

OFFICE OF THE PARLIAMENTARY COUNSEL

DRAFTING GUIDANCE

Please send comments on the contents and suggestions for additions to the Drafting Techniques Group.

2 October 2010

FOREWORD

Introduction

1 This guidance has been produced by the Drafting Techniques Group of the Office of the Parliamentary Counsel (“OPC”).

2 It is designed for members of OPC who are drafting Bills to be considered in Parliament. It is not meant to be a comprehensive guide to legislative drafting; nor is it a guide to statutory interpretation or past drafting practice.

3 Members of the OPC are asked to have regard to the guidance. But everything in the guidance is subject to the fundamental consideration that drafts must be accurate and effective, and it is recognised that drafters will need to take their particular requirements into account. It follows that there will be departures from what is said here.

Overview

4 **Part 1** deals with the general drafting principle of clarity. Drafters will need to think about clarity whenever and whatever they draft. Those new to drafting might like to read this Part in one go (and experienced drafters might like to re-read it occasionally).

5 The remaining Parts contain guidance on particular points which drafters are likely to come across. The intention is that these Parts will be referred to as and when necessary.

6 The need to achieve clarity does of course inform everything that is said in the remaining Parts. But there are other drafting principles which are relevant here too, such as effectiveness, consistency and conciseness.

7 **Part 2** deals with some specific language-related points. In particular, there is material on gender neutrality (a separate drafting principle in its own right).

8 **Part 3** addresses some commonly-used drafting techniques.

9 **Part 4** is about drafting amendments and modifications of existing enactments.

10 **Part 5** contains material on some frequently-occurring operative provisions.

11 **Part 6** is concerned with the technical final provisions of a Bill.

12 **Part 7** contains supplementary material.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD

Introduction.....	i
Overview	i

PART 1 CLARITY

1.1 INTRODUCTION TO CLARITY

Aim of Part 1	1
The principle of clarity.....	1
The test of clarity.....	2

1.2 STRUCTURE AND ORGANISATION

Telling the story	3
Organisation and headings	3
Schedules	3
Order of material	4
Clause structure	4
Clause length.....	5

1.3 CLARITY OF EXPRESSION

Sentences	6
Positive and negative.....	8
Active and passive	9
Verbs and nouns.....	9
Possessives.....	9
Vocabulary.....	9
Tone and emphasis.....	10
Adaptation to style of amended Act	10
Tables	10
Formulae	10
Method statements.....	11

PART 2 POINTS ABOUT LANGUAGE

2.1 WORDS AND PHRASES

and	12
any.....	12

but	13
here- words	13
only	13
or	13
shall.	14
subject to	14
such.	15
there- words.	15
where (and if)	15

2.2 SINGULAR AND PLURAL

Bodies corporate	16
----------------------------	----

2.3 NUMBERS AND DATES

Cardinal numbers	17
Ordinal numbers.	17
Percentages	17
Dates	17

2.4 GENDER NEUTRALITY

The principle of gender neutrality	18
Practical application.	18
Amending existing Acts	18
Individuals and offices.	19
Achieving gender neutrality: nouns	19
Achieving gender neutrality: pronouns	19

PART 3 DRAFTING TECHNIQUES

3.1 CITATION

Domestic legislation	25
European legislation.	27

3.2 CROSS-REFERENCES

Use of cross-references.	29
Parenthetical descriptions	29
“Above” and “below”.	30
“of this Act”, “of this section”, “of this Schedule” etc	30
“to this Act”	30
Arabic and Roman numerals in pre-2001 Acts.	30

3.3 DEFINITIONS

Kinds of definition	31
Where to put definitions	31
Choice of label	32
Operative provision in definitions	32
Definitions involving cross-references	33
Indexes	33

3.4 PARAGRAPHS

Introduction	34
Two sets of paragraphs	34
“Sandwiches”	34
Conjunctions	35
Punctuation	36
Repeals and amendments	37
Unnumbered paragraphs (lists)	37

3.5 WORDS INTRODUCING SCHEDULES

Need for introductory words	38
Possible formulations	38

PART 4 AMENDMENTS

4.1 TEXTUAL AMENDMENTS

Operative words	40
Describing where the amendment is to go	41
Unnumbered provisions	42
How much text to substitute	42
Parenthetical description	43
Schedules of amendments	44
Amendment of section headings etc	44
Punctuation	45
Numbering conventions	45

4.2 NON-TEXTUAL MODIFICATIONS

Non-textual modifications and textual amendments	47
Need to avoid formulations used in textual amendments	47
“Modification”	48

PART 5 OPERATIVE PROVISIONS

5.1 PERIODS OF TIME

Introductory 49
Start of period: fractions of days 49
“The period of” 50
“Within”, “before the end of” 50
Units of time 51
Non-working days 51

5.2 POWERS TO MAKE SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

Attracting section 1 of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946 53
Negative resolution procedure 53
Affirmative resolution procedure 54
Combined instruments 54
Location of procedural provision 54

5.3 LAYING DOCUMENTS BEFORE PARLIAMENT

Laying documents before Parliament 56

PART 6 FINAL PROVISIONS

6.1 ORDER OF FINAL PROVISIONS

Running order 57
Cross-heading 58

6.2 FINANCIAL PROVISION

Clause heading 59
Form of provision 59

6.3 EXTENT

Introductory 60
Positive statements of extent 60
Jurisdictions within the United Kingdom 61
Complex propositions about extent 61
Extent of amendments and repeals 62
Channel Islands and Isle of Man 62

6.4 COMMENCEMENT

Commencement on Royal Assent	64
Commencement at end of fixed period	64
Commencement by order	64
Commencement by order: supplementary provisions	64

6.5 SHORT TITLE

Form for conferring short title	66
---------------------------------------	----

PART 7 SUPPLEMENTARY

7.1 REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING

Published material	67
Material from other drafting offices etc.....	67

PART 1 CLARITY

1.1 INTRODUCTION TO CLARITY

Aim of Part 1

1 Part 1 presents some of the main principles and techniques related to clarity in a form adapted to OPC.

2 A number of other drafting offices have produced documents describing ways to improve clarity, including offices in common-law jurisdictions, notably Australia and New Zealand. In the UK, the Tax Law Rewrite has done the same, and so have the Scottish Parliamentary Counsel. What is said here draws on material produced elsewhere, in particular the Tax Law Rewrite guidelines and the manual of the Australian Office of Parliamentary Counsel.¹

3 Clarity is one principle of good drafting, but there are others, such as –

- effectiveness: fundamentally, your draft must do the job it is intended to do.
- consistency: in any one Act, using the same term where the same thing is meant; and doing the same thing in the same way, both within an Act and, at least for standard provisions, in different Acts.
- conciseness and avoidance of redundancy: saying what you want to say in the most economical way, but without so much compression that the result is difficult to understand.

4 Part 1 is only about clarity. The conclusions reached on particular points in the remaining Parts are informed in part by the need to achieve clarity, but also by other drafting principles.

The principle of clarity

5 Clarity is about making it as easy as possible for your readers to understand what you are saying.

6 A draft may be clear enough to be effective, but it may still be possible to make it easier to understand. But that may take time, and time is often in short supply. So your aim, more precisely, is to make a draft as easy to understand as it is possible to make it in the time available.

7 Clarity includes the use of plain language, but also includes other things like layout, structure, and typography. Some things which can contribute to clarity are not within our control when we are drafting a Bill (e.g. the typeface and line length used). But other things are within our control.

1. See chapter 7.1 for references and links.

1.1 Introduction to clarity

8 Many of the principles and techniques relating to clarity may seem obvious. That is because many of them are already used by most drafters as a matter of course.

9 Not all of the techniques mentioned are applicable in every case. Sometimes in a particular case two of them cannot both be applied. Sometimes a technique should not be used. The approach to be adopted in any particular case is a matter for the drafter. But the techniques outlined in this paper should be among the factors the drafter considers in deciding on the approach.

The test of clarity

10 Whether an effort to make a draft easy to understand has been successful is tested by whether the intended readers in fact find the draft easy to understand. The test of success is not whether the approach in Part 1 has been applied. It is the interests of the reader, not the use of a particular technique, that must guide the drafter.

11 It is therefore important to remember who our readers are. Ultimately, of course, they are the people who will be using the Act as passed. Their requirements may be different depending on who they are. An Act which is about (say) the regulation of the water industry is likely to have a quite different readership from an Act about social security benefits for single parents.

12 But, in addition, we must also bear in mind the requirements of professional advisers and the courts. The Act must be capable of being used effectively from day to day, but it must also produce the right result if tested in court. And a Bill's first readers, before it is even passed, will be Ministers and members of the two Houses of Parliament, as well as lobby groups and other interested parties. What one set of readers finds easy may be quite difficult for another set, or may not be understood by them in the way the writer intended. These competing interests need to be balanced and given due weight in what we write. The weight to be given to different competing interests may be different from Bill to Bill.

1.2 STRUCTURE AND ORGANISATION

Telling the story

1 Your reader does not know what your message is until you deliver it. This contrasts with the position of a party to a commercial agreement, who presumably knows, at least in general terms, what the agreement says. So it is especially important to take the reader by the hand and lead him or her in a logical way through the story you have to tell.

2 Different readers of a Bill (or an Act) may be interested in different aspects of the story: for example, Ministers might be interested in how the Bill fits with a general policy, but advice centre workers might be more interested in the details of the law. This may influence how you tell the story.

Organisation and headings

3 The clarity of a text is greatly affected by the way it is organised. The reader can be helped by the way in which you divide your Bill into Parts, Chapters etc and the words you choose for their headings; and also by the words you choose for clause headings.

4 It helps if your clause headings give as full an indication of the contents of the clause as you can, consistent with keeping the heading reasonably short (many drafters make sure that their headings do not go into a second line). But a clause heading may not need to repeat the work done by a Chapter or Part heading.

5 Headings have a relationship with each other, not just with the clause. Imagine your clause, Chapter and Part headings as set out in the table of contents; and re-read the table of contents regularly to make sure it still hangs together.

Schedules

6 Consider also the division of material into clauses and Schedules. Schedules have an advantage from the point of view of Parliamentary procedure in that they cut down the number of “clause stand part” debates in Committee. But relegating text to the end of the Bill may not help the reader. It may make break up the story you are telling; or make the structure of the Bill more complicated than it needs to be.

7 Examples of where a Schedule may be useful include—

- technical provision that is unlikely to be of interest to many readers;
- lengthy material that is at something of a tangent to the main story;
- repeals;
- long series of minor textual amendments;
- large tables and very long lists;
- the text of treaties.

Order of material

8 It helps the reader if the material in your Bill is set out in a logical order, so that later propositions build upon earlier ones.

Forward references

9 Reference at any point to material which needs to be understood at that point but which does not appear until later is generally not helpful. This may well be an indication that the material would be better re-ordered. But a signpost to later (or indeed earlier) material which is relevant but which does not necessarily need to be understood now may well be helpful. It can be included in brackets (eg “see section X”).

Overview provisions

10 A clause at the beginning of a Bill, or of a Part or Chapter, explaining what is to follow may help the reader to navigate round a larger Bill where the table of contents is too long to give a clear picture.

11 An example is section 2 of the Income Tax Act 2007, which says that the Act contains 17 Parts, and then sets out briefly what is covered by each Part.

12 That Act also has a wider overview provision in section 1, which puts the Act into context with other Acts making provision about income tax.

Clause structure

Connection between subsections

13 A subsection may usually be read in the light of a previous subsection in the same clause: it is usually unnecessary in a subsection to repeat material which has been established earlier in the clause.

EXAMPLE

Instead of the following –

- (1) *A person may apply to the council for a permit to play music.*
- (2) *An application under subsection (1) must contain the prescribed information.*
- (3) *On receiving an application made by a person under subsection (1), the council may issue a permit to the person.*
- (4) *A permit issued under subsection (3) must be in the prescribed form.*
- (5) *A permit issued under subsection (3) authorises the holder to play music as indicated in the permit.*

you might say –

- (1) *A person may apply to the council for a permit to play music.*
- (2) *An application must contain the prescribed information.*
- (3) *The council may issue a permit to an applicant.*
- (4) *A permit must be in the prescribed form.*
- (5) *A permit authorises the holder to play music as indicated in the permit.*

1.2 Structure and organisation

14 It is helpful if the opening subsection of a clause gives the reader some idea of what the clause is about, especially if the clause introduces a new topic. For example, if the clause produces a particular legal effect if conditions are met, it may be more helpful to state the effect before listing the conditions.

Second sentences

15 Normally each sentence in a clause is a separate numbered provision. But there is no rule against having more than one sentence in a numbered provision.

16 If every sentence has to be numbered as a separate subsection, the reader may on occasion trip up if the logical connection between subsections is closer in some cases than others. A second (or even a third) sentence enables the drafter to distinguish two levels of connection between subsections in the same section; or to deal with cases where putting a second thought in a separate provision would place undue emphasis on it.

EXAMPLE (section 108, Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996)

(1) *A party to a construction contract has the right to refer a dispute arising under the contract for adjudication under a procedure complying with this section.*

For this purpose “dispute” includes any difference.

(2) *The contract shall include provision in writing so as to –*

(a) enable a party to give notice at any time of his intention to refer a dispute to adjudication;

(b) provide a timetable [etc].”

17 In this example, there are only two main propositions - the right to refer disputes to adjudication and what the contract must say about referrals. The point about the meaning of “dispute” is just an afterthought to the first, and it might be unhelpful to treat it as equal in weight to the other two.

Clause length

18 Many drafters try to avoid clauses containing more than ten subsections or so (and some are stricter than that).

19 However, this is again a matter of judgment: if you have a self-contained story to tell, it may be more convenient for the reader to have it all in one clause which is a bit longer, rather than in two or more shorter clauses.

20 It may also help if the division into clauses follows the division of thought. If, for example, you have to make separate provision for three different cases, but one case requires more provision than the other two, it might still be easiest to have only one clause for each case, even if that means that one of the clauses is longer than you would otherwise wish.

1.3 CLARITY OF EXPRESSION

Sentences

Keep propositions short

1 A large slab of unbroken text is difficult to understand. Many drafters try to avoid subsections or undivided clauses of more than, say, half-a-dozen unbroken lines.

2 Clarity is helped by the use of short sentences (but not so short that the result is distracting). A long sentence may require the reader to keep too much in the mind – although it can be made easier to understand by paragraphing. But a single complex proposition is sometimes best expressed in a single sentence (with paragraphing, if appropriate) rather than as a series of short sentences in successive subsections, if that avoids making the reader reconstruct the single proposition in order to make sense of it.

3 All this is sometimes expressed as an exhortation to write, not necessarily short sentences, but short “sense-bites”¹ – that is, information should be presented to the reader in short bites: each of them may be contained in a separate phrase or paragraph which grammatically amount together to a single (longer) sentence.

4 A single sentence with subordinate clauses is often harder to understand than a series of sentences expressing the same substance. So a single sentence should ideally contain one idea only, or be split into sense bites each containing one idea only. For example, qualifications or conditions can be split off, into separate subsections or even into separate clauses.

Paragraphing

5 Paragraphing is an obvious way of making a sentence more digestible, by separating out bite-size chunks. However, paragraphing can be overdone. It is distracting to the reader if the paragraphs are too short or break up the flow of the sentence.

6 Many drafters avoid descending to sub-paragraphs ((i), (ii) etc.), so as to avoid requiring the reader to hold too much in the mind. The point at which sub-paragraphing impedes understanding is very quickly reached.

7 For more on paragraphs, see chapter 3.4, *Paragraphs*.

Sub-headings in a subsection

8 An alternative to paragraphs is to use sub-headings within a subsection.
EXAMPLE (section 836(3) of the Income Tax Act 2007)

1. For a longer exposition, Butt & Castle, *Modern Legal Drafting* (see chapter 7.1), p.181.

1.3 Clarity of expression

- (3) *But this treatment does not apply in relation to any income within any of the following exceptions.*

Exception A

Income to which neither of the individuals is beneficially entitled.

Exception B

Income in relation to which a declaration by the individuals under section 837 has effect (unequal beneficial interests).

Exception C

Income to which Part 9 of ITTOIA 2005 applies (partnerships).

Exception D

Income arising from a UK property business which consists of, or so far as it includes, the commercial letting of furnished holiday accommodation (within the meaning of Chapter 6 of Part 3 of ITTOIA 2005).

Exception E

Income consisting of a distribution arising from property consisting of—

(a) shares in or securities of a close company to which one of the individuals is beneficially entitled to the exclusion of the other, or

(b) such shares or securities to which the individuals are beneficially entitled in equal or unequal shares.

“Shares” and “securities” have the same meaning as in section 254 of ICTA.

Exception F

Income to which one of the individuals is beneficially entitled so far as it is treated as a result of any other provision of the Income Tax Acts as—

(a) the income of the other individual, or

(b) the income of a third party.

Sentence structure

- 9 Use sentences that are simply and logically constructed (the classical structure is subject-verb-object). If possible, avoid inserting words between the subject and the main verb.

EXAMPLE

Instead of—

The Secretary of State may, if the required conditions are met, issue a licence to the applicant.

you could say—

The Secretary of State may issue a licence to the applicant if the required conditions are met.

- 10 In a sentence which contains a main proposition that depends on a number of conditions, the reader may be better served by putting the main proposition first, rather than putting the conditions first.

EXAMPLE

A notice must be given if—

(a) ...

(b) ...

(c) ...

is probably easier to understand than—

If—

(a) ...

1.3 Clarity of expression

(b) ...

(c) ...

a notice must be given.

The latter also offends against the “avoid sandwiches” principle (see chapter 3.4, [Paragraphs](#), para. 6).

11 Parallel phrases in the same sentence can be hard to understand: that is, phrases which start and finish with the same words but which have no particular relationship with each other. These require the reader to keep what are in effect two or more different possible sentences in mind simultaneously, and can often be impossible to grasp without unpacking the whole idea.

12 This can happen, for example, with two phrases ending with prepositions but then attaching to the same subsequent text.

EXAMPLE

the provision of services by means of, or in association with the provision (by the same person or another) of, an electronic communications network

This needs to be unravelled –

the provision of services –

(a) by means of an electronic communications network, or

(b) in association with the provision (by the same person or another) of an electronic communications network

Positive and negative

13 The positive is often easier to understand than the negative version of the same thing.

EXAMPLE

Speak after the tone

is easier to understand than –

Do not speak until you hear the tone

14 But this depends on the nature of the proposition and on the overall effect of what is said: it is not a universal rule. A prohibition may well be best expressed in the negative.

EXAMPLE

Do not walk on the grass

is probably easier to grasp at once than –

Walk only on the pathways

15 Negatives are often better avoided when expressing a quantity.

EXAMPLE

not less than 25%

might be more clearly expressed as –

at least 25%

or

25% or more.

16 It is generally agreed that it is best to avoid double negatives. But it may not always be possible to do so.

EXAMPLE

1.3 Clarity of expression

The Secretary of State has not certified that no application was made
does not mean the same as –
The Secretary of State has certified that an application was made

Active and passive

17 It is usually clearer to use the active voice than the passive voice.

EXAMPLE

The Secretary of State must give a notice
is more quickly grasped than
A notice must be given by the Secretary of State

18 But the passive may be appropriate if the agent is unimportant, universal or unknown.

EXAMPLE

If a notice is given to the Authority...
might be appropriate if the same rule applies whoever gave the notice.

19 The passive may also be useful as a technique for gender-neutral drafting (see chapter 2.4 *Gender neutrality*, para. 48).

Verbs and nouns

20 A verb form is often easier to understand than the noun form of the same proposition.

EXAMPLE

A person may apply
is crisper and more instantly intelligible than –
A person may make an application

Possessives

21 The traditional style would be to say “of the”, as in “of the taxpayer”; but it is usually easier to understand the shorter form with an apostrophe: “the taxpayer’s”.

Vocabulary

22 Use language that does not call attention to itself, whether by being fastidiously old-fashioned or self-consciously modern. The reader should be considering your message, not your means of delivering it.

23 That means writing in modern, standard English as far as possible, avoiding archaisms and other words or phrases which can give rise to difficulty. However, it is also not our role to be in the vanguard of linguistic development: the language we use should reflect ordinary general usage.

24 But it may sometimes be necessary or most economical to use a technical legal expression (for example, “hereditament” in connection with rating, or “fee simple” in connection with property).

1.3 Clarity of expression

25 See also chapter 2.1, *Words and phrases*².

Tone and emphasis

26 Adopt a moderate, level tone. Brevity is good but brusqueness is not. Clarity is not served by giving the reader a jolt.

27 In particular, speak firmly but don't shout. Excessive emphasis may be distracting.

EXAMPLE

An applicant must provide all of the following information –

is not an obvious improvement on

An applicant must provide the following information –

28 See also **and**, **but**, **any** in chapter 2.1, *Words and phrases*.

Adaptation to style of amended Act

29 Drafters who are faced with amending an Act have different views about how hard they should try in their amendments to adopt the linguistic register of the Act being amended. The older the Act being amended, the more likely it is that this question will arise.

30 Some drafters do not make the attempt at all; others do so to a greater or lesser extent. Either way, clarity need not be sacrificed for the sake of an invisible join between the old and the new text; and the approach to adopt may be influenced by the need to avoid ambiguity.

Tables

31 A table is often a neat and clear way of setting out a number of cases with the rule that applies to each of them. As with anything else, they can be overdone.

Formulae

32 As an alternative to a narrative approach, formulae are perfectly acceptable when used appropriately. A formula may be the neatest way to express a relationship between various quantities; spelling the same thing out in words may be the very worst way of expressing it. An example is the “grossing up” formula in section 998(3) of the Income Tax Act 2007.

33 However, sometimes a sequence of written instructions may be more accessible than a formula: see, for example, the “method statement” (discussed below).

34 And if the proposition is very simple (for example, the sum of two quantities) using a formula may make it look more complicated than saying the same thing in words.

35 A formula may be more accessible to, say, an actuary or accountant than to a general reader. So be guided by the expected readership.

²Also, the Tax Law Rewrite *Guidelines for the Rewrite* (see chapter 7.1) contains two useful tables, one of archaic words at para. 58, and another of potentially difficult words or phrases at para. 66. But, as always, the “translations” given should not be adopted slavishly, as sometimes the original and the translation may have slightly different shades of meaning.

Method statements

36 A “method statement” may be the neatest way to set out the various steps in a process.

EXAMPLE (section 91 of the Income Tax Act 2007)

How relief works

This section explains how the deductions are to be made.

The amount of the relievable loss to be deducted at any step is limited in accordance with section 25(4) and (5).

Step 1

Deduct the relievable loss from the profits of the trade of the final tax year.

Step 2

Deduct any part of the relievable loss not deducted at Step 1 from the profits of the trade of the previous tax year.

Step 3

Deduct any part of the relievable loss not deducted at Step 1 or 2 from the profits of the trade of the tax year before the previous one.

Step 4

Deduct any part of the relievable loss not deducted at Step 1, 2 or 3 from the profits of the trade of the tax year before that one.

Other claims

If the relievable loss has not been deducted in full at Steps 1 to 4, the person may use the part not so deducted in giving effect to any other relief under this Chapter [etc].

PART 2 POINTS ABOUT LANGUAGE

2.1 WORDS AND PHRASES

and

- 1 This relates to the use of “and” at the beginning of a legislative sentence.
- 2 There is no reason in principle to rule out the use of “and” at the start of a legislative proposition. However, it should not so be used unless there is a real need for it - and it is hard to think of examples where there is a real need. Unnecessary use of “and” is at best clutter and it may distract the reader by excessive emphasis (see the section on *Tone and emphasis* in chapter 1.3).
- 3 If two propositions are so closely connected to each other as to need an “and”, there may be a case for putting them in the same numbered provision (perhaps in two sentences).

any

- 4 Beware of a proliferation of “any”s. In many cases “a” or “an” is just as good.
- 5 “Any” can be ambiguous.
EXAMPLE
*The Minister must consult any organisation appearing to be representative of substantial numbers of mushroom pickers.*¹
Is this any one organisation or all organisations?
- 6 “Any” may be useful –
 - to emphasise that something is of universal application or without qualification (but only where it is really necessary to do so);
 - to refer to both a singular noun and an uncountable one (eg “any document or information”).

being

- 7 The “being” formula to define something is cumbersome and archaic. It can often be avoided by the use of a different construction.

EXAMPLE

Instead of –

A person who is served with a notice, being a person who has a right over the property, may serve a counter-notice.

1. The example is taken from Thornton, *Legislative Drafting* (see chapter 7.1), p. 98.

you could say –

A person who is served with a notice and has a right over the property may serve a counter-notice.

but

8 There is no rule against putting “But” at the beginning of a sentence, and it can on occasion be helpful.

9 But it should not be overused. Unnecessary or over-emphatic words distract the reader (and see *Tone and emphasis* in chapter 1.3).

10 An initial “but” is unnecessary if it is in any event obvious that the second statement qualifies the first. For example, a proposition to the effect that “Nothing in this section applies” or “Subsection (x) does not apply” does not need an initial “but”.

here- words

11 “Hereby” should not be used unless there is a particular reason for concluding that the inclusion of the idea conveyed by “hereby” would or might serve a useful purpose. Even then, if you really need to emphasise that something is being done by virtue of your legislative proposition, consider using words such as “by this subsection” instead.

12 Other “here-” words should not be used.

only

13 Avoid placing “only” where it will produce ambiguity.

or

14 “Or” can have an inclusive sense (A and/or B) as well as an exclusive one (either A or B but not both), especially in a provision which gives permission or confers a power.

EXAMPLE

The regulator may require the employer to –

- (a) produce any relevant document, or*
- (b) provide any relevant information.*

15 In that example, it seems very unlikely that the powers are intended to be mutually exclusive. But in other cases it may be less clear, as perhaps the following example illustrates.

EXAMPLE

The regulator may require the employer to –

- (a) pay a fine, or*
- (b) take action of a description specified by the regulator.*

16 So a degree of caution is in order. It may in particular be possible to make the intention clear by the introductory words. See also chapter 3.1, *Paragraphs*, para. 19ff.

shall

17 OPC policy is to minimise the use of the legislative “shall”.

18 There are various alternatives to “shall” which can be used, depending on context –

- “must” in the context of obligations (although “is to be” and “it is the duty of” may also be appropriate alternatives in certain contexts);
- “there is to be” in the context of the establishment of new statutory bodies etc.;
- use of the present tense in provisions about application, effect, extent or commencement;
- “is amended as follows” in provisions introducing a series of amendments;
- “is repealed” in the context of free-standing repeals;
- “is to be” in the context of provisions relating to statutory instruments (and, if appropriate, “may not” as an alternative to “shall not”).

19 A reason for not departing from “shall” might be that it would appear in text to be inserted near to existing provisions that use “shall” in the same sense.

subject to

20 To say that “This section is subject to section x” is not always helpful. It may be better to be more precise about the relationship between the propositions (eg “This section does not apply to... (see section x)”).

21 It may also be possible to use another expression: for example, “but see section X”. Or it may be possible to state briefly the case to which a different rule applies by saying, for example, “except” or “unless” (as in “Unless the person concerned is under 30,”).

22 The relationship between the provisions may be particularly hard to follow if “subject to” is at the beginning of the sentence. It may be better to start with the main proposition and then indicate that there is a qualification (perhaps in a second sentence).

23 Alternatively, it may be possible to dispense with “subject to” altogether, especially if the qualifying proposition is close to the proposition it qualifies – in which case the reader may be expected to grasp the relationship between the two without extra help.

24 “Subject to what follows” and “subject as follows” are potentially very ambiguous and should be avoided unless it is abundantly obvious from the context exactly which of the following provisions are being referred to. Where there is any doubt, specify exactly which provisions you mean (or express the relationship in some other way).

25 Global cross-references such as “Subject to the provisions of the Corporation Tax Acts” are sometimes unavoidable but may not be entirely meaningful to non-expert readers. If the reference cannot be avoided, try to include a list of where the relevant other provision is made.

26 See also chapter 3.2 *Cross-references* – and in particular the injunction to draft by reference to substance rather than by reference to the statutory provisions in which it is contained (para. 2 of chapter 3.2).

such

27 Avoid “such” where possible. Often “the” or “a” will do just as well.

EXAMPLE

Instead of

on such day as the Minister may specify

you might say

on a day specified by the Minister.

there- words

28 “Therefrom” and “therewith” are archaic and should not be used.

29 Other “there-” words should only be used where the advantages of doing so outweigh their old-fashioned ring, there is no obvious more modern alternative and the meaning of the reference back is clear.

where (and if)

30 “Where” is useful for stating a case or a set of circumstances in which a later proposition applies. “If” is used for stating a contingency.

31 So “where” may be better for cases which inevitably will occur, “if” for conditions which may or may not be satisfied.

32 There is of course no clear-cut distinction. In some cases, it may depend on from whose perspective you are looking at the situation.

EXAMPLE

Suppose a regulator has power to serve notices on defaulters –

Where the regulator serves a notice on a person, the regulator must...

(here we are speaking of the regulator, who will frequently serve notices)

but

If the regulator serves a notice on a person, the person must...

(here we are speaking of the person, for whom the notice is not at all inevitable).

2.2 SINGULAR AND PLURAL

Bodies corporate

- 1 Local authorities and other bodies corporate should be treated as singular nouns.

EXAMPLE

If the authority is satisfied that the conditions are met, it may...

- 2 When textually amending an Act that uses the plural, it may be necessary to follow suit in order to avoid confusion.

2.3 NUMBERS AND DATES

Cardinal numbers

- 1 Figures should normally be used for all numbers above 10.
- 2 Figures should also normally be used for numbers up to and including 10 that relate to sums of money, ages, dates, units of measurement or in quasi-mathematical contexts.
- 3 In other contexts, whether numbers up to and including 10 are spelt out or expressed as figures depends on what seems more natural or appropriate in the contexts concerned.
- 4 A number that begins a sentence should normally be spelt out (but it is probably best to avoid beginning a sentence with a number in any event).
- 5 Mixing words and figures referring, in a single context, to things of the same kind should be avoided.

Ordinal numbers

- 6 Ordinal numbers above 10th should not be spelt out.
- 7 Whether ordinal numbers from 1st to 10th are spelt out should be decided in the light of what seems more natural or appropriate in the contexts concerned. But see below for dates.

Percentages

- 8 “%” should be used rather than “per cent”.

Dates

- 9 Numbers should be used.
- 10 The endings -st, -nd, -rd, -th should not be used in the body of a Bill (Royal Assent dates are inserted by the House of Lords Public Bill Office).

2.4 GENDER NEUTRALITY

The principle of gender neutrality

1 On 8 March 2007 the then Leader of the House of Commons, Rt. Hon. Jack Straw MP, made the following statement –

“For many years the drafting of primary legislation has relied on section 6 of the Interpretation Act 1978, under which words referring to the masculine gender include the feminine. In practice this means that male pronouns are used on their own in contexts where a reference to women and men is intended, and also that words such as chairman are used for offices capable of being held by either gender. Many believe that this practice tends to reinforce historic gender stereotypes and presents an obstacle to clearer understanding for those unfamiliar with the convention.

I have worked with colleagues in Government to secure agreement that it would be right, where practicable, to avoid this practice in future and, accordingly, Parliamentary Counsel has been asked to adopt gender-neutral drafting.

From the beginning of next Session, Government Bills will take a form which achieves gender-neutral drafting so far as it is practicable, at no more than a reasonable cost to brevity or intelligibility. This policy already applies to tax law rewrite Bills and is consistent with the practice in many other jurisdictions in the English-speaking world.

The Government recognise that, in practice, Parliamentary Counsel will need to adopt a flexible approach to this change (for example, in at least some of the cases where existing legislation originally drafted in the former style is being amended).”

(HC Deb 8 March 2007, c146 WS).

Practical application

2 In practice, gender-neutral drafting means two things –

- avoiding gender-specific pronouns (such as “he”) for a person who may be either male or female or, in the case of a legal person who is not an individual, neither.
- avoiding nouns that take a form that appears to assume that a man rather than a woman will hold a particular office, do a particular job or perform a particular role: “chairman” is the most obvious example.

3 As the statement above recognises, in practice a flexible approach will be needed.

4 There will be some Acts where gender-specific drafting will remain appropriate (eg in Acts about marriage or divorce).

Amending existing Acts

5 One obvious case where exceptions may need to be made (and which is mentioned in the statement above) is in amendments of existing Acts. Although it may often be possible to use gender-neutral techniques to frame textual amendments of provisions that were originally framed in gender-specific terms, there are clearly going to be cases in which that

2.4 Gender neutrality

will not be possible. Sometimes, it would be necessary to rewrite a provision from scratch in order to make a very minor amendment in gender-neutral terms. That could create handling problems, as well as tending to obscure the real purpose of the amendments.

6 Similarly, references in a Bill to a “chairman” created by an earlier Act would have to refer to that office by the name that it was given in the earlier Act.

7 The existing legislation on child support is drafted entirely on the assumption that the caring parent is the mother and that the absent parent is the father. When amending that legislation it would be impracticable to change this assumption without a substantial rewrite, which might end up being significantly less clear.

Individuals and offices

8 If a Bill for some reason needs to refer to a specific individual, gender neutrality does not require his or her gender to be concealed.

9 Drafters should refer to the monarch in gender-specific terms according to the gender of the reigning monarch.

10 A similar practice however should not be followed in relation to the Secretary of State, because references to “the Secretary of State” (without more) are not normally references to any particular Secretary of State; they are references to one of Her Majesty’s principal Secretaries of State.

11 Different considerations might apply where the current holder of a specified ministerial office (eg the Prime Minister) is clearly identifiable.

Achieving gender neutrality: nouns

12 The gender-specific noun most likely to be encountered is “chairman”.

13 Possible replacements include “chair”¹ or “person appointed to chair”².

14 It may be possible to use a different word entirely, such as “convenor” or “president”, but these might perhaps have different connotations.

15 There are some words for offices, functions and jobs which do not end in “man” and which might be regarded as gender-specific because of the existence of alternative female forms. These should be regarded as gender-neutral.

16 For example, “testator”, “manager” and “actor” should all be regarded as gender-neutral, despite the existence of “testatrix”, “manageress” and “actress”.

Achieving gender neutrality: pronouns

17 The remainder of this chapter illustrates possible ways of avoiding gender-specific pronouns (“he”, “his” and so on).

1. For example, Pensions Act 2008, Sch. 1.

2. For example, Child Maintenance and Other Payments Act 2008, Sch. 1.

2.4 Gender neutrality

18 The techniques discussed are not exhaustive. Nor are they “recommended approaches”. They are just examples of techniques that have in fact been used. Readers should form their own judgment about them.

Repeat the noun

19 You can repeat the noun rather than using a pronoun.

EXAMPLE

...earnings, in relation to a person, means sums of any of the following descriptions that are payable to the person in connection with the person’s employment...³

The Secretary of State must review each national policy statement whenever the Secretary of State thinks it appropriate to do so ⁴

20 Constant repetition of a noun or phrase in a way which would not occur in speech is unlikely to be thought to be “plain English” and might detract from readability.

21 This is particularly the case with a long phrase like “the Secretary of State”, where in speech one would instinctively say “he” or “she”.

Use a defined term

22 Where it is undesirable to repeat a long compound noun, it may be possible to find a shorter defined term which can be repeated.

EXAMPLE

... may give a youth conditional caution to a child or young person (“the offender”) if—

(a) the offender...⁵

23 It may be possible to use a defined term for a lengthy official title (for example, “the Comptroller” for the Comptroller and Auditor General or “the Minister” for the Secretary of State).

24 This kind of approach reduces, but does not entirely eliminate, the awkwardness of a repeated noun. Consider whether it is really worthwhile to require the reader to substitute the actual wording intended for the defined term: it may only be worthwhile if the actual wording is long and would have to be repeated a lot.

25 Care also needs to be taken that the alternative noun does not import an unwanted or tendentious meaning.

He or she

26 For individuals you can of course say “he or she”.

3.Pensions Act 2008 section 13(3).

4.Planning Act 2008, section 6(1).

5.Crime and Disorder Act 1998, section 66A, as inserted by Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, Sch. 9.

2.4 Gender neutrality

EXAMPLE

*...had he or she not died...*⁶

*A person holds and vacates office as a member of LBRO in accordance with the terms and conditions of his or her appointment*⁷

*...an application by her or him for child support maintenance...*⁸

27 In many ways this is a natural solution. But it is only possible for individuals, not bodies corporate or unincorporated associations.

28 Some may object that “he or she”, in that order, still betrays a gender bias (though sometimes the context may suggest a case for the feminine pronoun preceding masculine, as in the child support example above).

29 There is also an objection that to refer to both genders means using of words technically made unnecessary by the section 6 of the Interpretation Act 1978.

30 It can be awkward where “he or she” needs to be repeated a lot. “Himself or herself” is particularly unsuitable for frequent repetition.

He, she or it

31 Where a non-natural person needs to be referred to as well as an individual, this is possible. But it is likely to be cumbersome unless used very sparingly.

They etc

32 Some Acts have used “they” or “them” as a third person singular pronoun.

EXAMPLES

*References in this Part to a person being dealt with for or in respect of an offence are to their being sentenced...in respect of the offence*⁹

*...references in this Part to the time at which a person is dealt with for an offence are to the time at which they are first dealt with...*¹⁰

*The chair of the Local Government Boundary Commission holds office as chair...in accordance with the terms of their appointment*¹¹

33 This use of the plural pronoun is thought by some to be grammatically incorrect, though it reflects common usage and is well-precedented in respectable literature over several centuries. *OED* (2nd ed, 1989) records the usage without comment; *SOED* (5th ed, 2002) notes “considered erron. by some”.

34 This usage may be less obtrusive in the case of “them” or “their”: “they” may require a verb in the plural form, which some readers may find disconcerting.

6.Pensions Act 2008, Schedule 5, paragraph 7(1)

7.Regulatory Enforcement and Sanctions Act 2008, Schedule 1, paragraph 6(1).

8.Child Maintenance and Other Payments Act 2008, section 15(a).

9.Counter-Terrorism Act 2008, section 61(1).

10.Counter-Terrorism Act 2008, section 61(2).

11.Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009, Sch. 1, para. 2(5).

2.4 Gender neutrality

35 Given the mismatch between singular and plural, care needs to be taken that “they” or “them” clearly relates to the noun in question.

36 There may also be a risk that statutory use of “they” to connote a singular subject might cast doubt on cases in legislation where it is being or has been relied on unambiguously to connote a plural one.

Plural noun followed by “they”

37 Draft in the plural.

EXAMPLE

*The regulations may provide that participants may only carry on activities... if they hold a permit*¹²

38 Section 6(c) of the Interpretation Act 1978 may facilitate use of this technique. But take care to ensure that the plural does not allow in an ambiguity that would be avoided if the singular were used.

Replace the noun with a letter

39 Rather than finding an alternative noun, it may be possible to use a letter.

EXAMPLE

*If a person (“S”) who is registered under this Chapter as a service provider in respect of a regulated activity carries on that activity while S’s registration is suspended, S is guilty of an offence*¹³

40 Use of a letter in place of a noun is not exactly “plain English”. It requires the reader to go through the mental hoop of substituting the actual noun being referred to and may thereby decrease readability. Consider whether this is worthwhile if the letter is used only a few times; or replaces a noun which is itself reasonably short. (There may of course be other reasons for using letters - in formulae, or where one is referring to two or more people of the same description (person 1 and person 2). But that is another matter.)

41 If a letter is used, it should be reasonably intuitive, eg “P” for purchaser, “V” for vendor, rather than random tags eg “A” and “B”. But again take care not to use a letter which might have unwanted connotations (eg “V” for victim, even if the word “victim” is never used).

Substitute “the” or “that” for the personal pronoun

42 Avoid the personal pronoun by using an article.

EXAMPLES

*“local police area”, in relation to the offender, means the police area in which the home address is situated*¹⁴

12. Climate Change Act 2008, Sch. 2, para. 10.

13. Health and Social Care Act 2008, section 34(1).

14. Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, section 112(5).

2.4 Gender neutrality

*the reasonableness or otherwise of that belief (not “his belief”)*¹⁵

*The Secretary of State may remove a member from office if satisfied that the member... has without reasonable excuse failed to discharge the functions of the office*¹⁶

43 This approach on occasion may risk losing the link between the noun and the person being spoken of and thereby lose clarity, or at least may give the reader an unexpected jolt.

Omit the pronoun

44 It is not always necessary to use a pronoun at all.

EXAMPLES

*circumstances which justify doing so (not “circumstances which justify his doing so”)*¹⁷

*immediately before death (rather than “immediately before his death”)*¹⁸

45 This technique relies on the reader using the context to supply the omitted pronoun. Care is needed to ensure that resulting proposition does not become uncertain, apply too widely or read unnaturally; and to ensure that the omission does not affect the operation of caselaw to supply the meaning of the phrase concerned or the meaning as generally understood by readers (and lawyers in particular).

Omit the phrase which requires the pronoun

46 It may be worth considering whether the phrase requiring the personal pronoun is really worth having.

EXAMPLE

the Secretary of State may

rather than

the Secretary of State may, if he thinks fit.

47 This involves taking a view as to the redundancy of the words omitted. Obviously care needs to be taken to ensure that the omission does not affect operation of caselaw or sit unhappily with approaches adopted elsewhere in legislation being amended.

Use the passive

48 Use of the passive has its attractions, but excessive use of the passive is usually thought to detract from “readability” (see chapter 1.3, *Clear language*, para. 17ff).

EXAMPLE

explaining why the regulations have not been laid

15.Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, section 76(4).

16.Pensions Act 2008, Sch. 1, para. 5.

17.Criminal Justice Act 1988, section 133A(4), as inserted by Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, section 61(7).

18.Child Support Act 1991, section 43A(1), as inserted by Child Maintenance and Other Payments Act 2008, section 38.

2.4 Gender neutrality

rather than
explaining why he has not laid the regulations.

“Who” instead of *if...he*

EXAMPLES

*This section applies to a jobholder who...*¹⁹

*A person commits an offence who...*²⁰

A person who –

(a) obstructs a person acting under section 11A(7) or 11(C)(2),

(b) remains on closed premises, or

(c) enters closed premises,

*commits an offence.*²¹

49 One danger of the approach in the last example is that it postpones the operative words to the end, making the conditions a “sandwich” in the middle of the sentence. That can distract from readability if the conditions go on for too long.

Impersonal constructions

EXAMPLES

*It is an offence for a person to...*²²

A member may...be removed from office by Her Majesty on any of the following grounds –

(a) failure to discharge the functions of membership...;

(b) failure to comply with the terms of appointment...;

*(c) conviction of a criminal offence [etc].*²³

50 Again, care needs to be taken not to produce an unnatural dislocation between the person and the thing or event being spoken of.

Use a present or past participle

EXAMPLES

*Before giving such guidance... (rather than “Before he gives...”)*²⁴

...a person is not to be taken to have a conflict of interest for these reasons alone –

*(a) being or having been previously been engaged...*²⁵

19.Pensions Act 2008, section 3(1).

20.Counter-Terrorism Act 2008, section 54(1).

21.Section 11D(2) Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003, as inserted by Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, Sch. 20.

22.Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008, section 63(1).

23.Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act 2009, Sch. 1, para.1(8).

24.Climate Change Act 2008, section 40(2).

25.Pensions Act 2008, Sch. 1, para. 2(6).

PART 3 DRAFTING TECHNIQUES

3.1 CITATION

Domestic legislation

Need for citation

- 1 References in a Bill to a public general or local Act of Parliament should not normally be accompanied by the chapter number of the Act, unless there is in the context a particular need for the chapter number.
- 2 Chapter numbers should though be given for references to Acts in repeals Schedules and other Schedules where Acts are listed chronologically within a year.
- 3 Chapter and reference numbers should be given for other enactments and instruments.

Usual forms of citation

- 4 The following tables set out the forms used in citing domestic legislation. An underscored space in the tables signals a non-breaking space.

Westminster

Public general acts Armed Forces Act 2006 Fisheries Act 1955	(c._6) (3_&_4_Eliz._2 c._7)
<i>The second form given above, which includes the regnal year, is now used only in relation to Acts which are passed in the same calendar year and have the same chapter number (a situation which can only arise with pre-1963 Acts). But references to an Act should not be accompanied by the chapter number or regnal year unless there is a particular need for it (see above).</i>	
Local acts Newcastle-upon-Tyne Corporation Act 1960 <i>Regnal years are not given for local Acts.</i>	(c._xli)
Personal acts Arundel Estate Act 1957 <i>Regnal years are not given for personal Acts. The number is italicised.</i>	(c._1)

3.1 Citation

Westminster

<p>Church Assembly (before 1970) and General Synod measures Church Commissioners Measure 1964 Cathedrals Measure 1999</p>	<p>(No._8) (No._1)</p>
<p>Statutory rules and orders (from 1894) Ministry of Works (Transfer of Powers) (No. 1) Order 1945</p>	<p>(S.R._&_O._1945/991)</p>
<p>Statutory instruments (from 1948) Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change Order 2009</p>	<p>(S.I._2009/229)</p>

Scotland

<p>Scots acts (to 1707) Fisheries Act 1705</p>	<p>(c._48 (S.))</p>
<p>Acts of the Scottish Parliament (from 1999) Adoption and Children (Scotland) Act 2007 Glasgow Airport Rail Link Act 2007 <i>The numbering of asps does not distinguish between public and private.</i></p>	<p>(asp_4) (asp_1)</p>
<p>Scottish statutory instruments Police Grant (Variation) (Scotland) Order 2009 <i>These are instruments made since 1999 under powers granted by the Scotland Act 1998. Part 2 of the Interpretation and Legislative Reform (Scotland) Act 2010 relates to Scottish statutory instruments.</i></p>	<p>(S.S.I._2009/41)</p>

Wales

<p>Measures of the National Assembly for Wales (from 2008) NHS Redress (Wales) Measure 2008 <i>In Welsh this measure is Mesur Gwneud Iawn am Gamweddau'r GIG (Cymru) 2008 (mccc_1).</i></p>	<p>(nawm_1)</p>
<p>Statutory instruments (from 1948) School Milk (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2009 <i>Welsh statutory instruments are statutory instruments relating specifically to Wales. They are in the same numbering sequence as other UK statutory instruments but are distinguished by a subsidiary number in brackets after the S.I. number (for example "(W. 21)"). They may be made under authority contained either in Acts of the UK Parliament or in measures of the National Assembly for Wales. The Welsh equivalent is "(S.I._2009/108 (Cy.21))".</i></p>	<p>(S.I._2009/108 (W.21))</p>

3.1 Citation

Northern Ireland

Acts of the Northern Ireland Parliament Interpretation Act (Northern Ireland) 1954 <i>An Act of the Northern Ireland Assembly would use the form “(c._33)”.</i>	(c._33 (N.I.))
Acts of the Northern Ireland Parliament (private bill) Northern Bank Act (Northern Ireland) 1970	(c._ii (N.I.))
Measures of the Northern Ireland Assembly Financial Provisions Measure (Northern Ireland) 1974	(c._2 (N.I.))
Acts of the Northern Ireland Assembly (from 2000) Charities Act (Northern Ireland) 2008 <i>An Act of the Northern Ireland Assembly would use the form “(c._12)”. So far, no private bill has been enacted.</i>	(c._12 (N.I.))
Statutory rules and orders (Northern Ireland) European Communities (Agriculture) Order (Northern Ireland) 1972	(S.R._&_O. (N.I.) 1972 No._351)
Statutory rules of Northern Ireland Energy (Amendment) Order (Northern Ireland) 2009 <i>An Act of the Northern Ireland Assembly would use the form “S.R._2009 No._35”</i>	(S.R._(N.I.) 2009 No._35)
Northern Ireland Orders in Council Criminal Evidence (Northern Ireland) Order 1999 <i>Orders in Council made under section 1(3) of the Northern Ireland (Temporary Provisions) Act 1972, paragraph 1 of Schedule 1 to the Northern Ireland Act 1974, or section 85 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 use the same form. Orders in Council are in the same numbering sequence as other UK statutory instruments. They are distinguished by a subsidiary number in brackets after the S.I. number (for example “(N.I._8)”.</i>	(S.I._1999/2789 (N.I._8))

European legislation

Titles of EU instruments

5 The full title of an EU instrument will normally include the following elements: institution making the instrument; type of instrument; year; number; date; subject-matter; and the relevant Community or, post-Lisbon (ie after 1 December 2009), a reference to the EU.

6 Up to and including 1999, the year was written with two digits (eg “99”); for the year 2000 and following years four digits are used (eg “2002”).

7 Since 1967, the full title of a Regulation has been like this –

Council Regulation (EEC) No 1462/86 of 13 May 1986 fixing the minimum price of soya beans for the 1986/87 marketing year

3.1 Citation

Commission Regulation (EU) No 495/2010 of 7 June 2010 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

or, for joint regulations –

Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 2010 establishing a European Asylum Support Office.

8 The full title of a Directive is like this –

Council Directive 86/609/EC of 24 November 1986 on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States on the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes

Council Directive 2010/24/EU of 16 March 2010 concerning mutual assistance for the recovery of claims relating to taxes, duties and other measures

or, for joint Directives –

Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Union and of the Council of 10 March 2010 on the co-ordination of certain provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services.

9 The title of a Decision is like this –

Council Decision of 10 May 2010 on the European Capital of Culture Event for the year 2014 (2010/299/EU).

10 In this case the number in brackets is not formally part of the title but is generally used in citations.

Usual forms of citation

11 At the first mention of a European instrument in primary legislation, the date and subject-matter may be omitted if the subject-matter is apparent from the context. If the date and subject-matter are included in the first reference, they certainly do not need to be included in subsequent references.

12 So, standard practice would be to use the following forms in cases where the date and subject-matter are omitted (using the examples above) –

Council Regulation (EEC) No 1462/86

Commission Regulation (EU) No 495/2010

Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council

Council Directive 86/609/EEC

Council Directive 2010/24/EU

Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Union and of the Council

Council Decision 2010/299/EU.

3.2 CROSS-REFERENCES

Use of cross-references

1 Cross-references can prove particularly hard work for the reader, so it is helpful to minimise their use. This can sometimes be done by re-ordering the material.

2 Generally, it is helpful to refer to a substantive rule or proposition, rather than the statutory provision containing it (in which readers are unlikely to be interested).

EXAMPLE

Suppose subsection (1) says –

(1) A company must pass a resolution before [doing something].

You want to make an exception for small companies.

You could say –

(2) Subsection (1) does not apply in the case of a small company.

But rather than telling readers about “subsection (1)”, it might be more helpful to say –

(2) No resolution is required in the case of a small company.

3 If a cross-reference is absolutely necessary, it may be possible to make it more user-friendly by adding words describing the effect of the provision referred to.

Parenthetical descriptions

4 It will generally be helpful to provide a parenthetical description of a provision referred to. But the drafter will need to consider the usefulness of the descriptive words against the disadvantage of interrupting the flow of text. There is in particular usually less need to give a parenthetical description of a cross-reference to a provision of the same Bill. (For parenthetical descriptions in textual amendments, see chapter 4.1, *Textual amendments*, para. 32ff.)

5 The parenthetical description is a description, not a quotation. It will often be the heading of the section or Schedule referred to, but it does not have to be. For example, the heading may have been devised in the context of other headings in the Act in question, but may not be particularly helpful taken in isolation.

6 It should be made clear whether the parenthetical description relates to a particular subsection or other portion of text, or whether it relates to the section generally. In the latter case, it may be helpful to use a formulation along the following lines:

EXAMPLE

In section 1 (description of section 1), in subsection (1)...

instead of

In section 1(1) (description of section 1).....

3.2 Cross-references

“Above” and “below”

7 Do not use “above” and “below” unless it is appropriate to do so for the purpose of achieving internal consistency or certainty. “Above” or “below” it may help to produce certainty, or at least clarity, if you are referring in one breath to a provision of the Bill and a provision of another Act.

8 The convention that amendments should follow the style of the Act amended does not need to be followed in connection with the use of “above” and “below”, particularly in the case of substantial insertions of new text.

“of this Act”, “of this section”, “of this Schedule” etc

9 As a general rule, these expressions should be avoided.

10 They may serve a useful purpose in some cases –

- in contexts where “above” or “below” might otherwise be used (see above): there may be reasons of symmetry or emphasis that mean that, for example, “of this Act” is to be preferred to “above” or “below”;
- in a Schedule divided into Parts in a Bill which is also divided into Parts, it may help to make it clear whether you are referring to Part of the Schedule or Part of the Bill;
- where there is a reference to a Chapter of the Part in which the reference occurs and another Part of the Bill is also divided into Chapters: it may be desirable to add “of this Part” to the reference in the interests of clarity.

“to this Act”

11 The recommendations relating to the use of “above” and “below” apply equally to references to a Schedule “to this Act”.

Arabic and Roman numerals in pre-2001 Acts

12 Since 2001 Acts have used Arabic numerals for Parts and Chapters of Acts and for Parts of Schedules. Before then Roman numerals were used.

13 Arabic numbers should be used when referring to Parts and Chapters of pre-2001 Acts and Parts of Schedules to such Acts, even though the original Act will have used Roman numerals.

14 When inserting or substituting text in a pre-2001 Act use Arabic numerals even if that leads to a mixture of Arabic and Roman in the text of the Act.

15 If Roman numbers currently appear in text which needs to be identified for the purposes of amendment, refer to the text as it stands.

3.3 DEFINITIONS

Kinds of definition

1 Definitions are broadly of four kinds –

- (1) Definitions of major concepts without which the reader cannot understand what follows.

EXAMPLE

In the Animal Welfare Act 2006, what is meant by “animal” (section 1) and “protected animal” (section 2).

- (2) Definitions adopted for the sake of drafting convenience.

EXAMPLE

In this Act, “the 2002 Act” means the Enterprise Act 2002.

Definitions of this kind should be kept to a minimum. They are likely to be less convenient to the reader than to the drafter.

- (3) Definitions of words or expressions which will be understood in general terms, but where a degree of certainty or clarification is needed.

EXAMPLES

In this Act, “child” means a person under the age of 18.

In this Act, “enactment” includes an enactment comprised in subordinate legislation.

- (4) Definitions making, for convenience, a minor adjustment of what a word or phrase would otherwise mean.

EXAMPLE

In this Act, “employment” includes self-employment.

This kind of definition should also be used sparingly.

Where to put definitions

2 Where the defined term is used only once, the definition should appear in the same provision (eg the same section).

3.3 Definitions

- 3 Where the defined term is used more than once –
- a definition of the first and second kind referred to above should normally be defined up front, as the reader will not understand what is being said. So it should appear either in the first place where the defined term appears or, if more convenient, in an introductory definitions provision.
 - a definition of the third kind referred to above can usually be left to the end;
 - a definition of the fourth kind referred to above can also usually be left to the end, unless there is a danger of the reader being seriously misled.
- 4 Definitions which are given up front should be indexed so that the reader can see in one place whether the term is defined or not. This does not apply if the defined term is used only once.
- 5 A traditional interpretation section therefore includes –
- index entries for definitions that have already been given (eg “In this Part, x has the meaning given in section 1”), and
 - minor definitions of the third and fourth kinds referred to above.
- 6 Avoid prospective definition: “In this section and the next section, x means y”. The reader of the next section may not see the definition. If necessary, repeat the definition.

Choice of label

- 7 Avoid labels which are misleading (and, conversely, do not give defined terms a meaning the reader would not expect).
- EXAMPLE (to avoid)
- In this Act, references to fingerprints include footprints.*
- 8 A defined term should ideally in itself give the reader some clue as to what it means.
- EXAMPLE
- “PACE” or “*the 1984 Act*” would be a better label for the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 than “*the principal Act*”
- 9 Equally, colourless terms such as “the relevant person” should where possible be replaced with something more helpful.
- 10 Similarly, for letters denoting persons or concepts, it may be best to choose a letter relating to the thing denoted: so, for “the defendant” perhaps “D” rather than “X”.
- 11 Using the same label to denote different things in the same Bill may confuse.

Operative provision in definitions

- 12 It is considered bad practice to include operative material in a definition. For example, it may be permissible to say that “regulations” means regulations made by the Secretary of State, but it would be going too far to include the Parliamentary procedure in the definition.

3.3 Definitions

Definitions involving cross-references

13 If a Bill is to use a term which has already been defined in the way desired in another Act, it may be useful to borrow the definition from that other Act.

14 There are at least two ways of doing this –

In this Act, “health care” has the same meaning as in the Health Act 2006 (see section 98).

In this Act, “health care” has the meaning given by section 98 of the Health Act 2006.

15 The first approach may be the only possible one where the meaning of the word or phrase in the earlier Act is not given in a single place but has to be constructed from a number of different provisions. This approach may also be better if the definition has been elaborated on by case law which you want to attract.

16 In other cases, the second formulation may be better as more concise. But consider then whether it would not be even better just to copy the definition out.

Lists of definitions

17 In some cases it may make sense to list definitions in conceptual order (eg where each definition builds on the previous one).

18 In most cases, though, definitions should be listed alphabetically. Definitions involving numbers (eg ““the 2002 Act” means the Enterprise Act 2002”) should appear first.

19 In a list of definitions, each entry should end with a semi-colon. There should be no conjunction.

Other technical points

20 “Unless the context otherwise requires” is not particularly helpful. Do not use it at all if there is no case where the context does otherwise require - and in such a case, it may be better to say what is meant in that context.

21 Some writers deplore the use of a definition to use a term which is used only in another definition, unless that is the only way to make the other definition manageable.

22 It should be clear to which portion of the resulting Act the definition will apply: so use “in this Act”, “in this section” and so on unless there is no possible doubt.

23 In most cases it is not obvious that “*for the purposes of this Act, x means y*” has any particular advantage over “*In this Act, x means y*”.

Indexes

24 Many Acts now contain an index of defined expressions. These can be helpful if the Act contains a large number of them.

3.4 PARAGRAPHS

Introduction

1 An obvious way of making a sentence more digestible is to separate the text out into numbered paragraphs (as described in chapter 1.3 *Clear language*, para. 5).

2 Drafters should though be careful not to overdo paragraphing. Just because text can be turned into paragraphs doesn't mean it has to be. In some cases it may be better to have continuous text, and not to separate out the items at all.

3 This chapter gives technical guidance about paragraphs¹.

Two sets of paragraphs

4 Do not put two or more sets of paragraphs in the same sentence (eg in the same subsection).

EXAMPLE (to avoid)

If the minister considers that –

(a) the authority is not likely to achieve the target, or

(b) the authority is not likely to achieve the target in a reasonable time,

the minister may after consulting the authority –

(a) revise the target, or

(b) require the authority to explain.

5 Instead, split the proposition into two so that there is one series in each paragraph.

“Sandwiches”

6 The following structure is a sandwich –

If an inspector reasonably believes that-

(a) premises falling within this Part are unfit for human occupation,

(b) they are nevertheless occupied, and

(c) the life or health of the occupants is at risk,

the inspector may serve a notice under this section.

7 This structure can impede understanding, especially if the main proposition is relegated to the end (as in the example above). It is often possible to move the proposition in the full-out

1. Generally on paragraphs, see Thornton's *Legislative Drafting* (see chapter 7.1), pages 61-65, 95-97 and D. Greenberg, *Craies on Legislation* (see chapter 7.1) paras 8.2.11 and 13. Some of the material contained in this chapter reflects material to be found in some of those passages.

3.4 Paragraphs

words at the end so that it appears in the opening words, and usually the result is easier to understand. Instead of the text above, you could say this—

An inspector may serve a notice under this section if the inspector reasonably believes that-

- (a) premises falling within this Part are unfit for human occupation,*
- (b) they are nevertheless occupied, and*
- (c) the life or health of the occupants is at risk.*

Conjunctions

8 Ensure that it is clear whether the paragraphs are intended to operate cumulatively or instead as alternatives (and see *or* in chapter 2.1, Words and phrases).

9 There should not be a mixture of conjunctions, i.e. different conjunctions at the ends of different paragraphs in the same provision.

Cumulative or alternative paragraphs

10 Where a provision is paragraphed, the intention may be that the paragraphs are to operate cumulatively, or instead it may be that they are to operate as alternatives. In either case, it is up to the drafter to ensure that the intention is readily apparent to the reader.

11 So far as “or” is concerned, the drafter is confronted with the linguistic problem that “or” can have both an inclusive sense (ie a reference to A or B means A or B or both) and an exclusive one (ie a reference to A or B means A or B but not both). Dickerson² suggests that: “Observation of legal usage suggests that in most cases “or” is used in the inclusive rather than the exclusive sense”. This construction may be bolstered, or alternatively excluded, by the context.

EXAMPLE

Where an animal is taken into possession, a magistrates’ court may order –

- (a) that specified treatment be administered to the animal, or*
- (b) that the animal be sold or destroyed.*

It seems unlikely the court should have to choose between (a) and (b). So the “or” at the end of (a) should probably be read in an inclusive sense.

On the other hand, in (b), it would make no sense for the court to order both sale and destruction, so “or” should no doubt be read in an exclusive sense.

12 It may be tempting to omit “or” from provisions in order to avoid any suggestion of exclusivity and perhaps to make it clear from the opening words what is intended. But where it is obvious from the context that the provisions would be read in an inclusive sense, it may be better from the point of view of clarity or consistency across the statute book to follow normal English and use “or”.

13 Sometimes it will be desirable to spell out that both of two alternatives are a permissible option. For example, a provision allowing the imposition merely of a “fine or a term of imprisonment” might be construed in favour of a defendant so as to exclude the imposition of both. So if both may be wanted, it is probably best to say so.

2.F. Reed Dickerson *Fundamentals of Legal Drafting* (see chapter 7.1) p.77.

3.4 Paragraphs

14 Similar issues can arise with “and”. If, in the example above, the court were given power to order that treatment be given to the animal *and* that it be sold or destroyed, would it have to do both? Again, though, the context will probably supply the answer.

Use of single conjunction

15 Often it will be sufficient to put the appropriate conjunction at the end of the penultimate paragraph and rely on the implication (in the absence of a contrary indication) that each of the preceding paragraphs is separated by the same conjunction.

16 However, if the “and” or “or” appears only at the end of the penultimate paragraph, the reader has to wait until then to know whether the paragraphs are cumulative or alternative. This is may be unhelpful with a long list of paragraphs.

17 Another reason to avoid “and” or “or” at the end of the penultimate paragraph is that such a sequence of paragraphs can be awkward to amend later. If what was the penultimate paragraph ceases to be in that position, the drafter of the amendment may have to move the conjunction.

18 This can be avoided by saying “and” or “or” at the end of each paragraph. That can however be cumbersome.

No conjunction

19 It is also possible to avoid a single conjunction by making it clear in the opening words whether the paragraphs are cumulative or alternative.

EXAMPLE

A person who applies for a licence must send with the application a copy of [all] [at least one] of the following documents –

- (a) the person’s birth certificate;*
- (b) the person’s passport;*
- (c) the person’s driving licence.*

20 This can be heavy-handed in simple propositions, when “and” or “or” may be better.

21 For specific heads of *vires*, it is standard practice to omit the conjunction. It is also standard practice not to expand the chapeau so as to “any or all of the following”, but instead to rely on the context to supply the right answer.

EXAMPLE

The Secretary of State may by order make provision about –

- (a) the form of an application;*
- (b) the procedure for making an application;*
- (c) the fee to be paid by an applicant.*

In this example, it seems sufficiently clear that the Secretary of State may make provision about any or all of the things mentioned.

Punctuation

22 In the case of a simple list of paragraphs linked by a conjunction, commas or semi-colons may be used.

3.4 Paragraphs

23 If the paragraphs are followed by full-out text that is effectively a continuation of the proposition contained in the text preceding the paragraphs, commas should be used, not semi-colons (see the example of a “sandwich” above).

24 Semi-colons may be more appropriate than commas where there is no conjunction (ie where the paragraphs are in effect a list setting out matters that have no particular affinity with each other – see the examples above).

Repeals and amendments

25 If you are repealing a paragraph which ends with a conjunction, it needs to be clear whether or not you are repealing the conjunction.

26 In cases where the conjunction’s fate would not otherwise be sufficiently clear, clarify the position by adding words such as “(together with the “and” following it)” or “(but not the “or” following it)”.

Unnumbered paragraphs (lists)

27 Paragraphs need not have numbers or letters. A list of things may be sometimes usefully displayed as paragraphs without numbers or letters, especially if the list is not too long and the entries are relatively short. This may also be a good idea if the list is likely to be amended frequently.

EXAMPLE

In this section “award for bravery” means –

the Victoria Cross,

the George Cross,

the Albert Medal,

the Edward Medal,

[etc.]³

3.Income Tax (Earnings and Pensions) Act 2003, section 638(2).

3.5 WORDS INTRODUCING SCHEDULES

Need for introductory words

1 This chapter is about the wording used to introduce a Schedule which consists of free-standing legislative propositions (for example, a Schedule of amendments to other Acts).

2 This chapter is therefore not concerned with—

- cases where the Schedule is a continuation of a legislative proposition in the body of the Bill, such as a list or table (an obvious example is a repeals Schedule, which is just a list of enactments referred to in the section giving effect to the repeals); or
- cases where the Schedule is not part of a legislative proposition at all and is merely there for information (eg an index or the text of a treaty).

3 Where a Bill contains a free-standing Schedule, it is the invariable practice to introduce it in one of the clauses of the Bill (either the clause to which it most closely relates or, if it does not relate to any other clause, in a new one).

4 The function of the words introducing a free-standing Schedule is to provide a signpost to the existence of the Schedule and an indication of its contents.

Possible formulations

5 A commonly-used formula to introduce a Schedule is to say something along the following lines—

Schedule 3 ([brief description of Schedule contents]) has effect.

6 This formula certainly fulfils the functions referred to above. It is not though strictly necessary to say that the Schedule “has effect”. It has effect whether you say so or not.

7 There are other ways of introducing a Schedule which equally perform the functions referred to above.

EXAMPLES

*Schedule 1 makes further provision about the Senior President of Tribunals...*¹

*Schedule 1 contains amendments of Schedule 16 to the 1999 Act (the London free travel scheme)*²

*Schedule 5 amends the Environmental Protection Act 1990 to provide for the making of waste reduction schemes*³.

8 Another recently used technique, which very clearly expresses the function of inducing words as a signpost, is to adopt a “see” formula.

1. Tribunals, Courts and Enforcement Act 2007, section 2(2).

2. Concessionary Bus Travel Act 2007, section 5(7).

3. Climate Change Act 2008, section 7(1).

3.5 Words introducing Schedules

EXAMPLE

For provision about alterations in the Assembly electoral regions and in the allocation of seats to those regions see Schedule 1 (section 2(5) of the Government of Wales Act 2006)

9 All of these techniques, and other forms of words which fulfil the functions mentioned above, are acceptable.

10 It is important to ensure that the description of the Schedule is accurate and covers everything in the Schedule.

PART 4 AMENDMENTS

4.1 TEXTUAL AMENDMENTS

Operative words

Insertions and substitutions

- 1 The following forms are widely used, and both are acceptable—
imperative form: *after x insert y / for x substitute y*
declaratory form: *after x there is inserted y / for x there is substituted y*
- 2 In each case the effect of the amendment is clear.
- 3 Where a single section makes provision for a series of amendments (as opposed to a single amendment) to an Act, or to a section, the first subsection is often in a declaratory form, while the remaining propositions are in the imperative:
(1) *The [Act] is amended as follows...*
(2) *In section 1, after subsection (1) insert...*
- 4 Although the effect is clear, there is something to be said for using the same form in both the opening proposition and the amendments.

Repeals

- 5 The following formulations, or variations of them, are often used to make textual amendments to an Act by the repeal of one or more provisions or words within the Act—
omit x / x is omitted
x ceases to have effect
x is repealed
- 6 Here the imperative form is possible only with “omit”.
- 7 For repeals of less than a whole Act, all of these are acceptable, regardless of the unit of text being repealed. Clearly only “is repealed” or “ceases to have effect” would be used for a whole Act. It might then make for consistency to use “is repealed” or “ceases to have effect” (rather than “omit”) for smaller units of text.
- 8 To use “is repealed” would also be consistent with “repeal” Schedules and with the fact that provisions introducing repeal Schedules use the words “are repealed”.
- 9 As between “is repealed” and “ceases to have effect”, “is repealed” follows the language of sections 15 to 17 of the Interpretation Act 1978. The advantages of this should probably not

4.1 Textual amendments

be overstated: in *Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis v Simeon* [1982] 2 All ER 813 it was held that the fact that a repeal was effected by providing that the repealed provisions “shall cease to have effect” was not sufficient to indicate a “contrary intention” within section 16(1) of the Interpretation Act 1978.

10 However, it may be helpful to use the word “repeal” rather than to require the reader to work out that what has been done is in substance a repeal. The “repeal” form is also the shorter of the two.

11 On repealing conjunctions at the end of a paragraph, see chapter 3.4 *Paragraphs*, para. 25.

Describing where the amendment is to go

Replacing, or adding to, words in the first place where they occur

12 The following (amongst others) are possible –
after “x”, in the first place it occurs, insert “y”
after “x”, where first occurring [or appearing], insert “y”,

Replacing, or adding to, words in all places where they appear

13 The following (amongst others) are possible –
after “x”, in each place, insert “y”
after “x”, wherever it occurs/appears, insert “y”
for “x” (twice) substitute “y”.

14 In principle, a single amendment could be used to change a term used throughout an Act. In practice, there are probably relatively few cases where this approach will be appropriate. Obviously, a global amendment should only be used if the drafter is certain that it achieves the right result in each place.

15 It is relatively common practice to put brackets around the words identifying where in the provision the amendment is to be made –

after “x” (in each place) insert “y”
after “x” (in the first place it occurs) insert “y”.

16 Presumably, the wording in question will only be used where it would not otherwise be clear whether one amendment, or more than one, is intended. So, strictly speaking, brackets ought not to be used (on the basis that brackets are generally reserved for material that is included to assist the reader but does not have substantive legal effect). However, drafters may find that, in some cases, the use of brackets makes the provision easier to read.

Inserting at the beginning of a provision

17 The usual form is to say –
at the beginning insert x/there is inserted x
rather than to say that x is inserted “before” particular words.

4.1 Textual amendments

Inserting text at the end of a provision

18 For text inserted at the end of a provision, the convention in House amendments to Bills is to say “at end”. But amendments to Acts are drafted in full sentences. So we need “at the end”.

19 Sometimes drafters say “add” instead of “insert” for text which is to appear at the end of an existing provision. But it is not wrong to use “insert” in this context and it may be simpler to use the same word whether the new text is to appear in the middle or at the end of the existing provision.

Parts of a subsection

20 In the case of a subsection which includes paragraphs, the words before the paragraphs may be identified as “the opening words” or “the words before paragraph (a)”.

21 The words after the paragraphs (sometimes referred to by drafters as “full-out” words) may be identified as “the closing words” or “the words after paragraph ()”.

22 Of course, it is not always necessary to specify exactly where in a subsection the words in question appear (for example, if the words appear only once).

Lists

23 Where an amendment is made to insert an entry into a list, such as a list of definitions or statutory bodies, it is sometimes framed as an amendment to insert the text “at the appropriate place” (instead of “after” something). This is appropriate where, for example, a list runs in alphabetical order.

Location of a new section

24 It is usual to specify that a new section is to be located “after” another one.

25 However, “before” can be useful where the new section is to be inserted at the beginning of a Part, Chapter or group of sections (where a reference to “after” the preceding section would tend to suggest that the intention was that the new section should appear at the end of the preceding Part etc).

Unnumbered provisions

26 To add a subsection or sub-paragraph to a section or paragraph which is not already subdivided, the recommended form is –

to say first that

In [section] [paragraph] N the existing provision becomes [subsection] [sub-paragraph] (1)

then to insert the new material as subsection or sub-paragraph (2).

How much text to substitute

27 The starting point when drafting a substitution is that the minimum amount of text should be replaced. In most cases, this will make it easier for the reader of the Bill to identify

4.1 Textual amendments

the substantive change that is being made. It also avoids suggesting that changes are being made to text which is not in fact changing.

28 However, in some cases it will be helpful to the reader of the Bill, or the reader of the Act being amended, to substitute more text than is strictly necessary. For example –

- where a number of related changes are being made to a single provision,
- where the end result of a group of amendments would be to alter the whole basis of an existing provision or to leave very little of the previous text,
- where the passage to be amended has previously been amended non-textually (so that there may be some doubt about which words remain to be textually amended),
- where it is otherwise helpful to the reader to substitute a few extra words, and
- if doing so would enable the drafter to repeal an amending provision.

29 The risks of substituting more text than is strictly necessary include –

- the risk of missing a cross-reference, non-textual modification or an old saving, and
- the risk that it will be more difficult for a reader to work out what substantive changes are being made.

30 In some cases a parenthetical description may help instead.

Parenthetical description

31 Generally, see the discussion of parenthetical descriptions in chapter 3.2 *Cross-references*, para. 4. In this section, only aspects relevant to textual amendments are discussed.

32 It has become fairly standard practice to give a brief description of the section or Schedule that is being amended, to give the context of the amendment and perhaps its significance. The parenthetical description will often be the heading of the section or Schedule, but it does not have to be - it may be better, for readers of the Bill, to describe the section in a different way.

33 There may be less utility in maintaining this practice in, say, Schedules of consequential amendments, where there is no important substantive effect to be described (and fewer readers to describe it to). For example, if you are simply changing the name of a body in all existing legislation, there may be little point in describing every provision where you are making the change.

34 It is also unlikely to be worthwhile to give the description of a section *after* which a new section is inserted, unless there is a very close connection between the two.

35 To describe the section or Schedule which is being amended may help to explain the significance and context of the amendment, but is unlikely of itself to tell the reader exactly what the amendment does. To tell the whole story you probably need to describe the particular portion of text which is being amended, whether a subsection or a smaller subdivision. But it will not be practical, or necessary, to do that in every case.

36 For an important substantive amendment, it may be worth taking the reader by the hand and explaining the entire context, so that the meaning of the textual amendment is then readily apparent. But it is difficult to sustain this on a consistent basis in a Bill of any length. There is certainly no point in giving a description of a subsection unless it actually helps the

4.1 Textual amendments

reader to understand the amendment. And there seems little point in descending to this level of detail in long Schedules of minor or consequential amendments.

37 Explanatory notes may help instead.

Schedules of amendments

38 This relates to the format to be used in Schedules where amendments to an Act are arranged under an italic cross-heading giving the name of the Act.

39 The recommended style is –

“Counter-Terrorism Act 2008 (c. 28)

1. In the Counter-Terrorism Act 2008, after section N...”

40 Note in particular –

- the short title of the Act needs to be given in the text of the amendment as well as in the heading;
- the chapter number should be given in the heading but does not need to be repeated in the text of the amendment.

Amendment of section headings etc

41 It is acceptable to amend the heading to a provision.

42 In particular, it may be helpful to do so if the provision is falsified by a textual amendment.

43 There is no need to amend a heading merely because the existing heading is not quite what you would have chosen for the amended text. Also, the fact that the amended heading has Parliamentary authority might lead the courts to attach more weight to it than they would have attached to the original heading.

44 There are also examples of cases where a heading needs to be changed without there being a change to the text under the heading. One example is where a parallel set of provisions is added after existing provisions and there need to be headings that distinguish and connect the neighbouring sets of provisions.

Terminology

45 Section headings. Since 2001 the practice has been to give a description of the contents of a section in a heading. In Acts passed before 2001, the equivalent description was given in a marginal note. (Some internet resources now show pre-2001 sidenotes as new-style headings.)

46 OPC practice is to use “heading” or “title” for sections of Acts passed in 2001 or later years.

47 In the case of a pre-2001 Act, a reference to a “sidenote” will usually be accurate. But a section inserted into a pre-2001 Act by a later Act will have a “heading” or “title”, as the new word-processing formats do not allow for marginal notes.

4.1 Textual amendments

48 Italic headings. Italic headings, whether within the main body of an Act or in a Schedule, are variously referred to as “italic headings”, “italic cross-headings” or just “headings”. A reference to “italic” may help to distinguish this kind of heading from a section heading.

Punctuation

49 Where inserted or substituted words end with a full stop before the closing quotes, there should be no further full stop after the closing quotes.

Numbering conventions

Re-using numbers

50 When substituting a complete sub-division of text (e.g. a subsection), the numbering of the old provision should generally not be used for the new provision unless (as will frequently be the case) the subject matter of the new provision corresponds to that of the old provision.

51 When inserting a complete sub-division of text (e.g. a subsection) in a place where a corresponding sub-division has been repealed, the numbering of the repealed provision should generally not be used for the new provision.

52 Occasionally the drafter may decide that there is good reason to depart from the above approaches (e.g. where a repealed provision was repealed many years ago).

Adding provisions at the beginning of a series

53 The following applies when inserting a provision at the beginning of an existing series of provisions (e.g. a subsection at the beginning of a section or a Schedule before the first Schedule).

- New sections inserted before the first section of an Act are preceded by a letter, starting with “A”.
- The same approach is taken in relation to all other divisions of text (other than lettered paragraphs).

Thus the Insolvency Act 2000 inserted a Schedule A1 before Schedule 1 to the Insolvency Act 1986, and the Enterprise Act 2002 inserted a new Schedule B1 after Schedule A1.

- A provision inserted before “A1” (or “ai”) is “ZA1” or (“zai”).
- In the case of lettered paragraphs, new paragraphs inserted before paragraph (a) are (za), (zb) etc.
- And paragraphs inserted before (za) are (zza), (zzb) etc.

Adding provisions at the end of a series

54 Where adding a provision at the end of an existing series of provisions of the same kind (e.g. a subsection at the end of a section or a Schedule at the end of the Schedules), the numbering should continue in sequence.

4.1 Textual amendments

Inserting whole provisions between existing provisions

- 55 The following applies when inserting whole provisions between existing provisions.
- New provisions inserted between 1 and 2 are 1A, 1B, 1C etc.
 - New provisions inserted between 1A and 1B are 1AA, 1AB, 1AC etc.
 - New provisions inserted between 1 and 1A are 1ZA, 1ZB, 1ZC etc. (and not 1AA etc.)
 - New provisions inserted between 1A and 1AA are 1AZA, 1AZB, 1AZC etc.
- 56 Do not generate a lower level identifier unless you have to.
- A new provision between 1AA and 1B is 1AB not 1AAA.
 - But a new provision between 1AA and 1AB is 1AAA.
- 57 The above recommendations apply equally to sub-paragraphs with roman numerals and lettered paragraphs.
- New sub-paragraphs between sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii) are (ia), (ib), (ic) etc.
 - New paragraphs between paragraphs (a) and (b) are (aa), (ab), (ac) etc.
 - New paragraphs between paragraphs (a) and (aa) are (aza), (azb), (azc) etc.

Insertions resulting in a series of more than 26 new sections into an Act

- 58 This relates to the rare occasions when the insertion of new sections into an Act would result in a series of more than 26 new sections.
- *After Z use Z1, Z2, Z3 etc.*
So in the Capital Allowances Act 2001 section 360Z is followed by sections 360Z1 to 360Z4.

Insertions resulting in series of more than 26 lettered paragraphs

- 59 This relates to the rare occasions when the insertion of new paragraphs into a section would result in a series of more than 26 lettered paragraphs.
- After paragraph (z) insert paragraphs (z1), (z2), (z3) etc.

4.2 NON-TEXTUAL MODIFICATIONS

Non-textual modifications and textual amendments

1 This chapter is about how to distinguish a non-textual modification from a textual amendment.

2 By “non-textual modification” is meant a modification of an enactment that is not intended to result in a change to the text of the modified enactment when the enactment is next printed (in contrast to a textual amendment, which is).

3 There have been occasions where it has not been clear to departments, or to those who produce and edit statutory text, whether something is a textual amendment or a non-textual modification. Sometimes non-textual amendments have even been printed as textual amendments.

4 It is therefore important to be make it clear what is intended.

Need to avoid formulations used in textual amendments

5 Sometimes non-textual modifications are drafted in essentially the same form as a textual amendment, the only difference being in the opening wording –

EXAMPLE (to avoid)

- (1) *Section 3 applies to fine defaulters as to offenders but with the following modifications –*
(a) in subsection (1) for “offence” substitute “default”; and
(b) in subsection (2) for “6 months” substitute “3 months”.

6 This might readily be mistaken for a textual amendment. The opening words give a clue that something other than a textual amendment is intended, but the rest is exactly the same as a textual amendment. It would be particularly easy to lose sight of the opening words if the list of substitutions and other changes were very long.

7 It would be clearer, in the first place, if the subjunctive mood were used to indicate that there is no intention actually to substitute the text –

EXAMPLE

- (1) *Section 3 applies to fine defaulters as to offenders but as if –*
(a) in subsection (1) for “offence” there were substituted “default”, and
(b) in subsection (2) for “6 months” there were substituted “3 months”.

8 Better yet, though, would be to avoid the reference to substitution altogether –

EXAMPLE –

- (1) *Section 3 applies to fine defaulters as to offenders but as if –*
(a) in subsection (1) the reference to an offence were to a default; and
(b) in subsection (2) the reference to 6 months were to 3 months.

4.2 Non-textual modifications

“Modification”

9 Incidentally, the use of the word “modification” does not of itself exclude the possibility that what is intended is a textual amendment. The word is sometimes used (rightly) to describe a textual amendment – see for example section 517(6) of the Education Act 1996 and section 26(2) of the Criminal Justice and Court Services Act 2000.

PART 5 OPERATIVE PROVISIONS

5.1 PERIODS OF TIME

Introductory

1 This chapter considers some aspects of expressing periods of time. It assumes that in deciding how to describe a particular period the drafter's objectives are:

- to ensure that it is certain when the period begins and ends;
- to ensure that the reader has the greatest chance possible of telling from the words used when the period begins and ends, rather than having to refer to case law;
- to express the period as simply as possible.

Start of period: fractions of days

2 An Act often needs to describe a period by reference to some event. An example is a period of 14 days during which an appeal may be made, where the "event" is the decision to which the appeal would relate.

3 In this example, it might be supposed that the appeal period should run from the decision. But this would cause problems, because the decision will have been made part-way through a day, and if the appeal period is to be expressed in whole days (or weeks, months or years) it has to begin at the beginning of a day. The question is, which day? Instructions are not always clear on this point.

4 It may well be wrong in policy terms for the period to start running from the beginning of the day *after* the day of the decision, because that would disallow an appeal made on the day of the decision.

5 If the period starts with the beginning of the day of the decision, it will technically include the part of that day that precedes the decision (during which an appeal will of course be impossible). This may not in practice cause any problems. But if the appeal period is short (for example, 7 days), it may be worth considering whether as a matter of policy the number of days should be increased by one, to take account of the fact that the period starts to run on the day of the decision and not the next day.

6 Appeal periods are of course not the only periods that give rise to this kind of question. It may not always produce the right result to begin a period at the beginning of the day on which a particular event occurs: each case needs to be considered on its own merits. And it will be obvious when some periods should start (for example, a year beginning with 1 April), so fractions of a day are not always an issue.

5.1 Periods of time

“Beginning with”, “from”, “after”

7 A clear way of expressing a period of, for example, 14 days so that it starts at the beginning of a particular day is to describe the period as “14 days beginning with” the day in question.

8 Alternative methods are to refer to a period of 14 days “from” or “after” a particular event.

9 The proper construction of “14 days from [the event]” will depend on the context. As a general rule, this wording will be taken to *exclude* the day on which the event takes place. This is something of which the user of the Act may very well be unaware.

10 “14 days after [the event]” will also generally be taken to exclude the day of the event (*Dodds v Walker* [1981] 2 All ER 609) but this will also depend on the context.

11 It is suggested that “14 days *beginning with*” the day of an event (or any other day or date) is often the least ambiguous short way of ensuring that the period starts to run from the beginning of that day. The formula “X months beginning with the day on which” an Act is passed has long been the preferred way of framing a period of months for which commencement is postponed (see chapter 6.4 *Commencement*, para. 4).

12 References to a period “ending with” a particular day or date are equally unambiguous.

13 A reference to a period “beginning *on*” or “ending *on*” a particular date or day would not give the same certainty, because it would leave open the question of the time at which (on the day in question) the period begins or ends.

14 A reference to “14 days from” a point that is bound to occur at midnight (for instance, the end of a year) makes clear exactly when the 14 days are to begin and end: in such a case “from” is as unambiguous as “beginning with”.

“The period of”

15 Acts have often referred to (for example) a 14 day period as “the period of 14 days”. In many cases the words “the period of” do not add anything and could be omitted - the reference could simply be to “14 days”. If a reference back is needed, it may be possible to refer to “those 14 days” instead of to “that period”.

“Within”, “before the end of”

16 Statute often requires something to be done “within” a particular period or “before the end of” a particular period.

17 A requirement to take an action “before the end of 3 weeks beginning with [a particular date]” would apparently allow the action to be taken at any time up to the end of those 3 weeks, including at any time before the 3 weeks began. A requirement to take the action “within” those 3 weeks would limit the time in which the action may be taken to those 3 weeks.

18 In some cases, the effect of either wording will in practice be the same. An example would be where a copy of a document is required to be given within/before the end of 3

5.1 Periods of time

weeks beginning with the date when the document comes into existence. But in other cases, the different wordings may produce materially different results.

19 A requirement to do something “by the end of” a period would seem to amount to the same thing as a requirement to do it “before the end of” the period.

Units of time

20 Periods of time are commonly expressed in days, weeks, months or years. Which unit of time to use will depend sometimes on the policy and sometimes on the drafter’s decision. Obviously, the longer the period, the less helpful it will be to use short units of time. For example, it is suggested that all readers will know that 30 days is about a month, but that a period expressed as (for example) 150 days would be much less readily understandable.

21 Months are a particular problem because of their varying length. (Schedule 1 to the Interpretation Act 1978 defines a “month” as a calendar month, but this appears to do no more than prevent it from being interpreted as a lunar month.) When a period of “one month” begins other than at the beginning of a month, when does it end?

22 According to the rule in *Dodds v Walker* ([1981] 2 All ER 609), where an application had to be made “not more than 4 months after” the giving of a notice on 30 September 1978, the last date for making the application was 30 January 1979. The date of 30 January 1979 is arrived at by treating the 4-month period as:

- beginning at midnight between 30 September and 1 October 1978 (that is, excluding the rest of the day on which the notice was given, according to the rule mentioned in paragraph 10 above), and
- expiring at the end of the day in January 1979 corresponding to the day in September 1978 at whose end the period began - that is, day 30. (If the period had been expressed to be “4 months beginning with” the date when the notice was given, the last date for making the application would have been 29 January.)

23 The rule in *Dodds v Walker* is known as “the corresponding date rule”. Under it, the length of a period of “1 month” varies according to when the period begins. For example, a period of “1 month” beginning at midnight on 4/5 April, which will end at midnight on 4/5 May, will be shorter than a period of “1 month” beginning at midnight on 4/5 May (because April is shorter than May).

24 The corresponding date rule obviously cannot apply unmodified in all cases, because the months of the year do not all have the same number of days. *Dodds v Walker* confirmed that where (for example) a period of 1 month starts at the end of 30 January, it ends at the end of 28 February, or in a leap year 29 February.

25 Because the corresponding date rule can be a trap for the user, in some cases it may be worth considering whether a period of (for example) 3 months would be better expressed as 12 weeks or 90 days. The periods expressed in these ways will not be identical, but whether this matters will depend on the context.

Non-working days

26 Some Acts exclude certain days (for example, Saturdays, Sundays and bank holidays) from specified periods. Others make no distinction between holidays and working days. If a specified period is very short, it may be worth remembering that weekends and bank

5.1 Periods of time

holidays will not be treated differently from other days unless express provision to this effect is included.

27 If any non-working days are to be excluded from a period, consideration needs to be given to exactly which days these should be. It should be remembered that bank holidays are not the same in different parts of the United Kingdom, also that some days which are popularly thought of as bank holidays are not in fact so (for example, Good Friday, and Christmas day except in Scotland).

28 Schedule 1 to the Banking and Financial Dealings Act 1971 lists some bank holidays, but not all. Bank holidays may be created by royal proclamation - the early May bank holiday is an example.

5.2 POWERS TO MAKE SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

Attracting section 1 of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946

1 Section 1 of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946 states –

“Where by.... any Act passed after the commencement of this Act power to make, confirm or approve orders, rules, regulations or other subordinate legislation is conferred on His Majesty in Council or on any Minister of the Crown then, if the power is expressed –

(a) in the case of a power conferred on His Majesty, to be exercisable by Order in Council;

(b) in the case of a power conferred on a Minister of the Crown, to be exercisable by statutory instrument,

any document by which that power is exercised shall be known as a “statutory instrument” and the provisions of this Act shall apply thereto accordingly.”

2 To attract section 1 of the 1946 Act it is sufficient to say –

Orders/regulations [made by the relevant Minister] under this section are to be made by statutory instrument.

3 It may sometimes be neater to roll up the attraction of section 1 with the power itself.

EXAMPLE

The Secretary of State may by order made by statutory instrument provide...

4 This may in particular be neater where you have a simple power and everything can be dealt with in a single subsection. This technique is often used, for example, for commencement powers (“on such day as the Secretary of State may by order made by statutory instrument appoint”).

5 If you have a number of powers to be exercised by statutory instrument, it may be best to have a single provision at the end, rather than saying the same thing several times over in different places. This is technical provision which is unlikely to be of interest to most readers.

Negative resolution procedure

6 To be consistent with section 5 of the 1946 Act, the statutory instrument containing the order/regulations – rather than the order/regulations themselves – should be expressed to be subject to annulment.

EXAMPLE

A statutory instrument containing an order or regulations under this Act is subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

Affirmative resolution procedure

7 To be consistent with the recommended approach for negative instruments (above) – and with the wording of section 6 of the 1946 Act - the required approval should relate to a draft of the statutory instrument containing the order/regulations, rather than a draft of the order/regulations themselves.

EXAMPLE

A statutory instrument containing an order or regulations under this Act may not be made unless a draft of the instrument has been laid before and approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

8 Note that this recommended formulation uses “each of House of Parliament” (to follow, so far as possible, the formula for negative resolution procedure).

Combined instruments

9 If the intention is expressly to authorise the making of instruments containing provisions subject to negative and affirmative procedure, it may be helpful to use the formulation suggested above for affirmative procedure with the addition of “(whether alone or with other provision)”.

EXAMPLE

A statutory instrument containing provision under section X (whether alone or with other provision) may not be made unless a draft of the instrument has been laid before and approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

Location of procedural provision

10 In some Acts, each provision which confers a power to make subordinate legislation applies any necessary parliamentary procedure.

11 But, where an Act confers a number of powers to make subordinate legislation, these matters are more often dealt with in general provisions situated at the back of the Act.

12 A “hybrid” approach is adopted in some Acts. The provision conferring the power says that it is subject to the “negative resolution procedure” or the “affirmative resolution procedure”. Then, at the end of the Act, there is a definition of “negative resolution procedure” or “affirmative resolution procedure” (or both).

EXAMPLE (from the Companies Act 2006)

Regulations under this section are subject to affirmative resolution procedure. (Section 54(3))

Regulations under this section are subject to negative resolution procedure. (Section 66(5))

Where regulations or orders under this Act are subject to “negative resolution procedure” the statutory instrument containing the regulations is subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament. (Section 1289)

Where regulations or orders under this Act are subject to “affirmative resolution procedure” the regulations or order must not be made unless a draft of the statutory instrument containing them has been laid before and approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament. (Section 1290)

13 During the parliamentary stages of a Bill, the appropriate procedure is often debated together with the contents of a power and it may, therefore, be convenient if the two are

5.2 Powers to make subordinate legislation

located together. But readers of the final Act may find multiple references to the parliamentary procedure intrusive. Matters of procedure are technical matters, and once the Bill is enacted are only likely to be of interest to those making the subordinate legislation and those in Parliament administering the procedures.

14 On that basis, it may be best on the whole to deal with Parliamentary procedure at the back of the Bill. There may of course cases where it is appropriate to depart from this recommendation (for example, if the Bill contains only a small number of powers to make subordinate legislation).

5.3 LAYING DOCUMENTS BEFORE PARLIAMENT

Laying documents before Parliament

1 Section 1(1) of the Laying of Documents before Parliament (Interpretation) Act 1948 provides that references to the laying of instruments and other documents “before Parliament” are to be construed as references to their laying before each House of Parliament unless the contrary intention appears.

2 Section 1(1) should normally be relied on whenever it will produce the correct result. That is, normally you should say that the instrument or other document should be laid “before Parliament” rather than “before each House of Parliament”.

3 This does not apply to statutory instruments subject to the affirmative resolution procedure – see chapter 5.2, *Powers to make subordinate legislation*, para. 7).

PART 6 FINAL PROVISIONS

6.1 ORDER OF FINAL PROVISIONS

Running order

1 The following running order should be used as a starting point when it comes to deciding the running order for the final provisions of a Bill –

General provisions about offences (bodies corporate, unincorporated associations)
Orders and regulations (including parliamentary procedure)
Directions
Notices/service of documents
Interpretation
Amendments, transitional provisions and savings, repeals
Financial provisions
Crown application
Devolution
Application to Scillies/Isle of Man/Channel Islands
Extent
Commencement
Index
Short title

2 Of course it may be necessary to depart from this in some circumstances.

3 The assumptions that underlie the recommended running order are –

- matters of substance, such as offences by bodies corporate, should come before procedural matters;
- there is an affinity between provisions relating to subordinate legislation and those relating to other documents;
- similarly there is an affinity between provisions relating to the application of provisions of the Bill and those relating to extent;
- the essentially procedural nature of provisions dealing with financial matters means that they can appear relatively low in the running order;
- commencement should be dealt with as late as possible in the Bill and so should normally be dealt with immediately before the short title clause;
- there is an expectation that the short title will appear in the last clause.

4 In the rare cases where a Bill contains an index of abbreviations and/or defined terms, the practice adopted in Tax Law Rewrite Bills should be followed, with the result that the

6.1 Order of final provisions

index would appear at the very end of the Bill. The clause introducing it would appear immediately before the short title clause.

5 Where there is to be a single clause introducing Schedules containing minor and consequential amendments, transitional provisions and savings, and repeals, the clause should deal with those topics in that order.

6 Although the running order above deals with extent, commencement and short title separately, a Bill may be so short that it would be better to deal with all three topics in a single clause.

Cross-heading

7 If a single cross-heading is used to cover all the final provisions of a general nature, it should be “General” (rather than, for example, “Supplementary”).

6.2 FINANCIAL PROVISION

1 This relates to provisions of Bills (starting in the House of Commons) which deal with effects on public expenditure.

Clause heading

2 The heading for clauses dealing with effects on public expenditure should be “Financial provisions”, whatever the precise nature of the effects.

Form of provision

3 It is recommended that the usual provision dealing with such effects should refer to—
the payment out of money provided by Parliament of—

(a) any expenditure incurred under or by virtue of the Act by [the Secretary of State], [a Minister of the Crown], [a person holding office under Her Majesty] [or by a government department], and

(b) any increase attributable to the Act in the sums payable under any other Act out of money so provided.

4 This wording can then be reflected in the associated money resolution.

6.3 EXTENT

Introductory

1 A proposition about the “extent” of a statutory provision is a proposition about the jurisdictions whose law is being changed by the provision. It should be distinguished from “application”, which concerns the persons and matters to which the provision relates.

Positive statements of extent

2 There is a strong presumption that, in the absence of provision to the contrary, Acts of the Westminster Parliament extend to each part of the United Kingdom but do not extend beyond it (even though Parliament may legislate in particular for the Channel Islands, the Isle of Man and the British overseas territories)¹.

3 However, in the case of an Act or a provision of an Act which is not intended to extend beyond the United Kingdom, the recommended approach is to state extent positively – that is, to spell out its extent – rather than to rely on what the courts would in any event presume to be the case.

EXAMPLE

This Act extends to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

4 For an Act or a provision of an Act which extends to only one or two jurisdictions within the United Kingdom, it may be clearer to state extent positively rather than negatively.

EXAMPLE

This Act extends to England and Wales and Scotland.

may be clearer than

This Act does not extend to Northern Ireland.

5 Of course, there may be cases where it may not be convenient or even helpful to state extent expressly, even if the Act is thought to extend only to the United Kingdom. That may be the case where an Act simply amends an existing Act which itself has no specific extent provision.²

6 None of this should be taken to affect drafting of extent provisions in cases where Acts are not limited to the United Kingdom or where there is some doubt as to whether they are so limited.

1. *R v Jamieson* [1896] 2 QB 425, 430, QBD, DC; *Attorney General for Alberta v Huggard Assets Ltd* [1953] AC 420, 441, PC.

2. For example, the Channel Tunnel Rail Link (Supplementary Provisions) Act 2008

Jurisdictions within the United Kingdom

7 The relevant jurisdictions within the United Kingdom are “England and Wales”, “Scotland” and “Northern Ireland”.

8 Where a provision is intended to extend to the separate jurisdictions of England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, it is probably better to say that than to refer to the United Kingdom as such.³

Complex propositions about extent

9 It is more likely than not that an Act will contain provisions having different extent within the UK. In such cases the extent provision is likely to be complicated. It is difficult to give any guidance in advance about the best way of designing an extent provision here. Everything depends on the particular circumstances.

10 It is of course desirable to produce a provision which is itself as simple as possible. It is also desirable to produce a provision which allows a reader to find the extent of any given provision as easily as possible. These two aims may sometimes conflict.

11 If the great majority of the Act has a particular extent, with only limited exceptions, it probably helps to start with the main case and then set out the exceptions.

12 If the exceptions have a narrower extent than the majority of the Act, it is possible to set out the wider expression of extent and then reduce it.

EXAMPLE

- (1) *This Act, apart from the provisions listed below, extends to the whole of the United Kingdom.*
- (2) *The following provisions of this Act extend to England and Wales only...*
- (3) *Section 77 and Schedule 6...extend to England and Wales and Northern Ireland only.*
- (4) *Section 79 and Schedule 8 extend to England and Wales and Scotland only.*⁴

13 If the bulk of the Act has a narrow extent (say, England and Wales only), and only a minority or provisions extend to other jurisdictions within the UK, it may be best to start off with the most limited extent and then build up.

EXAMPLE

- (1) *Subject as follows, this Act extends to England and Wales only.*
- (2) *Sections 87 to 91 extend to England and Wales and Scotland.*
- (3) *The following provisions extend to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland..*⁵

14 It is undesirable if the reader has to search through all the provisions of the extent clause to find out the extent of the particular provision in which they are interested.

EXAMPLE

- (1) *Subject to the following provisions, this Act extends to England and Wales only.*
- (2) *The following extend also to Scotland...*
- (3) *The following extend to Scotland only....*

3.For example, section 17(4) of the Banking (Special Provisions) Act 2008, section 75 of the Regulatory Enforcement and Sanctions Act 2008 and section 30 of the Dormant Bank and Building Society Accounts Act 2008.

4.Section 99 of the Climate Change Act 2008.

5.Section 172 of the Education and Skills Act 2008.

6.3 Extent

(4) *The following extend also to Northern Ireland....*

(5) *The following extend to Northern Ireland only....*

15 This produces a neat overall provision. The cost, though, is that to know the extent of any particular provision the reader needs first to read subsection (1) and then to look at subsection (2) to see whether it also extends to Scotland and then to look at subsection (4) to see whether it also extends to Northern Ireland (and check subsections (3) and (5) to make sure it is not a provision extending only to Scotland or Northern Ireland). This takes time and the reader may miss things.

16 What the reader is likely to want to know is where any given provision extends. On that basis, rather than setting out a general provision which is then qualified, but to set out what the extent of each provision of the Act is, even if it results in a longer extent provision.

EXAMPLE

(1) *Parts 1 to 7 extend to England and Wales only, except [some amendments extending to Scotland and Northern Ireland].*

(2) *Part 8 extends to England and Wales and Scotland.*

(3) *This Part extends to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.*

Extent of amendments and repeals

17 Where an Act contains a number of textual amendments or repeals, it is often convenient, at least to the drafter, to say that the extent of the amendments or repeals is the same as that of the enactment amended or repealed.

EXAMPLES

Any amendment, repeal or revocation made by this Act...has the same extent as the provision to which it relates.

Any amendment or repeal by this Act has the same extent as the enactment to which it relates.

An amendment or repeal effected by this Act has the same extent as the enactment to which it relates.

18 All of those examples are acceptable as a matter of drafting.

19 However, drafters should ensure that they know what the extent is in each case. It is possible that an existing enactment may be extended to another jurisdiction by another enactment.

20 Care should be also taken where the provisions of the Act being amended have different extents - in particular in the case of an insertion it may not be obvious what the enactment being amended is.

21 Further, this kind of formulation is not necessarily helpful to the reader. It may be more useful for the reader, in the end, to be told what the extent of each amendment is. So a degree of caution may be in order in using this kind of formulation.

Channel Islands and Isle of Man

22 There are formulations to be used in provisions providing for an Act to extend to the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man. These have been agreed between HMG in the United Kingdom and the island authorities.

6.3 Extent

- 23 In the case of a power to extend the Act to the Islands, the agreed formulation is—
Her Majesty may by Order in Council provide for any of the provisions of this Act to extend, with or without modifications, to any of the Channel Islands or to the Isle of Man.
- 24 In the more unusual case where the Act is to extend to the Islands but is to be capable of being modified so far as it so extends, the agreed formulation is—
This Act extends to [the Channel Islands], subject to such modifications as Her Majesty may by Order in Council provide.

6.4 COMMENCEMENT

Commencement on Royal Assent

1 The provisions that are to come into force on Royal Assent should be identified expressly.

2 The form of words used to bring such provisions into force should normally be designed to attract section 4(a) of the Interpretation Act 1978.

EXAMPLE

Sections X and Y come into force on the day on which this Act is passed.

3 Very exceptionally the desired policy may involve specifying a particular time of day at which immediate commencement is to occur.

Commencement at end of fixed period

4 The recommended standard form of words is—

[This Act] comes into force at the end of the period of [2 months] beginning with the day on which it is passed.

Commencement by order

5 In general it is clearer to say that provision is to come into force *on a day appointed* by order by a particular person, than to say that it comes into force “in accordance with” provision made by order. In the latter case it may not be clear whether any further power — for example, a power to make transitional provision — is also being conferred. (If further power is wanted, it should be conferred expressly — see below).

6 An “appointed day” provision should take the form of a positive statement along the lines that provisions “are to come into force on such day as X may by order appoint” (rather than that they do *not* come into force *until* such day as X may by order appoint).

Commencement by order: supplementary provisions

7 Where there is a power to commence, consideration should be given to dealing with everything relating to commencement in a separate set of provisions, rather than in a general clause relating to other order-making powers under the Bill. It may not be clear how powers conferred generally in relation to orders under the Bill will work in relation to the specific case of commencement.

6.4 Commencement

- 8 So, for example, the separate provision would expressly provide as necessary for –
- different days to be appointed for different purposes;
 - the making of transitional, transitory or saving provision in relation to commencement;
 - the making of supplemental, incidental or consequential provision in relation to commencement.

9 The power to commence would then need to be excluded from any general supplementary provision along these lines attaching to order-making powers under the Bill.

10 Note that the last two bullets of paragraph 8 refer to “commencement”. It may in particular be necessary to make transitional provision in connection with the commencement of provisions on a date fixed by the Bill (e.g. 2 months after Royal Assent) as well as in connection with the commencement of provision by order. In such a case there would seem to be a strong argument for having a single express power to make transitional provision in connection with commencement, whether by order or on a fixed date.

11 Note also –

- a “transitional” provision is a provision that manages the transition from one regime to another.

EXAMPLE

A provision that spells out how the Bill works in relation to events or matters that span the end of the old regime and the start of the new regime.

- a “transitory” provision is a provision that has a limited shelf-life such as a provision that will expire on a particular day.

EXAMPLE

A provision that references in the Dogs Act 1990 to dogs include cats until the coming into force of the Cats Act 2010.

Depending upon the circumstances, a transitory provision may also be a transitional provision but need not be so.

- a “saving” provision is a provision that saves the operation of an existing piece of legislation or rule of law. It can do this for a temporary period or for ever, and for transitional purposes or for other purposes. It might therefore be a transitional or transitory provision but need not be so.
- there is no obvious difference in meaning between “supplementary” and “supplemental”. The recommendation is that “supplementary” be preferred (as perhaps the more usual formulation).

6.5 SHORT TITLE

Form for conferring short title

- 1 For consistency, use the following formulation in all cases –
“*This Act may be cited as...*”.

PART 7 SUPPLEMENTARY

7.1 REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING

1 Listed here are the works referred to in this Guidance together with a small selection of other useful material.

Published material

Asprey M., *Plain Language for Lawyers* (Federation Press, Sydney, 4th ed., 2010)

Butt P. & Castle R., *Modern Legal Drafting - A Guide to Using Clearer Language* (Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed., 2006)

Dickerson F. Reed, *Fundamentals of Legal Drafting* (Aspen Publishers, 1965)

Greenberg D., *Craies on Legislation* (Sweet and Maxwell, London, 9th ed., 2008)

McLeod I., *Principles of Legislative and Regulatory Drafting* (Hart, Oxford and Portland Oregon, 2009)

Thornton G.C., *Legislative Drafting* (Butterworths, London, 4th revised ed 2006)

Material from other drafting offices etc

Australia

Office of Parliamentary Counsel, Australian Government, *Plain English Manual*, available at www.opc.gov.au/plain/docs.htm

Law Reform Commission of Victoria, *Plain English and the Law*, 1987, Appendix 1 – “Guidelines for Drafting in Plain English: A Manual for Legislative Drafters”

Canada

Department of Justice, *Legistics*, available at www.justice.gc.ca/eng/dept-min/pub/legis/index.html

United Kingdom

Tax Law Rewrite: The Way Forward: Annex 1 - Guidelines for the Rewrite (www.hmrc.gov.uk/rewrite/wayforward/menu.htm)

Plain Language and Legislation (Scottish Government) (www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/02/17093804/0)