



## Summary of 2004 Fast Stream Recruitment Report

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes (\*excluding In-Service Nomination Scheme)

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>507</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>34</b>

### The General Fast Stream

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Diplomatic Service	30	2,417	80.6	27	1.1%	3
Clerks House of Commons	3	216	72.0	3	1.4%	0
Clerks House of Lords	3	36	12.0	3	8.3%	0
Home Civil Service (excluding In-Service Nomination Scheme)	196	2,644	13.5	238	9.0%	19
Fast Stream (Europe)	10	531	53.1	16	3.0%	0
Science / Engineering	13	720	55.4	14	1.9%	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>6,564</b>	<b>25.7</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>24</b>

### Other Fast Stream Entries

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Economists	172	947	5.5	115	12.1%	5
Statisticians	74	577	7.8	43	7.5%	4
Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)	6	510	85.0	8	1.6%	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>2,034</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>10</b>

### In-Service Nomination Scheme

	Nominations	Vacancies	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
In-Service Nomination Scheme	99	46	n/a	46	46.5%	0



## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – The In-Service Nomination Scheme

### Analysis by Gender

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Nominations</b>	50	50.5%	49	49.5%	99
Attended CSSB	47	50.0%	47	50.0%	94
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>46</b>

### Analysis by Disability

	Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	
<b>Nominations</b>	4	4.0%	99
Attended CSSB	4	4.3%	94
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>46</b>

### Analysis by Ethnicity

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Nominations</b>	84	84.8%	3	3.0%	12	12.1%	99
Attended CSSB	79	84.0%	3	3.2%	12	12.8%	94
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>46</b>



## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Gender

### Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

#### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	5,255	61.1%	3,343	38.9%	8,598
Recommended for Appointment	273	58.5%	194	41.5%	467

#### Individual Schemes:

##### The General Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	3,934	59.9%	2,630	40.1%	* 6,564
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	3,898	59.9%	2,608	40.1%	6,506
Attended QT1	3,365	59.6%	2,285	40.4%	5,650
Invited to QT2	1,618	55.8%	1,281	44.2%	2,899
Attended QT2	1,412	55.9%	1,114	44.1%	2,526
Invited to Preliminary Interview	112	61.2%	71	38.8%	183
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	686	59.6%	465	40.4%	1,151
Attended CSSB	589	59.0%	409	41.0%	998
Invited to Final Selection Board	60	65.9%	31	34.1%	91
Recommended for Appointment	167	55.5%	134	44.5%	301

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

##### The Economists

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	623	65.8%	324	34.2%	947
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	264	64.5%	145	35.5%	409
Attended CSSB	208	64.0%	117	36.0%	325
Recommended for Appointment	73	63.5%	42	36.5%	115

## The Statisticians

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT	108	56.8%	82	43.2%	190
Attended QT	97	56.7%	74	43.3%	171
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	83	60.6%	54	39.4%	137
Attended CSSB	72	65.5%	38	34.5%	110
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37.2%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>70.4%</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	357	70.4%	150	29.6%	507
Attended QT1	324	70.6%	135	29.4%	459
Invited to QT2	315	70.2%	134	29.8%	449
Attended QT2	282	70.5%	118	29.5%	400
Invited to Preliminary Interview	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	14
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attended Preliminary Interview	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
Invited to Final Selection Board	28	71.8%	11	28.2%	39
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

## Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>5,255</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3,343</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,598</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>467</b>

## Individual Schemes:

### The General Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>3,934</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>2,630</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>* 6,564</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	3,898	99.1%	2,608	99.2%	<b>6,506</b>
Attended QT1	3,365	85.5%	2,285	86.9%	<b>5,650</b>
Invited to QT2	1,618	41.1%	1,281	48.7%	<b>2,899</b>
Attended QT2	1,412	35.9%	1,114	42.4%	<b>2,526</b>
Invited to Preliminary Interview	112	2.8%	71	2.7%	<b>183</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	686	17.4%	465	17.7%	<b>1,151</b>
Attended CSSB	589	15.0%	409	15.6%	<b>998</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	60	1.5%	31	1.2%	<b>91</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>301</b>

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

### The Economists

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>947</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	264	42.4%	145	44.8%	<b>409</b>
Attended CSSB	208	33.4%	117	36.1%	<b>325</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>115</b>

### The Statisticians

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT	108	31.9%	82	34.5%	<b>190</b>
Attended QT	97	28.6%	74	31.1%	<b>171</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	83	24.5%	54	22.7%	<b>137</b>
Attended CSSB	72	21.2%	38	16.0%	<b>110</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Male		Female		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	357	99.4%	150	99.3%	<b>507</b>
Attended QT1	324	90.3%	135	89.4%	<b>459</b>
Invited to QT2	315	87.7%	134	88.7%	<b>449</b>
Attended QT2	282	78.6%	118	78.1%	<b>400</b>
Invited to Preliminary Interview	11	3.1%	3	2.0%	<b>14</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attended Preliminary Interview	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	<b>2</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	28	7.8%	11	7.3%	<b>39</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Ethnicity

### Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

#### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	7,140	83.0%	1,259	14.6%	199	2.3%	8,598
Recommended for Appointment	428	91.6%	25	5.4%	14	3.0%	467

#### Individual Schemes:

##### The General Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	5,600	85.3%	808	12.3%	156	2.4%	* 6,564
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT1	5,562	85.5%	792	12.2%	152	2.3%	6,506
Attended QT1	4,861	86.0%	657	11.6%	132	2.3%	5,650
Invited to QT2	2,474	85.3%	353	12.2%	72	2.5%	2,899
Attended QT2	2,165	85.7%	305	12.1%	56	2.2%	2,526
Invited to Preliminary Interview	151	82.5%	26	14.2%	6	3.3%	183
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	1,036	90.0%	88	7.6%	27	2.3%	1,151
Attended CSSB	900	90.2%	74	7.4%	24	2.4%	998
Invited to Final Selection Board	84	92.3%	3	3.3%	4	4.4%	91
Recommended for Appointment	284	94.4%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	301

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

##### The Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Applications	642	67.8%	277	29.3%	28	3.0%	947
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	302	73.8%	92	22.5%	15	3.7%	409
Attended CSSB	243	74.8%	72	22.2%	10	3.1%	325
Recommended for Appointment	96	83.5%	14	12.2%	5	4.3%	115

## The Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT	154	81.1%	34	17.9%	2	1.1%	<b>190</b>
Attended QT	139	81.3%	30	17.5%	2	1.2%	<b>171</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	118	86.1%	17	12.4%	2	1.5%	<b>137</b>
Attended CSSB	94	85.5%	14	12.7%	2	1.8%	<b>110</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>93.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>89.8%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT1	456	89.9%	44	8.7%	7	1.4%	<b>507</b>
Attended QT1	418	91.1%	36	7.8%	5	1.1%	<b>459</b>
Invited to QT2	410	91.3%	34	7.6%	5	1.1%	<b>449</b>
Attended QT2	364	91.0%	32	8.0%	4	1.0%	<b>400</b>
Invited to Preliminary Interview	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	<b>14</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Attended Preliminary Interview	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	<b>2</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	33	84.6%	5	12.8%	1	2.6%	<b>39</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of applicants by category.

## Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>7,140</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>8,598</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>467</b>

## Individual Schemes:

### The General Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>5,600</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>* 6,564</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT1	5,562	99.3%	792	98.0%	152	97.4%	<b>6,506</b>
Attended QT1	4,861	86.8%	657	81.3%	132	84.6%	<b>5,650</b>
Invited to QT2	2,474	44.2%	353	43.7%	72	46.2%	<b>2,899</b>
Attended QT2	2,165	38.7%	305	37.7%	56	35.9%	<b>2,526</b>
Invited to Preliminary Interview	151	2.7%	26	3.2%	6	3.8%	<b>183</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	1,036	18.5%	88	10.9%	27	17.3%	<b>1,151</b>
Attended CSSB	900	16.1%	74	9.2%	24	15.4%	<b>998</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	84	1.5%	3	0.4%	4	2.6%	<b>91</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>301</b>

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

### The Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>642</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>947</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	302	47.0%	92	33.2%	15	53.6%	<b>409</b>
Attended CSSB	243	37.9%	72	26.0%	10	35.7%	<b>325</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>115</b>

### The Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT	154	35.0%	34	26.4%	2	25.0%	<b>190</b>
Attended QT	139	31.6%	30	23.3%	2	25.0%	<b>171</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Invited to CSSB	118	26.8%	17	13.2%	2	25.0%	<b>137</b>
Attended CSSB	94	21.4%	14	10.9%	2	25.0%	<b>110</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>							
Invited to QT1	456	99.6%	44	97.8%	7	100.0%	<b>507</b>
Attended QT1	418	91.3%	36	80.0%	5	71.4%	<b>459</b>
Invited to QT2	410	89.5%	34	75.6%	5	71.4%	<b>449</b>
Attended QT2	364	79.5%	32	71.1%	4	57.1%	<b>400</b>
Invited to Preliminary Interview	11	2.4%	3	6.7%	0	0.0%	<b>14</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>							
Attended Preliminary Interview	1	0.2%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	<b>2</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	33	7.2%	5	11.1%	1	14.3%	<b>39</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Disability

### Section A

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of the total at each stage.

#### The Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>8,324</b>	<b>96.8%</b>	<b>8,598</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>92.7%</b>	<b>467</b>

#### Individual Schemes:

#### The General Fast Stream Disabled

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>6,332</b>	<b>96.5%</b>	<b>* 6,564</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	228	3.5%	6,278	96.5%	<b>6,506</b>
Requested exemption from QTs	95	–	–	–	<b>95</b>
Attended QT1	115	2.0%	5,535	98.0%	<b>5,650</b>
Invited to QT2	13	0.4%	2,886	99.6%	<b>2,899</b>
Attended QT2	6	0.2%	2,520	99.8%	<b>2,526</b>
Unsuccessful at QT - Requested PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	88	–	–	–	<b>88</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attended PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	161	–	–	–	<b>161</b>
Invited to CSSB	90	7.8%	1,061	92.2%	<b>1,151</b>
Attended CSSB	85	8.5%	913	91.5%	<b>998</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	10	11.0%	81	89.0%	<b>91</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>91.0%</b>	<b>301</b>

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

#### The Economists

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>98.8%</b>	<b>947</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	8	2.0%	401	98.0%	<b>409</b>
Attended CSSB	7	2.2%	318	97.8%	<b>325</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>96.5%</b>	<b>115</b>

## The Statisticians

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT	8	4.2%	182	95.8%	190
Attended QT	7	4.1%	164	95.9%	171
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	6	4.4%	131	95.6%	137
Attended CSSB	5	4.5%	105	95.5%	110
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>95.3%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	22	4.3%	485	95.7%	507
Requested exemption from QT	6	–	–	–	6
Attended QT1	15	3.3%	444	96.7%	459
Invited to QT2	7	1.6%	442	98.4%	449
Attended QT2	5	1.3%	395	98.8%	400
Unsuccessful at QT - Requested PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	8	–	–	–	8
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attend PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	2	–	–	–	2
Invited to Final Selection Board	2	5.1%	37	94.9%	39
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Section B

This section of tables illustrate the success rate as a percentage of applicants by category.

### Total: All Fast Stream Schemes

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>8,324</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>8,598</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>467</b>

## Individual Schemes:

### The General Fast Stream

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>6,332</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>* 6,564</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	228	98.3%	6,278	99.1%	<b>6,506</b>
Requested exemption from QT	95	40.9%	–	–	<b>95</b>
Attended QT1	115	49.6%	5,535	87.4%	<b>5,650</b>
Invited to QT2	13	5.6%	2,886	45.6%	<b>2,899</b>
Attended QT2	6	2.6%	2,520	39.8%	<b>2,526</b>
Unsuccessful at QT - Requested PIB (GFS only)	88	37.9%	–	–	<b>88</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attend PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	161	69.4%	–	–	<b>161</b>
Invited to CSSB	90	38.8%	1,061	16.8%	<b>1,151</b>
Attended CSSB	85	36.6%	913	14.4%	<b>998</b>
Invited to Final Selection Board	10	4.3%	81	1.3%	<b>91</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>301</b>

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.

### The Economists

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>947</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	8	72.7%	401	42.8%	<b>409</b>
Attended CSSB	7	63.6%	318	34.0%	<b>325</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>36.4%</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>115</b>

### The Statisticians

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>577</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT	8	88.9%	182	32.0%	<b>190</b>
Attended QT	7	77.8%	164	28.9%	<b>171</b>
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Invited to CSSB	6	66.7%	131	23.1%	<b>137</b>
Attended CSSB	5	55.6%	105	18.5%	<b>110</b>
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>43</b>

## The Graduate Management Trainees (GCHQ)

	Disabled		Non-Disabled		Total
	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	Number	Overall success rate (as % of applicants)	
<b>Applications</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>510</b>
<b>Sift Stages</b>					
Invited to QT1	22	100.0%	485	99.4%	507
Requested exemption from QT	6	27.3%	–	–	6
Attended QT1	15	68.2%	444	91.0%	459
Invited to QT2	7	31.8%	442	90.6%	449
Attended QT2	5	22.7%	395	80.9%	400
Unsuccessful at QT – Requested PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	8	36.4%	–	–	8
<b>Interview Stages</b>					
Attend PIB (GFS & GCHQ only)	2	9.1%	–	–	2
Invited to Final Selection Board	2	9.1%	37	7.6%	39
<b>Recommended for Appointment</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>8</b>

## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by University

Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by University						
University	Total Applications	Recommended for Appointment				Overall Success Rate
		The General Fast Stream	Economists	Statisticians	Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)	
Aberdeen University	62	1	1		2	3.2%
Abertay University	7				0	0.0%
Anglia University	13		1		1	7.7%
Aston University	32				0	0.0%
Bath University	106		6		1	6.6%
Birmingham University	198	10			10	5.1%
Bournemouth University	16	1		1	2	12.5%
Bradford University	27				0	0.0%
Brighton University	9				0	0.0%
Bristol University	266	14	4	1	1	7.5%
Brunel University	77				0	0.0%
Buckingham University	2	1			1	50.0%
Cambridge University	652	66	9	2	77	11.8%
Cardiff University	147	3	4		7	4.8%
University of Central England	10				0	0.0%
University in Central Lancashire	19				0	0.0%
City University	38				0	0.0%
Coventry University	42				0	0.0%
Cranfield University	3				0	0.0%
De Montfort University	19				0	0.0%
Derby University	13				0	0.0%
Dundee University	27	1			1	3.7%
Durham University	326	12	4	4	1	6.4%
East Anglia University	72				0	0.0%
East London University	9				0	0.0%
Edinburgh University	298	14	4	1	1	6.7%
Essex University	58	2	4		6	10.3%
Exeter University	156	3			3	1.9%
University of Glamorgan	8				0	0.0%
Glasgow University	124	4	4	1	9	7.3%
Glasgow, Caledonian University	14				0	0.0%
University of Gloucestershire	4				0	0.0%
Greenwich University	24				0	0.0%
Heriot-Watt University	39	1			1	2.6%
University of Hertfordshire	25				0	0.0%
Huddersfield University	11				0	0.0%
Hull University	83	2		1	3	3.6%
Keele University	62	1			1	1.6%
University of Kent at Canterbury	78	1	1	1	3	3.8%
Kingston University	51		1		1	2.0%

University	Total Applications	Recommended for Appointment					Overall Success Rate
		The General Fast Stream	Economists	Statisticians	Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)	Total Recommended for Appointment	
Lancaster University	108					0	0.0%
Leeds University	259	6		4		10	3.9%
Leeds Metropolitan University	18					0	0.0%
Leicester University	110	2				2	1.8%
Lincoln University	11					0	0.0%
Liverpool University	115	2	1	1		4	3.5%
Liverpool John Moores University	19					0	0.0%
London Metropolitan University	36	1				1	2.8%
University of London							
Birkbeck College	15					0	0.0%
Goldsmiths College	17					0	0.0%
Imperial College	120	3		1		4	3.3%
King's College	129	4				4	3.1%
London School of Economics and Political Science	134	7	9	2		18	13.4%
Queen Mary	67	1	1			2	3.0%
Royal Holloway	79	1	1			2	2.5%
School of Oriental and African Studies	38					0	0.0%
University College London	263	7	6	1		14	5.3%
Loughborough University	70	2				2	2.9%
Luton University	2					0	0.0%
Manchester University	258	7	2	2		11	4.3%
Manchester Institute of Science and Technology (UMIST)	29					0	0.0%
Manchester Metropolitan University	38	1				1	2.6%
Middlesex University	24					0	0.0%
Napier University	13					0	0.0%
Newcastle Upon Tyne University	115	1	1	3		5	4.3%
Northumbria University	37					0	0.0%
Nottingham University	282	7	5	1		13	4.6%
Nottingham Trent University	44		2			2	4.5%
Open University	26		1			1	3.8%
Oxford University	730	72	14	2	2	90	12.3%
Oxford Brookes University	15					0	0.0%
Paisley University	6					0	0.0%
Plymouth University	33			1		1	3.0%
Portsmouth University	32					0	0.0%
Queen's University, Belfast	26					0	0.0%
Reading University	87	1				1	1.1%
Robert Gordon University	3					0	0.0%
Salford University	21					0	0.0%
Sheffield University	213	5	4	4		13	6.1%
Sheffield Hallam University	36					0	0.0%
South Bank University	12					0	0.0%
Southampton University	126	1	3			4	3.2%
St Andrews University	147	7			1	8	5.4%
Staffordshire University	21					0	0.0%
Stirling University	47	1	2			3	6.4%
Strathclyde University	67	1	1			2	3.0%
Sunderland University	16					0	0.0%
University of Surrey	41	1	2	1		4	9.8%

University	Total Applications	Recommended for Appointment				Total Recommended for Appointment	Overall Success Rate
		The General Fast Stream	Economists	Statisticians	Government Management Trainees (GCHQ)		
University of Sussex	75	3	1			4	5.3%
University of Teesside	11					0	0.0%
Thames Valley University	10					0	0.0%
University of Ulster	17	1				1	5.9%
University of Wales							
Aberystwyth	70					0	0.0%
Bangor	27	1				1	3.7%
Lampeter	3					0	0.0%
Swansea	61	2	1			3	4.9%
Warwick University	257	3	7	3	1	14	5.4%
University of the West of England	42					0	0.0%
Westminster University	43					0	0.0%
Wolverhampton University	14					0	0.0%
York University	144	6	1	1		8	5.6%
Others, including Colleges and Institutions of Higher Education	361	6	5	3		14	3.9%
Overseas	50	1	2			3	6.0%
Not stated	31			1		1	3.2%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>5.4%</b>

## Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Degree Type

Degree Type	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		GCHQ		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
Medicine and Dentistry	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	6.7%
Allied Medicine	19	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	21	1	4.8%
Biological Science	363	14	2	1	21	5	35	2	421	22	5.2%
Veterinary Science	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	33.3%
Physical Sciences	510	23	5	0	45	0	54	1	614	24	3.9%
Mathematical Sciences	237	3	12	0	251	24	44	3	544	30	5.5%
Engineering	243	2	9	0	16	0	24	0	292	2	0.7%
Technology	111	0	5	0	6	0	19	0	141	0	0.0%
Architecture	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0.0%
Economics	415	6	750	102	76	4	22	0	1,263	112	8.9%
Social Science	1,341	71	67	5	65	7	86	0	1,559	83	5.3%
Business	308	3	36	1	43	0	34	1	421	5	1.2%
Financial	38	0	17	0	11	0	6	0	72	0	0.0%
Librarian	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0.0%
Languages	552	30	2	0	1	0	33	0	588	30	5.1%
Humanities	1,766	113	13	3	16	0	111	1	1,906	117	6.1%
Creative Arts	101	2	1	0	0	0	6	0	108	2	1.9%
Education	20	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	25	0	0.0%
Multi Discipline	484	30	23	3	20	2	33	0	560	35	6.3%
Other/not stated	29	1	1	0	4	1	0	0	34	2	5.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,564</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>5.4%</b>



## Total: All Fast Stream Schemes Diversity Trends

### Analysis by Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Male		Female			Male		Female		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	507	5,255	61.1%	3,343	38.9%	8,598	273	58.5%	194	41.5%	467
2003	546	10,676	53.3%	9,356	46.7%	20,032	256	50.2%	254	49.8%	510
2002	509	7,181	50.1%	7,147	49.9%	14,328	197	47.9%	214	52.1%	411
2001	512	6,175	52.4%	5,602	47.6%	11,777	204	48.5%	217	51.5%	421
2000	560	7,487	52.0%	6,922	48.0%	14,409	223	52.3%	203	47.7%	426
1999	445	5,220	53.2%	4,594	46.8%	9,814	153	50.0%	153	50.0%	306
1998	367	4,931	54.6%	4,106	45.4%	9,037	144	61.3%	91	38.7%	235

### Analysis by Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	507	7,140	83.0%	1,259	14.6%	199	2.3%	8,598	428	91.6%	25	5.4%	14	3.0%	467
2003	546	15,702	78.4%	3,275	16.3%	1,055	5.3%	20,032	455	89.2%	40	7.8%	15	2.9%	510
2002	509	11,671	81.5%	2,432	17.0%	225	1.6%	14,328	363	88.3%	40	9.7%	8	2.0%	411
2001	512	9,683	82.2%	1,941	16.5%	153	1.3%	11,777	383	90.9%	32	7.6%	6	1.4%	421
2000	560	12,076	83.8%	2,154	15.0%	179	1.2%	14,409	392	92.0%	29	6.8%	5	1.2%	426
1999	445	8,412	85.7%	1,296	13.2%	106	1.1%	9,814	287	93.8%	18	5.9%	1	0.3%	306
1998	367	7,884	87.2%	1,098	12.2%	55	0.6%	9,037	226	96.2%	8	3.4%	1	0.4%	235

### Analysis by University of First Degree

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Ox		Non-Ox			Ox		Non-Ox		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	507	1,382	16.1%	7,216	83.9%	8,598	167	35.8%	300	64.2%	467
2003	546	1,818	9.1%	18,214	90.9%	20,032	182	35.7%	328	64.3%	510
2002	509	1,206	8.4%	13,122	91.6%	14,328	113	27.5%	298	72.5%	411
2001	512	931	7.9%	10,846	92.1%	11,777	118	28.0%	303	72.0%	421
2000	560	1,120	7.8%	13,289	92.2%	14,409	137	32.2%	289	67.8%	426
1999	445	934	9.5%	8,880	90.5%	9,814	92	30.1%	214	69.9%	306
1998	367	895	9.9%	8,142	90.1%	9,037	81	34.5%	154	65.5%	235

## Analysis by Disability

Vacancies	Applications	Competition				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled			Non-Disabled		Disabled		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	507	8,324	96.8%	274	3.2%	8,598	433	92.7%	34	7.3%	467
2003	546	19,550	97.6%	482	2.4%	20,032	492	96.5%	18	3.5%	510
2002	509	14,061	98.1%	267	1.9%	14,328	395	96.1%	16	3.9%	411
2001	512	11,510	97.7%	267	2.3%	11,777	409	97.1%	12	2.9%	421
2000	560	14,210	98.6%	199	1.4%	14,409	418	98.1%	8	1.9%	426
1999	445	9,627	98.1%	187	1.9%	9,814	299	97.7%	7	2.3%	306
1998	367	8,875	98.2%	162	1.8%	9,037	223	94.9%	12	5.1%	235



## The General Fast Stream Diversity Trends

### Analysis by Gender

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Male		Female			Male		Female		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	255	3,934	59.9%	2,630	40.1%	* 6,564	167	55.5%	134	44.5%	301
2003	304	9,204	52.1%	8,455	47.9%	17,659	162	45.0%	198	55.0%	360
2002	251	5,473	49.2%	5,659	50.8%	11,132	111	43.4%	145	56.6%	256
2001	272	4,786	51.4%	4,530	48.6%	9,316	138	45.8%	163	54.2%	301
2000	254	5,720	51.0%	5,491	49.0%	11,211	139	50.7%	135	49.3%	274
1999	189	3,798	52.3%	3,461	47.7%	7,259	81	45.3%	98	54.7%	179
1998	180	3,575	54.2%	3,020	45.8%	6,595	76	55.9%	60	44.1%	136

### Analysis by Ethnic Origin

Competition	Vacancies	Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	255	5,600	85.3%	808	12.3%	156	2.4%	* 6,564	284	94.4%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	301
2003	304	13,862	78.5%	2,811	15.9%	986	5.6%	17,659	333	92.5%	16	4.4%	11	3.1%	360
2002	251	9,235	83.0%	1,752	15.7%	145	1.3%	11,132	236	92.2%	19	7.4%	1	0.4%	256
2001	272	7,808	83.8%	1,389	14.9%	119	1.3%	9,316	278	92.3%	18	6.0%	5	1.7%	301
2000	254	9,510	84.8%	1,558	13.9%	143	1.3%	11,211	252	92.0%	17	6.2%	5	1.8%	274
1999	189	6,293	86.6%	875	12.1%	91	1.3%	7,259	174	97.2%	4	2.2%	1	0.6%	179
1998	180	5,836	88.5%	721	10.9%	38	0.6%	6,595	131	96.3%	4	2.9%	1	0.8%	136

### Analysis by University of First Degree

Competition	Vacancies	Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Ox		Non-Ox			Ox		Non-Ox		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	255	1,237	18.8%	5,327	81.2%	* 6,564	138	45.8%	163	54.2%	301
2003	304	1,680	9.5%	15,979	90.5%	17,659	152	42.2%	208	57.8%	360
2002	251	1,053	9.5%	10,079	90.5%	11,132	91	35.5%	165	64.5%	256
2001	272	845	9.1%	8,471	90.9%	9,316	97	32.2%	204	67.8%	301
2000	254	990	8.8%	10,221	91.2%	11,211	111	40.5%	163	59.5%	274
1999	189	832	11.5%	6,427	88.5%	7,259	71	39.7%	108	60.3%	179
1998	180	794	12.0%	5,801	88.0%	6,595	67	49.3%	69	50.7%	136

## Analysis by Disability

Vacancies	Applications	Competition				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled			Non-Disabled		Disabled		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2004	255	6,332	96.5%	232	3.5%	* 6,564	274	91.0%	27	9.0%	301
2003	304	17,233	97.6%	426	2.4%	17,659	346	96.1%	14	3.9%	360
2002	251	10,919	98.1%	213	1.9%	11,132	244	95.3%	12	4.7%	256
2001	272	9,117	97.9%	199	2.1%	9,316	289	96.0%	12	4.0%	301
2000	254	11,042	98.5%	169	1.5%	11,211	268	97.8%	6	2.2%	274
1999	189	7,119	98.1%	140	1.9%	7,259	172	96.1%	7	3.9%	179
1998	180	6,474	98.2%	121	7.8%	6,595	133	94.9%	3	5.1%	136

\* For 2004, the sequence of the application process changed. Candidates first completed an online self-assessment test; informed by the results they then elected to withdraw themselves or go on to complete the online application form.