, to the selection process in 2005 mean that the sequence of events is no longer exactly comparable to previous years. For 2005, therefore, an application is deemed to have been submitted if the applicant has completed the online self-assessment and decided to proceed to the online test stage.