

B.B.C. CHARTER REVIEW.

28/3/2004

How Assessed?

No Forms available @ Libraries (only Web Site)
No knowledge of Public Surveys

needs to be evaluated on quality

LICENCE FEE

Any members of panels should be nominated and appointed from outside of the Organisation

INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF PROGRAMMES

How is quality assessed?

"We don't expect programmers to be unrealistic, but we do expect strong language to be justified and shown at appropriate times."

Have more Channels - more programmes - time - targets, increased/improved quality.

A meeting attended last week - was quite unanimously (no) decreased

The BBC was thought to still have first-rate programmers -

Current Affairs documentaries: Panama. Any Questions, Sport (but the English is not correct) "Didn't he do brilliant?" (Fly).

Local reference was made to the HUNGERFORD MASSACRE, and assurance to reduce violence shown, it happened for short spell.

Now programmes are preceded by - (It) will contain strong language (to ^{assist} _{not defend}) violence, and scenes of a sexual (explicit) nature.

The view was expressed that this increased diet is dragging-down the quality. Does it enrich, breed respect - good manners?

Is it realistic in the constant deluge which is being spread (snow-balled)?

Strong language - a constant barrage of obscenities every few minutes

Appropriate times - there should be acceptable behaviour, standards @ all times?

EXPERIENCE - QUALITY IS DRIPPING.

Unfortunately, when specific questions are sent to programme they only receive answers in general terms.

Yours Faithfully,
G. Atkinson

B. B. C. CHARTER REVIEW CONSULTATION.
Dept For Culture, Media & Sport.
2-4 Cockspur St.
LONDON. SW14 5NH