

Re "You BBC, you say"

9.2.04

- ① What I value most about the BBC is the news & arts coverage, particularly music. Radio more than T.V. - much higher standard of programmes
- ② The BBC should adapt to modern technology - but not forget that older people often cannot afford modern equipment, and ^{are} made nervous by new developments - so don't forget the older!
- ③ Am a radio person, and in the main am satisfied with radio's 3-4 which both have good standards.
- ④ No firm views - but commercial services are definitely of secondary importance
- ⑤ Payment by the licence fee seems to be the best.
- ⑥ Don't know if the BBC is organised efficiently, or if it is set up to provide very best services. But the radio services on 3-4 are good.
- ⑦ It seems to me that the BBC is run satisfactorily as far as the licence is concerned.
- ⑧ As long as accuracy is observed in ~~the~~ news reporting I feel the BBC is satisfactorily accountable - does it have to be accountable to Parliament? I think not.

I was amazed at the over-reaction to one case of bad reporting. Inevitably there will be occasional inaccuracies - we are all human. They should be corrected wherever noted by the BBC - but why such a furrow?

Thanks up to the BBC!

Alan Cooper (COMPER)

Dear Tessa Jonnell,

30.3.04

in writing re the future financing of the
BBC.

I feel strongly that it should continue to be financed via the licence fee. The introduction of commercial sponsorship could destroy the standards appreciated all over the world. Even if standards were officially monitored there could be a gradual erosion of standards.

I could write much more - but you must have received every possible comment by now; and (see) I am nearly past the official deadline.

Please preserve this unique British institution.

30/04/04

Yours sincerely

✓

Ann Comper

04/00260

(Miss A. COMPER)

L
AC
BP